

CHAPTER V

AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF $p(11n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$ AND SOME FURTHER IDENTITIES

5.1. INTRODUCTION.

Winqvist [17] and Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer [4] have given elementary proofs of

$$(5.1.1) \quad p(11n + 6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.$$

In this chapter we show how the methods of Chapter 1 can be extended to derive (5.1.1). Our proof depends on an identity in Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer's paper, which we prove using no more than Jacobi's triple product identity. Using ideas from Atkin and Hussain [5] we give an elementary proof of the following Theorem, due to Newman [13], for the case $p = 11$ and $r \leq 10$.

Theorem (5.1.2). Suppose that r is one of the numbers 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 26. Let p be a prime > 3 such that $r(p+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$. Let $\Delta = r(p^2-1)/24$ and define $p_r(\alpha)$ as zero if α is not a non-negative integer. Then

$$p_r(np + \Delta) = (-p)^{(r/2)-1} p_r(n/p).$$

We show that (5.1.1) follows easily from Theorem (5.1.2).

In section (5.5) we give an elementary derivation of the modular equation of eleventh order due to Fine [8], from which we are able to obtain the Ramanujan-type identity, stated below, for the generating function $\sum_{n \geq 0} p(11n+6)q^n$.

Theorem (5.1.3).

$$(5.1.4) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} p(11n+6)q^n = 11q^4(11^3 + 88A^* + A^{*2} + 11B^*) \frac{E(q^{11})^{11}}{E(q)^{12}},$$

where A^*, B^* are power series in q with integer coefficients defined by

$$(10A^* + 11^2) E(q^{11})^5 = \sum_{n \geq -2} p_5(11n + 25)q^n$$

and

$$(14B^* + 112A^* + 11^3) E(q^{11})^7 = \sum_{n \geq -3} p_7(11n + 35)q^n.$$

We note here that (5.1.4) is (3.25) in Fine's paper.

5.2. In this section we split Euler's function $E(q)$ according to the residue of the exponent (mod 11) and obtain relations analogous to (1.2.2). These are contained in the following Lemma.

Lemma (5.2.1).

$$E(q) = E(q^{121}) [Q_0 - q Q_1 - q^2 Q_2 - q^{15} Q_4 + q^5 + q^7 Q_7],$$

$$\text{where } Q_0 = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1-q^{121n-44})(1-q^{121n-77})}{(1-q^{121n-22})(1-q^{121n-99})},$$

$$Q_1 = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1-q^{121n-22})(1-q^{121n-99})}{(1-q^{121n-11})(1-q^{121n-110})},$$

$$Q_2 = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1-q^{121n-55})(1-q^{121n-66})}{(1-q^{121n-33})(1-q^{121n-88})},$$

$$Q_4 = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1-q^{121n-11})(1-q^{121n-110})}{(1-q^{121n-55})(1-q^{121n-66})},$$

$$Q_7 = \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1-q^{121n-33})(1-q^{121n-88})}{(1-q^{121n-44})(1-q^{121n-77})},$$

and these satisfy

$$(5.2.2) \quad \begin{cases} Q_0 Q_1^2 - Q_0^2 Q_2 + q^{33} Q_4^2 + 2q^{22} Q_2 Q_4 Q_7 - 2q^{11} Q_1 Q_7 = 0 \\ -Q_1 Q_2^2 - q^{11} Q_2 Q_7^2 + Q_0^2 + 2q^{11} Q_0 Q_1 Q_4 - 2q^{22} Q_4 Q_7 = 0 \\ Q_0^2 Q_7 - q^{22} Q_4 Q_7^2 + Q_1^2 - 2q^{11} Q_1 Q_2 Q_4 - 2Q_0 Q_2 = 0 \\ q^{22} Q_0 Q_4^2 - q^{11} Q_2 Q_4^2 + q^{11} Q_7^2 - 2Q_0 Q_1 Q_7 + 2Q_1 Q_2 = 0 \\ Q_1^2 Q_7 - q^{22} Q_1 Q_4^2 + Q_2^2 - 2Q_0 Q_2 Q_7 - 2q^{11} Q_0 Q_4 = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(5.2.3) \quad Q_0 Q_1 Q_2 Q_4 Q_7 = 1.$$

Proof. Here we assume Jacobi's triple product identity

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 + tq^{2n-1})(1 + t^{-1}q^{2n-1})(1 - q^{2n}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} t^n q^{n^2}$$

from which can be deduced

$$E(q) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{\frac{1}{2}(3n^2-n)} \quad (\text{Euler}),$$

$$E(q)^3 = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n (2n+1) q^{\frac{1}{2}(n^2+n)} \quad (\text{Jacobi}),$$

and Watson's quintuple product identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{n \geq 1} (1+tq^n)(1+t^{-1}q^{n-1})(1-t^2q^{2n-1})(1-t^{-2}q^{2n-1})(1-q^n) \\ &= \prod_{n \geq 1} (1-t^3q^{3n-1})(1-t^{-3}q^{3n-2})(1-q^{3n}) + t^{-1} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1-t^3q^{3n-2})(1-t^{-3}q^{3n-1})(1-q^{3n}). \end{aligned}$$

(For an elementary proof of the quintuple product identity, see Bailey [6].)

$$\text{Write } E(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{10} E_i,$$

where E_i contains those terms of $E(q)$ in which the power of q is congruent to $i \pmod{11}$.

Since $\frac{1}{2}(3r^2 \pm r) \not\equiv 3, 6, 8, 9, 10 \pmod{11}$, $E_3 = E_6 = E_8 = E_9 = E_{10} = 0$

$$\text{and } E(q) = E_0 + E_1 + E_2 + E_4 + E_5 + E_7.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_0(q) &= 1 + \sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(3r^2-r) \equiv 0 \\ \text{mod } 11}} (-1)^r q^{\frac{1}{2}(3r^2-r)} + \sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(3r^2+r) \equiv 0 \\ \text{mod } 11}} (-1)^r q^{\frac{1}{2}(3r^2+r)} \\
&= 1 + \sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ r \equiv 0,7 \\ \text{mod } 11}} (-1)^r q^{\frac{1}{2}(3r^2+r)} + \sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ r \equiv 0,4 \\ \text{mod } 11}} (-1)^r q^{\frac{1}{2}(3r^2-r)} \\
&= \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{11n} q^{\frac{1}{2}(363n^2+11n)} + \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{11n+4} q^{\frac{1}{2}(363n^2+253n+44)} \\
&= \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{11}{-q^2} \right)^n \left(\frac{363}{q^2} \right)^{n^2} + q^{22} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{253}{-q^2} \right)^n \left(\frac{363}{q^2} \right)^{n^2} \\
&= \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^{363n-176}) (1 - q^{363n-187}) (1 - q^{363n}) \\
&= + q^{22} \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^{363n-55}) (1 - q^{363n-308}) (1 - q^{363n}) \\
&= \prod_{n \geq 1} (1+q^{121n-22}) (1+q^{121n-99}) (1-q^{242n-165}) (1-q^{242n-77}) (1-q^{121n}) \\
&= E(q^{121}) \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1-q^{242n-44}) (1-q^{242n-198}) (1-q^{242n-165}) (1-q^{242n-77})}{(1-q^{121n-22}) (1-q^{121n-99})} \\
&= E(q^{121}) \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1-q^{121n-44}) (1-q^{121n-77})}{(1-q^{121n-22}) (1-q^{121n-99})} .
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1(q) &= -q E(q^{121}) \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1-q^{121n-22}) (1-q^{121n-99})}{(1-q^{121n-11}) (1-q^{121n-110})} , \\
E_2(q) &= -q^2 E(q^{121}) \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1-q^{121n-55}) (1-q^{121n-66})}{(1-q^{121n-33}) (1-q^{121n-88})} , \\
E_4(q) &= -q^{15} E(q^{121}) \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1-q^{121n-11}) (1-q^{121n-110})}{(1-q^{121n-55}) (1-q^{121n-66})} , \\
E_7(q) &= q^7 E(q^{121}) \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{(1-q^{121n-33}) (1-q^{121n-88})}{(1-q^{121n-44}) (1-q^{121n-77})} .
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_5(q) &= \sum_{\substack{r \equiv 5 \\ \text{mod } 11}} (-1)^r q^{\frac{1}{2}(3r^2-r)} \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{r \equiv 2 \\ \text{mod } 11}} (-1)^r q^{\frac{1}{2}(3r^2-r)} \\
 &= \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^{11n+2} q^{\frac{1}{2}(363n^2+121n+10)} \\
 &= q^5 \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n (q^{121})^{\frac{1}{2}(3n^2+n)} \\
 &= q^5 E(q^{121}) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining these results we obtain

$$E(q) = E(q^{121}) [Q_0 - qQ_1 - q^2Q_2 - q^{15}Q_4 + q^5 + q^7Q_7] ,$$

where the Q_i are defined as in the statement of the Lemma.

$$\text{Clearly } Q_0 Q_1 Q_2 Q_4 Q_7 = 1 .$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(q)^3 &= (E_0 + E_1 + E_2 + E_4 + E_5 + E_7)^3 \\
 &= (E_0^3 + 6E_2E_4E_5 + 3E_2^2E_7 + 6E_0E_4E_7 + 3E_1E_5^2) \\
 &\quad + (E_4^3 + 6E_1E_4E_7 + 3E_0^2E_1 + 6E_0E_5E_7 + 3E_2E_5^2) \\
 &\quad + (3E_0^2E_1^2 + 3E_0^2E_2 + 3E_4^2E_5 + 6E_2E_4E_7 + 6E_1E_5E_7) \\
 &\quad + (E_1^3 + 6E_0E_1E_2 + 3E_0^2E_7 + 6E_2E_5E_7 + 3E_4E_5^2) \\
 &\quad + (3E_0^2E_2^2 + 3E_1^2E_2 + 3E_0^2E_4 + 3E_4^2E_7 + 3E_1E_7^2 + E_5^3) \\
 &\quad + (3E_1E_2^2 + 3E_0^2E_5 + 3E_2^2E_7 + 6E_0E_1E_4 + 6E_4E_5E_7) \\
 &\quad + (E_2^3 + 6E_0E_2E_4 + 3E_1^2E_4 + 6E_0E_1E_5 + 3E_5^2E_7) \\
 &\quad + (3E_1^2E_5 + 3E_0^2E_7 + 3E_4^2E_7 + 6E_1E_2E_4 + 6E_0E_2E_5) \\
 &\quad + (3E_2^2E_4 + 3E_0E_4^2 + 3E_5^2E_7 + 6E_1E_2E_5 + 6E_0E_1E_7) \\
 &\quad + (3E_1E_4^2 + 3E_2^2E_5 + 3E_1^2E_7 + 6E_0E_4E_5 + 6E_0E_2E_7) \\
 &\quad + (E_7^3 + 6E_1E_4E_5 + 3E_2^2E_4 + 6E_1E_2E_7 + 3E_0E_5^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n (2n+1) q^{\frac{1}{2}(n^2+n)} .$$

Since $\frac{1}{2}(n^2 + n) \not\equiv 2, 5, 7, 8, 9 \pmod{11}$ we have

$$(5.2.4) \quad \begin{cases} 3E_0E_1^2 + 3E_0E_2^2 + 3E_4E_5^2 + 6E_2E_4E_7 + 6E_1E_5E_7 = 0 \\ 3E_1E_2^2 + 3E_0E_5^2 + 3E_2E_7^2 + 6E_0E_1E_4 + 6E_4E_5E_7 = 0 \\ 3E_1E_5^2 + 3E_0E_7^2 + 3E_4E_7^2 + 6E_0E_2E_5 + 6E_1E_2E_4 = 0 \\ 3E_2E_4^2 + 3E_0E_4^2 + 3E_5E_7^2 + 6E_0E_1E_7 + 6E_1E_2E_5 = 0 \\ 3E_1E_4^2 + 3E_1E_7^2 + 3E_2E_5^2 + 6E_0E_2E_7 + 6E_0E_4E_5 = 0 \end{cases} .$$

Substituting $E_0 = E(q^{121})Q_0$, $E_1 = -qQ_1E(q^{121})$, $E_2 = -q^2Q_2E(q^{121})$, $E_4 = -q^{15}Q_4E(q^{121})$, $E_5 = q^5E(q^{121})$ and $E_7 = q^7Q_7E(q^{121})$ we obtain (5.2.2).

The proof of the following Lemma is completely analogous to that of Lemma (1.2.5).

Lemma (5.2.5). If $\omega^{11} = 1$, $\omega \neq 1$, then

$$\prod_{i=0}^{10} E(\omega^i q) = \frac{E(q^{11})^{12}}{E(q^{121})} .$$

5.3. It appears that the identities in (5.2.2) and (5.2.3) are not sufficient to prove

$$(5.3.1) \quad p(11n + 6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11} \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

In this section we will derive further identities involving Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, Q_4, Q_7 , due to Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer [4], which will later enable us to prove (5.3.1). Following Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer we define

$$(5.3.2) \quad P(a, x) = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - ax^{n-1})(1 - a^{-1}x^n) \quad \text{for } a \neq 0, |x| < 1.$$

First we need some properties of P .

Lemma (5.3.3).

$$(5.3.4) \quad P(a^{-1}x, x) = P(a, x),$$

$$(5.3.5) \quad P(ax, x) = -a^{-1}P(a, x),$$

$$(5.3.6) \quad P(a^{-1}, x) = -a^{-1}P(a, x),$$

$$(5.3.7) \quad P(a, x^2) E(x^2) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n a^n x^{n(n-1)}.$$

Proof. (5.3.4), (5.3.5) and (5.3.6) follow easily from (5.3.2).

Jacobi's triple product identity is

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - ax^{2n-1})(1 - a^{-1}x^{2n-1})(1 - x^{2n}) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n a^n x^{n^2},$$

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - ax(x^2)^{n-1})(1 - (ax)^{-1}x^{2n})(1 - x^{2n}) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n a^n x^{n^2}$$

$$\text{or} \quad P(ax, x^2) E(x^2) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n a^n x^{n^2},$$

so that

$$P(a, x^2) E(x^2) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n a^n x^{n(n-1)}.$$

Lemma (5.3.8).

$$P(-x, x^2) P(bc, x) P(bc^{-1}, x) - P^2(b, x) P(-c^2 x, x^2) + bc^{-1} P^2(c, x) P(-b^2 x, x^2) = 0.$$

Proof. We define G by,

$$G(b, c, x) = P(-x, x^2) P(bc, x) P(bc^{-1}, x) - P^2(b, x) P(-c^2 x, x^2) + bc^{-1} P^2(c, x) P(-b^2 x, x^2).$$

From (5.3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(bx, c, x) &= P(-x, x^2) P(bcx, x) P(bc^{-1}x, x) - P^2(bx, x) P(-c^2 x, x^2) + bc^{-1}x P^2(c, x) P(-b^2 x^3, x^2) \\ &= P(-x, x^2) (-b^{-1}c^{-1}) P(bc, x) (-b^{-1}c) P(bc^{-1}, x) \\ &\quad - b^{-2} P(b, x) P(-c^2 x, x^2) + bc^{-1}x P^2(c, x) (b^{-2}x^{-1}) P(-b^2 x, x^2) \\ &= b^{-2} G(b, c, x). \end{aligned}$$

Now we expand $G(b, c, x)$ as a Laurent series:

$$G(b, c, x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_n(c, x) b^n.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} G(bx, c, x) &= \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_n(c, x) x^n b^n = b^{-2} G(b, c, x) \\ &= \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_n(c, x) b^{n-2} = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} B_{n+2}(c, x) b^n, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$B_{n+2}(c, x) = x^n B_n(c, x) \quad \text{for all } n.$$

It follows that for $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2n} &= x^{n(n-1)} B_0, & B_{2n-1} &= x^{(n-1)^2} B_1, \\ B_{-2n} &= x^{n(n+1)} B_0, & B_{-(2n-1)} &= x^{n^2} B_1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (5.3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(b, c, x) &= B_0(c, x) \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} x^{n(n-1)} b^{2n} + x^{n(n+1)} b^{-2n} \right) \\
 &\quad + B_1(c, x) \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} x^{(n-1)^2} b^{2n-1} + x^{n^2} b^{-(2n-1)} \right) \\
 &= B_0(c, x) P(-b^2, x^2) E(x^2) + b B_1(c, x) \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} x^{(n-1)^2} b^{2(n-1)} + x^{n^2} b^{-2n} \right) \\
 &= B_0(c, x) P(-b^2, x^2) E(x^2) + b B_1(c, x) \left(1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} b^{2n} x^{n^2} + b^{-2n} x^{n^2} \right) \\
 &= B_0(c, x) P(-b^2, x^2) E(x^2) + b B_1(c, x) P(-b^2, x, x^2) .
 \end{aligned}$$

We will now show that $B_0(c, x)$, the coefficient of b^0 in $G(b, c, x)$, is identically zero. Now from (5.3.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(bc, x^2) &= \frac{1}{E(x^2)} \{ \dots b^{-3} c^{-3} x^{12} + b^{-2} c^{-2} x^6 - b^{-1} c^{-1} x^2 + 1 - bc + b^2 c^2 x^2 \\
 &\quad - b^3 c^3 x^6 + b^4 c^4 x^{12} + \dots \} , \\
 P(bc^{-1}, x^2) &= \frac{1}{E(x^2)} \{ \dots b^{-3} c^3 x^{12} + b^{-2} c^2 x^6 - b^{-1} c x^2 + 1 - bc^{-1} \\
 &\quad + b^2 c^{-2} x^2 - b^3 c^{-3} x^6 + b^4 c^{-4} x^{12} + \dots \}
 \end{aligned}$$

and the coefficient of b^0 in $P(bc, x^2) P(bc^{-1}, x^2)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{E(x^2)^2} &= \{ \dots c^{-6} x^{18} + c^{-4} x^8 + c^{-2} x^2 + 1 + c^2 x^2 + c^4 x^8 + c^6 x^{18} \} \\
 &= \frac{1}{E(x^2)^2} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} c^{2n} x^{2n^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

so that the coefficient of b^0 in $P(bc, x) P(bc^{-1}, x)$ is

$$\frac{1}{E(x)^2} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} c^{2n} x^{n^2} = \frac{E(x^2)}{E(x)^2} P(-c^2 x, x^2) .$$

Now,

$$P^2(b, x^2) = \frac{1}{E(x^2)^2} \left\{ \dots b^{-4} x^{20} - b^{-3} x^{12} + b^{-2} x^6 - b^{-1} x^2 + 1 - b + b^2 x^2 - b^3 x^6 + b^4 x^{12} - \dots \right\}^2$$

so that the coefficient of b^0 in $P^2(b, x^2)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{E(x^2)^2} \{ \dots x^{18} + x^8 + x^2 + 1 + x^2 + x^8 + x^{18} + \dots \} \\ = & \frac{1}{E(x^2)^2} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2n^2} . \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the coefficient of b^0 in $P^2(b, x)$ is

$$\frac{1}{E(x)^2} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{n^2} = \frac{E(x^2)}{E(x)^2} P(-x, x^2)$$

and the coefficient of b^0 in $G(b, c, x)$ is

$$B_0(c, x) = \frac{E(x^2)}{E(x)^2} \{ P(-x, x^2) P(-c^2 x, x^2) - P(-c^2 x, x^2) P(-x, x^2) \} = 0 .$$

Hence

$$G(b, c, x) = b B_1(c, x) P(-b^2 x, x^2) .$$

Now, from (5.3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(1, c, x) &= P(-x, x^2) P(c, x) P(c^{-1}, x) + c^{-1} P^2(c, x) P(-x, x^2) \\ &= P(-x, x^2) P(c, x) \{ P(c^{-1}, x) + c^{-1} P(c, x) \} \\ &= 0 , \end{aligned}$$

but we also have

$$G(1, c, x) = B_1(c, x) P(-x, x^2) .$$

Hence $B_1(c, x) = 0$ since $P(-x, x^2) \neq 0$ for $|x| < 1$

and $G(b, c, x) = 0$ as required.

The following Lemma is (3.7) in Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer's paper.

Their proof relies on properties of complex functions. We give an elementary proof depending on Lemma (5.3.8).

Lemma (5.3.9).

$$P^2(a, x) P(bc, x) P(bc^{-1}, x) - P^2(b, x) P(ac, x) P(ac^{-1}, x) \\ + bc^{-1} P^2(c, x) P(ab, x) P(ab^{-1}, x) = 0 .$$

Proof. We define F by,

$$F(a, b, c, x) = P^2(a, x) P(bc, x) P(bc^{-1}, x) - P^2(b, x) P(ac, x) P(ac^{-1}, x) \\ + bc^{-1} P^2(c, x) P(ab, x) P(ab^{-1}, x) .$$

From (5.3.5) we easily find that

$$F(ax, b, c, x) = a^{-2} F(a, b, c, x) .$$

If we write $F(a, b, c, x)$ as the Laurent series:

$$F(a, b, c, x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_n(b, c, x) x^n$$

we find that

$$F(a, b, c, x) = A_0(b, c, x) P(-a^2, x^2) E(x^2) + aA_1(b, c, x) P(-a^2 x, x^2) ,$$

by using methods analogous to those in the proof of Lemma (5.3.8).

We will now show that $A_0(b, c, x)$, the coefficient of a^0 in $F(a, b, c, x)$ is identically zero. As in the proof of Lemma (5.3.8)

we find that

$$\text{the coefficient of } a^0 \text{ in } P^2(a, x) \text{ is } \frac{E(x^2)}{E(x)^2} P(-x, x^2) ,$$

$$\text{the coefficient of } a^0 \text{ in } P(ac, x) P(ac^{-1}, x) \text{ is } \frac{E(x^2)}{E(x)^2} P(-c^2 x, x^2) ,$$

$$\text{the coefficient of } a^0 \text{ in } P(ab, x) P(ab^{-1}, x) \text{ is } \frac{E(x^2)}{E(x)^2} P(-b^2 x, x^2) .$$

Hence, from Lemma (5.3.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_0(b, c, x) &= \frac{E(x)^2}{E(x)^2} \{ P(-x, x^2) P(bc, x) P(bc^{-1}, x) - P^2(b, x) P(-c^2 x, x^2) \\
&\quad + bc^{-1} P^2(c, x) P(-b^2 x, x^2) \} \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$F(a, b, c, x) = a A_1(b, c, x) P(-a^2 x, x^2).$$

Now from (5.3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
F(1, b, c, x) &= -P^2(b, x) P(c, x) P(c^{-1}, x) + bc^{-1} P^2(c, x) P(b, x) P(b^{-1}, x) \\
&= c^{-1} P^2(b, x) P^2(c, x) + bc^{-1} P^2(c, x) (-b^{-1}) P^2(b, x) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

but we also have

$$F(1, b, c, x) = A_1(b, c, x) P(-x, x^2).$$

Hence $A_1(b, c, x) = 0$ since $P(-x, x^2) \neq 0$ for $|x| < 1$,

and $F(a, b, c, x) = 0$ as required.

Following Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer we define

$$(5.3.10) \quad P(r) = P(q^{11r}, q^{121}) = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^{121n+11r-121}) (1 - q^{121n-11r}),$$

where r is any non-zero integer. We easily find that

$$(5.3.11) \quad P(11 - r) = P(r).$$

The following Lemma is Lemma 4 of Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer's paper.

Lemma (5.3.12). Suppose that none of r, s, t , $r \pm s$, $s \pm t$, $r \pm t$ is equal to zero. Then we have

$$P^2(r) P(s+t) P(s-t) - P^2(s) P(r+t) P(r-t) + q^{11(s-t)} P^2(t) P(r+s) P(r-s) = 0.$$

Proof. If we substitute $x = q^{121}$, $a = q^{11r}$, $b = q^{11s}$, $c = q^{11t}$ in

Lemma (5.3.9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& P^2(q^{11r}, q^{121}) P(q^{11(s+t)}, q^{121}) P(q^{11(s-t)}, q^{121}) \\
& - P^2(q^{11s}, q^{121}) P(q^{11(r+t)}, q^{121}) P(q^{11(r-t)}, q^{121}) \\
& + q^{11(s-t)} P^2(q^{11t}, q^{121}) P(q^{11(r+s)}, q^{121}) P(q^{11(r-s)}, q^{121}) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$P^2(r) P(s+t) P(s-t) - P^2(s) P(r+t) P(r-t) + q^{11(s-t)} P^2(t) P(r+s) P(r-s) = 0,$$

as required.

Lemma (5.3.13).

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_2 - Q_0 Q_7 + q^{22} Q_2 Q_4^2 Q_7 &= 0, \\
Q_0 Q_2^2 Q_7 - Q_0 Q_1 + q^{11} Q_7 &= 0, \\
Q_2 Q_7 - Q_1^2 Q_2 Q_4 + q^{11} Q_4 &= 0, \\
Q_0 - Q_7^2 Q_0 Q_1 + q^{11} Q_1 Q_4 &= 0, \\
Q_0^2 Q_1 Q_4 - Q_1 + q^{11} Q_2 Q_4 &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, Q_4, Q_7 are defined in Lemma (5.2.1).

Proof. From (5.3.10) and Lemma (5.2.1) we have

$$(5.3.14) \quad Q_0 = \frac{P(4)}{P(2)}, \quad Q_1 = \frac{P(2)}{P(1)}, \quad Q_2 = \frac{P(5)}{P(3)}, \quad Q_4 = \frac{P(1)}{P(5)}, \quad Q_7 = \frac{P(3)}{P(4)}.$$

Taking $(r, s, t) = (5, 3, 1), (5, 4, 3), (5, 2, 1), (4, 3, 2),$

$(4, 2, 1)$ in Lemma (5.3.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
P^2(5) P(4) P(2) - P^2(3) P(4) P(5) + q^{22} P^2(1) P(2) P(3) &= 0, \\
P^2(5) P(4) P(1) - P^2(4) P(2) P(3) + q^{11} P^2(3) P(1) P(2) &= 0, \\
P^2(5) P(3) P(1) - P^2(2) P(4) P(5) + q^{11} P^2(1) P(3) P(4) &= 0, \\
P^2(4) P(5) P(1) - P^2(3) P(2) P(5) + q^{11} P^2(2) P(1) P(4) &= 0, \\
P^2(4) P(1) P(3) - P^2(2) P(3) P(5) + q^{11} P^2(1) P(2) P(5) &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{P^2(5) P(4) P(2)}{P^2(3) P(4) P(5)} - \frac{P^2(3) P(4) P(5)}{P^2(3) P(4) P(5)} + q^{22} \frac{P^2(1) P(2) P(3)}{P^2(3) P(5) P(4)} = 0 ,$$

$$\frac{P^2(5) P(4) P(1)}{P^2(4) P(2) P(3)} - \frac{P^2(4) P(2) P(3)}{P^2(4) P(2) P(3)} + q^{11} \frac{P^2(3) P(1) P(2)}{P^2(4) P(3) P(2)} = 0 ,$$

$$\frac{P^2(5) P(3) P(1)}{P^2(5) P(3) P(1)} - \frac{P^2(2) P(4) P(5)}{P^2(5) P(1) P(3)} + q^{11} \frac{P^2(1) P(3) P(4)}{P^2(5) P(3) P(1)} = 0 ,$$

$$\frac{P^2(4) P(5) P(1)}{P^2(2) P(4) P(1)} - \frac{P^2(3) P(2) P(5)}{P^2(2) P(1) P(4)} + q^{11} \frac{P^2(2) P(1) P(4)}{P^2(2) P(1) P(4)} = 0 ,$$

$$\frac{P^2(4) P(1) P(3)}{P^2(1) P(5) P(2)} - \frac{P^2(2) P(3) P(5)}{P^2(1) P(2) P(5)} + q^{11} \frac{P^2(1) P(2) P(5)}{P^2(1) P(2) P(5)} = 0 ,$$

or

$$Q_2^2 Q_1 Q_4 - 1 + q^{22} Q_2^2 Q_4^2 Q_1 Q_4 Q_7 = 0 ,$$

$$Q_2^2 Q_7^2 Q_0 Q_2 Q_4 - 1 + q^{11} Q_7^2 Q_2 Q_4 = 0 ,$$

$$1 - Q_1^2 Q_4^2 Q_0 Q_1 Q_2 + q^{11} Q_4^2 Q_0 Q_1 = 0 ,$$

$$Q_0^2 Q_2 Q_7 - Q_0^2 Q_7^2 Q_1 Q_2 Q_7 + q^{11} = 0 ,$$

$$Q_0^2 Q_1^2 Q_4 Q_0 Q_7 - Q_1^2 Q_0 Q_7 + q^{11} = 0 .$$

Multiplying the five equations above by $Q_0 Q_7$, $Q_0 Q_1$, $Q_2 Q_7$, $Q_1 Q_4$, $Q_2 Q_4$ respectively and noting that $Q_0 Q_1 Q_2 Q_4 Q_7 = 1$ we obtain

$$Q_2 - Q_0 Q_7 + q^{22} Q_2 Q_4^2 Q_7 = 0 ,$$

$$Q_0 Q_2^2 Q_7 - Q_0 Q_1 + q^{11} Q_7 = 0 ,$$

$$Q_2 Q_7 - Q_1^2 Q_2 Q_4 + q^{11} Q_4 = 0 ,$$

$$Q_0 - Q_7^2 Q_0 Q_1 + q^{11} Q_1 Q_4 = 0 ,$$

$$Q_0^2 Q_1 Q_4 - Q_1 + q^{11} Q_2 Q_4 = 0 , \text{ as required.}$$

5.4. We now proceed as in 1.3 and define

$$(5.4.1) \quad \alpha = q^{-5} Q_0, \beta = -q^{-4} Q_1, \gamma = -q^{10} Q_4, \delta = -q^{-3} Q_2, \varepsilon = q^2 Q_7.$$

From Lemma (5.2.1) we have

$$(5.4.2) \quad \xi(q) = \frac{E(q)}{q^5 E(q^{121})} = \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon + 1.$$

From (5.2.2), (5.2.3) and Lemma (5.3.13) respectively we obtain

$$(5.4.3) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha^2 + 2\gamma\varepsilon + \beta\delta^2 + \delta\varepsilon^2 + 2\alpha\beta\gamma = 0 \\ \beta^2 + 2\delta\alpha + \gamma\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon\alpha^2 + 2\beta\gamma\delta = 0 \\ \gamma^2 + 2\varepsilon\beta + \delta\alpha^2 + \alpha\beta^2 + 2\gamma\delta\varepsilon = 0 \\ \delta^2 + 2\alpha\gamma + \varepsilon\beta^2 + \beta\gamma^2 + 2\delta\varepsilon\alpha = 0 \\ \varepsilon^2 + 2\beta\delta + \alpha\gamma^2 + \gamma\delta^2 + 2\varepsilon\alpha\beta = 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(5.4.4) \quad \alpha\beta\gamma\delta\varepsilon = -1,$$

$$(5.4.5) \quad \begin{cases} \alpha + \beta\gamma + \alpha\beta\varepsilon^2 = 0 \\ \beta + \gamma\delta + \beta\gamma\alpha^2 = 0 \\ \gamma + \delta\varepsilon + \gamma\delta\beta^2 = 0 \\ \delta + \varepsilon\alpha + \delta\varepsilon\gamma^2 = 0 \\ \varepsilon + \alpha\beta + \varepsilon\alpha\delta^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

We note here that each of the three sets of equations, above, is invariant under any power of the cyclic permutation $(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\varepsilon)$. Multiplying the five equations in (5.4.5) by $\gamma\delta, \delta\varepsilon, \varepsilon\alpha, \alpha\beta, \beta\gamma$ respectively and noting that $\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\varepsilon = -1$, we obtain

$$(5.4.6) \quad \begin{cases} -\alpha + \beta\delta\epsilon + \gamma\epsilon\delta^2 = 0 \\ -\beta + \gamma\epsilon\alpha + \delta\alpha\epsilon^2 = 0 \\ -\gamma + \delta\alpha\beta + \epsilon\beta\alpha^2 = 0 \\ -\delta + \epsilon\beta\gamma + \alpha\gamma\beta^2 = 0 \\ -\epsilon + \alpha\gamma\delta + \beta\delta\gamma^2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

We shall use Σ to denote a sum obtained by permuting the typical term by powers of the cyclic permutation $(\alpha\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon)$. For instance, we have

$$\Sigma \alpha\delta^2 = \alpha\delta^2 + \beta\epsilon^2 + \gamma\alpha^2 + \delta\beta^2 + \epsilon\gamma^2.$$

We define

$$(5.4.7) \quad Y = \Sigma \alpha^2\beta^3, \quad Z = \Sigma \alpha\gamma^6.$$

Later we will show that for $1 \leq i \leq 10$,

$$H(\xi^i) = P(Y, Z),$$

where P is a polynomial, quadratic in Y and linear in Z , with integral coefficients and H is the operator that acts on a series in powers of q picking out those terms in which the power of q is congruent to 0 modulo 11.

Lemma (5.4.8).

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma \alpha\delta^2 &= -4, \\ \Sigma \alpha\beta\gamma^2 &= 3, \\ \Sigma \alpha\gamma\epsilon^3 &= 1 - Y, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \epsilon$ are defined by (5.4.1) and Y is defined by (5.4.7).

Proof. Multiplying the first equation in (5.4.5) by $\beta\gamma\delta\epsilon = -\alpha^{-1}, \delta^2$, respectively and summing we obtain

$$-5 + \sum \beta^2 \gamma^2 \delta \epsilon - \sum \beta \epsilon^2 = 0 ,$$

$$\sum \alpha \delta^2 + \sum \beta \gamma \delta^2 + \sum \alpha \beta \delta^2 \epsilon^2 = 0 ,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha \beta \gamma^2 &= \sum \beta \delta \gamma^2 = - \sum \alpha \delta^2 - \sum \alpha \beta \delta^2 \epsilon^2 \\ &= - \sum \beta \epsilon^2 - \sum \beta^2 \gamma^2 \delta \epsilon \\ &= -5 - 2 \sum \beta \epsilon^2 \\ &= -5 - 2 \sum \alpha \delta^2 , \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(5.4.9) \quad 2 \sum \alpha \delta^2 + \sum \alpha \beta \gamma^2 = -5 .$$

Multiplying the fourth equation in (5.4.3) by α and summing we obtain

$$\sum \alpha \delta^2 + 2 \sum \gamma \alpha^2 + \sum \alpha \epsilon \beta^2 + \sum \alpha \beta \gamma^2 + 2 \sum \delta \epsilon \alpha^2 = 0$$

or

$$(5.4.10) \quad 3 \sum \alpha \delta^2 + 4 \sum \alpha \beta \gamma^2 = 0 .$$

From (5.4.9) and (5.4.10) it follows that

$$\sum \alpha \delta^2 = -4 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum \alpha \beta \gamma^2 = 3 , \quad \text{as required.}$$

Multiplying the third equation in (5.4.3) by $\alpha\beta$ and summing we obtain

$$\sum \alpha \beta \gamma^2 + 2 \sum \alpha \epsilon \beta^2 + \sum \beta \delta \alpha^3 + \sum \alpha^2 \beta^3 - 10 = 0$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha \gamma \epsilon^3 &= \sum \beta \delta \alpha^3 = 10 - \sum \alpha^2 \beta^3 - 3 \sum \alpha \beta \gamma^2 \\ &= 10 - \gamma - 9 \\ &= 1 - \gamma , \quad \text{as required.} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma (5.4.11). Any expression of the form $\sum \alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c \delta^d \epsilon^e$ in which each term has powers of q congruent to $0 \pmod{11}$ and $a + b + c + d + e \leq 10$ can be written as a polynomial in y and z of the form

$$rY^2 + sY + tZ + u ,$$

where r, s, t, u are integers and Y, Z are defined by (5.4.7).

Proof. From (5.4.1) it is clear that any expression of the form $\alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c \delta^d \epsilon^e$ has powers of q congruent to $0 \pmod{11}$ if and only if

$$(5.4.12) \quad 6a + 7b + 10c + 8d + 2e \equiv 0 \pmod{11} ,$$

which is equivalent to

$$2^9 a + 2^7 b + 2^5 c + 2^3 d + 2e \equiv 0 \pmod{11} .$$

It follows that $\alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c \delta^d \epsilon^e$ has powers of q congruent to $0 \pmod{11}$ if and only if any one of

$$\beta^a \gamma^b \delta^c \epsilon^d \alpha^e , \quad \gamma^a \delta^b \epsilon^c \alpha^d \beta^e , \quad \delta^a \epsilon^b \alpha^c \beta^d \gamma^e , \quad \epsilon^a \alpha^b \beta^c \gamma^d \delta^e ,$$

has powers of q congruent to $0 \pmod{11}$,

so that under the conditions of the Lemma $\sum \alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c \delta^d \epsilon^e$ is well-

defined. It is easy to verify that the only non-trivial sums of degree at most 5 are $\sum \alpha \delta^2$, $\sum \alpha \beta \gamma^2$, $\sum \alpha^2 \beta^3$ and $\sum \alpha \gamma \epsilon^3$.

Hence from (5.4.7) and Lemma (5.4.8) it follows that the statement is true for the degree, $a + b + c + d + e \leq 5$.

We need some more equations. From the second equation in (5.4.5) and the third equation in (5.4.6) we have

$$(5.4.13) \quad \alpha^2 \beta \gamma = -\beta - \gamma \delta ,$$

$$(5.4.14) \quad \alpha^2 \beta \epsilon = \gamma - \alpha \beta \delta .$$

From the third equation in (5.4.3), and the fourth equation in (5.4.6) and (5.4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^2\gamma\delta &= -\alpha\gamma\beta^2 - 2\delta\epsilon\gamma^2 - \gamma^3 - 2\epsilon\beta\gamma, \\ \alpha^2\gamma\delta &= (-\delta + \epsilon\beta\gamma) - 2(-\delta - \epsilon\alpha) - \gamma^3 - 2\beta\gamma\epsilon,\end{aligned}$$

or

$$(5.4.15) \quad \alpha^2\gamma\delta = \delta + 2\epsilon\alpha - \beta\gamma\epsilon - \gamma^3.$$

From the third and fifth equations in (5.4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}-1 + \gamma\delta\alpha^2\beta^2 - \alpha\delta^2 &= 0, \\ \gamma\alpha^2 + \delta\epsilon\alpha^2 + \gamma\delta\alpha^2\beta^2 &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(5.4.16) \quad \alpha^2\delta\epsilon = -1 - \gamma\alpha^2 - \alpha\delta^2.$$

We now proceed by induction. We assume the statement is true for all values of a, b, c, d, e with $a + b + c + d + e \leq n$ where $5 \leq n \leq 9$, and consider any $\sum \alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c \delta^d \epsilon^e$, satisfying (5.4.12) and $a + b + c + d + e = n + 1$.

Without loss of generality we may suppose $a \geq 2$. There are two cases:

Case (I): Each term has at least three factors.

Suppose $b \geq 1$ and $c \geq 1$ then from (5.4.13) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum \alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c \delta^d \epsilon^e &= \sum \alpha^2 \beta \gamma (\alpha^{a-2} \beta^{b-1} \gamma^{c-1} \delta^d \epsilon^e) \\ &= - \sum \alpha^{a-2} \beta^b \gamma^{c-1} \delta^d \epsilon^e - \sum \alpha^{a-2} \beta^{b-1} \gamma^c \delta^{d+1} \epsilon^e.\end{aligned}$$

But the right-hand side of the equation above has terms of degree less than $n + 1$ so we have

$$\sum \alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c \delta^d \epsilon^e = P(Y, Z).$$

Throughout we denote by $P(Y, Z)$ and $P_i(Y, Z)$, for $1 \leq i \leq 5$, polynomials of the form

$$rY^2 + sY + tZ + u,$$

where r, s, t, u are integers.

Similarly from (5.4.14), (5.4.15), (5.4.16), respectively we obtain the desired result for $b \geq 1$ and $e \geq 1$, $c \geq 1$ and $d \geq 1$, $d \geq 1$ and $e \geq 1$, respectively,

Now suppose $b \geq 1$ and $d \geq 1$. We may assume $c = e = 0$.

If $d \geq 2$ we have

$$\sum \alpha^a \beta^b \delta^d = \sum \alpha^d \delta^b \gamma^a = P(Y, Z),$$

for some polynomial P . So we may assume $d = 1$.

From the third equation in (5.4.3) we have

$$\alpha\beta^2 = -\alpha^2\delta - 2\gamma\delta\epsilon - \gamma^2 - 2\beta\epsilon,$$

so that if $b \geq 3$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha^a \beta^b \delta &= \sum (\alpha\beta^2) \alpha^{a-1} \beta^{b-2} \delta \\ &= -\sum \alpha^{a+1} \beta^{b-2} \delta^2 - 2 \sum \alpha^{a-1} \beta^{b-2} \gamma \delta^2 \epsilon - \sum \alpha^{a-1} \beta^{b-2} \gamma^2 \delta \\ &\quad - 2 \sum \alpha^{a-1} \beta^{b-1} \delta \epsilon \\ &= -\sum \alpha^2 \gamma^{a+1} \delta^{b-2} + 2 \sum \alpha^{a-2} \beta^{b-3} \delta - \sum \alpha^{a-1} \beta^{b-2} \gamma^2 \delta - 2 \sum \alpha^{a-1} \beta^{b-1} \delta \epsilon \\ &= P(Y, Z), \quad \text{for some polynomial } P. \end{aligned}$$

We may assume $b = 1$, since if $b = 2$, $d = 1$, $c = e = 0$ then from (5.4.12) we have

$$6a + 14 + 8 \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$$

or $a \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$, which is impossible.

For $b = d = 1, c = e = 0$ we find $a \equiv 3 \pmod{11}$ which implies $a = 3$, but $a + b + c + d + e = 5 = n + 1$, contradiction. Hence we have shown that the statement is true for $b \geq 1$ and $d \geq 1$. Now suppose $c \geq 1$ and $e \geq 1$. We may assume $b = d = 0$. If $c \geq 2$ we have

$$\sum \alpha^a \gamma^c \epsilon^e = \sum \alpha^c \gamma^e \delta^a = P(Y, Z),$$

for some polynomial P .

If $e \geq 2$ we have

$$\sum \alpha^a \gamma^c \epsilon^e = \sum \alpha^e \beta^a \delta^c = P(Y, Z),$$

for some polynomial P .

So we may assume $c = e = 1$. In this case we find that $a = 9$, which is impossible.

CASE (II): Each term has only two factors.

We have the following table:

Degree	Terms with two factors				
6	$\alpha^2 \delta^4$	$\beta^2 \epsilon^4$	$\gamma^2 \alpha^4$	$\delta^2 \beta^4$	$\epsilon^2 \gamma^4$
7	$\alpha^5 \beta^2$	$\beta^5 \gamma^2$	$\gamma^5 \delta^2$	$\delta^5 \epsilon^2$	$\epsilon^5 \alpha^2$
	$\alpha^6 \delta$	$\beta^6 \epsilon$	$\gamma^6 \alpha$	$\delta^6 \beta$	$\epsilon^6 \gamma$
8	$\alpha \beta^7$	$\beta \gamma^7$	$\gamma \delta^7$	$\delta \epsilon^7$	$\epsilon \alpha^7$
9	$\alpha^8 \beta$	$\beta^8 \gamma$	$\gamma^8 \delta$	$\delta^8 \epsilon$	$\epsilon^8 \alpha$
	$\alpha^3 \delta^6$	$\beta^3 \epsilon^6$	$\gamma^3 \alpha^6$	$\delta^3 \beta^6$	$\epsilon^3 \gamma^6$
10	$\alpha^4 \beta^6$	$\beta^4 \gamma^6$	$\gamma^4 \delta^6$	$\delta^4 \epsilon^6$	$\epsilon^4 \alpha^6$
	$\alpha^7 \delta^3$	$\beta^7 \epsilon^3$	$\gamma^7 \alpha^3$	$\delta^7 \beta^3$	$\epsilon^7 \gamma^3$

We will now show that each of the expressions,

$$\sum \alpha^2 \delta^4, \quad \sum \alpha^5 \beta^2, \quad \sum \alpha^6 \delta, \quad \sum \alpha \beta^7, \quad \sum \alpha^8 \beta, \quad \sum \alpha^3 \delta^6, \\ \sum \alpha^4 \beta^6, \quad \sum \alpha^7 \delta^3,$$

can be written as $P(Y, Z)$, for some polynomial P .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha^2 \delta^4 &= \left(\sum \alpha \delta^2 \right)^2 - 2 \sum \alpha \beta \delta^2 \epsilon^2 - 2 \sum \alpha \beta^2 \delta^3 \\ &= P(Y, Z), \quad \text{for some polynomial } P, \end{aligned}$$

since from Lemma (5.4.8), $\left(\sum \alpha \delta^2 \right)^2 = 16$, and the latter two expressions are of degree 6 and they have terms with at least three factors.

$$\sum \alpha^6 \delta = \sum \alpha \gamma^6 = Z, \quad \text{by definition.}$$

Multiplying the third equation in (5.4.3) by α^4 we obtain

$$\alpha^4 \gamma^2 + 2\alpha^4 \beta \epsilon + \alpha^6 \delta + \alpha^5 \beta^2 + 2\alpha^4 \gamma \delta \epsilon = 0,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha^5 \beta^2 &= - \sum \alpha^6 \delta - 2 \sum \alpha^4 \gamma \delta \epsilon - \sum \alpha^4 \gamma^2 - 2 \sum \alpha^4 \beta \epsilon \\ &= -Z + P(Y, Z), \quad \text{for some polynomial } P, \end{aligned}$$

since the last three expressions are either of degree less than 7 or are of degree 7 with terms consisting of at least three factors.

Similarly the desired result for $\sum \alpha \beta^7$ follows from

$$\beta^5 \gamma^2 + 2\beta^6 \epsilon + \alpha^2 \beta^5 \delta + \alpha \beta^7 + 2\beta^5 \gamma \delta \epsilon = 0,$$

which is the third equation in (5.4.3) multiplied by β^5 .

Multiplying the first equation in (5.4.3) by $\alpha^6 \beta$ we obtain

$$\alpha^8 \beta + 2\alpha^6 \beta \gamma \epsilon + \alpha^6 \beta^2 \delta^2 + \alpha^6 \beta \delta \epsilon^2 + 2\alpha^7 \beta^2 \gamma = 0,$$

so that

$$(5.4.17) \quad \sum \alpha^8 \beta = -2 \sum \alpha^6 \beta \gamma \epsilon - \sum \alpha^6 \beta^2 \delta^2 - \sum \alpha^6 \beta \delta \epsilon^2 - 2 \sum \alpha^7 \beta^2 \gamma .$$

We will now show that each expression on the right-hand side of (5.4.17) can be written as a polynomial in Y and Z , of the desired type. Now, $\sum \alpha^6 \beta \gamma \epsilon = P_1(Y, Z)$ for some polynomial P_1 , since it has the same degree as $\sum \alpha^8 \beta$ and it consists of terms with at least three factors.

We have

$$(5.4.18) \quad \left(\sum \alpha^3 \beta \delta \right)^2 = \sum \alpha^6 \beta^2 \delta^2 - 2 \sum \alpha^2 \beta^3 + 2 \sum \alpha^4 \beta \gamma^3 \delta^2 .$$

From (5.4.13) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha^4 \beta \gamma^3 \delta^2 &= - \sum \alpha^2 \beta \gamma^2 \delta^2 - \sum \alpha^2 \gamma^3 \delta^3 \\ &= P_2(Y, Z) , \quad \text{for some polynomial } P_2 , \end{aligned}$$

since each expression has degree less than 9, the degree of $\sum \alpha^8 \beta$.

Now, from Lemma (5.4.8)

$$\left(\sum \alpha^3 \beta \delta \right)^2 = \left(\sum \alpha \gamma \epsilon^3 \right)^2 = 1 - 2Y + Y^2$$

and $\sum \alpha^6 \beta^2 \delta^2 = P_3(Y, Z) , \quad \text{for some polynomial } P_3 .$

Now, from (5.4.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha^6 \beta \delta \epsilon^2 &= \sum \alpha^2 \beta^6 \gamma \epsilon \\ &= - \sum \beta^6 \epsilon - \sum \beta^5 \gamma \delta \epsilon \\ &= P_4(Y, Z) , \quad \text{for some polynomial } P_4 . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\sum \alpha^7 \beta^2 \gamma = P_5(Y, Z) , \quad \text{for some polynomial } P_5 .$

Hence, $\sum \alpha^8 \beta = P(Y, Z) , \quad \text{for some polynomial } P .$

Multiplying the third equation in (5.4.3) by $\alpha\delta^5$ we obtain

$$\alpha\gamma^2\delta^5 + 2\alpha\beta\delta^5\varepsilon + \alpha^3\delta^6 + \alpha^2\beta^2\delta^5 + 2\alpha\gamma\delta^6\varepsilon = 0 ,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha^3\delta^6 &= - \sum \alpha^2\beta^2\delta^5 - 2 \sum \alpha\gamma\delta^6\varepsilon - \sum \alpha\gamma^2\delta^5 - 2 \sum \alpha\beta\delta^5\varepsilon \\ &= P(Y,Z) , \quad \text{for some polynomial } P , \end{aligned}$$

since each expression on the right-hand side is either of degree less than 9 or is of degree 9 with terms consisting of at least three factors.

We can argue similarly to obtain the desired result for

$$\sum \alpha^4\beta^6 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum \alpha^7\gamma^3 .$$

This completes the proof of Lemma (5.4.11).

As an immediate consequence we have the following Lemma.

Lemma (5.4.19). For each i , $1 \leq i \leq 10$, there exist integers r, s, t, u such that

$$H(\xi^i) = rY^2 + sY + tZ + u ,$$

where Y, Z are defined by (5.4.7).

Proof. (5.4.2) is

$$\xi = \frac{E(q)}{5 \frac{q^{121}}{E(q)}} = \alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon + 1 ,$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon$ are defined by (5.4.1).

Now,

$$\xi^i = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta + \varepsilon + 1)^i \quad \text{is a sum of expressions}$$

of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \alpha^a\beta^b\gamma^c\delta^d\varepsilon^e &= \alpha^a\beta^b\gamma^c\delta^d\varepsilon^e + \beta^a\gamma^b\delta^c\varepsilon^d\alpha^e + \gamma^a\delta^b\varepsilon^c\alpha^d\beta^e \\ &\quad + \delta^a\varepsilon^b\alpha^c\beta^d\gamma^e + \varepsilon^a\alpha^b\beta^c\gamma^d\delta^e , \end{aligned}$$

where $a + b + c + d + e \leq 10$.

From the remarks at the beginning of the proof of Lemma (5.4.11) we have that

$H(\xi^i)$ is a sum of expressions of the form

$$\sum \alpha^a \beta^b \gamma^c \delta^d \epsilon^e, \quad \text{where } a, b, c, d, e \text{ satisfies (5.4.12) and}$$

$a + b + c + d + e \leq 10$. Hence from Lemma (5.4.11) we have

$$H(\xi^i) = rY^2 + sY + tZ + u,$$

for some integers r, s, t, u .

5.5 In this section we prove a special case of Theorem (5.1.2), from which we are able to show that

$$p(11n + 6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

We also derive the modular equation of eleventh order due to Fine [8].

We define

$$(5.5.1) \quad A = -16 - Y, \quad B = 2 - Z,$$

where Y, Z are defined by (5.4.7).

We now introduce the operators H_i , $0 \leq i \leq 10$, which act on a series of powers of q and simply pick out these terms in which the power of q is congruent to i modulo 11, so that $H_0 = H$.

Lemma (5.5.2).

$$\begin{aligned} H(\xi) &= H(\xi^2) = 1, & H(\xi^7) &= 14B + 112A + 11^3, \\ H(\xi^3) &= H(\xi^4) = -11, & H(\xi^8) &= -11^3, \\ H(\xi^5) &= 10A + 11^2, & H(\xi^9) &= -9A^2 + 1386A - 99B + 11^4, \\ H(\xi^6) &= 11^2, & H(\xi^{10}) &= 11^4. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Lemma (5.4.8) we easily find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(\alpha + \dots + \epsilon) &= 0, \\
 H((\alpha + \dots + \epsilon)^2) &= 0, \\
 H((\alpha + \dots + \epsilon)^3) &= 3 \sum \alpha \delta^2 = -12, \\
 H((\alpha + \dots + \epsilon)^4) &= 12 \sum \alpha \beta \gamma^2 = 36, \\
 H((\alpha + \dots + \epsilon)^5) &= 10 \sum \alpha^2 \beta^3 + 20 \sum \alpha \gamma \epsilon^3 + 120 \alpha \beta \gamma \delta \epsilon \\
 &= 10Y + 20(1-Y) - 120 \\
 &= -100 - 10Y.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since H is a linear operator we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(\xi) &= H(\alpha + \dots + \epsilon) + H(1) = 1, \\
 H(\xi^2) &= H((\alpha + \dots + \epsilon)^2) + 2H((\alpha + \dots + \epsilon)) + H(1) = 1, \\
 H(\xi^3) &= -12 + 1 = -11, \\
 H(\xi^4) &= 36 - 48 + 1 = -11, \\
 H(\xi^5) &= (-100 - 10Y) + 5 \times 36 - 10 \times 12 + 1 \\
 &= -10Y - 39 = -10(-16 - A) - 39 = 10A + 11^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (5.4.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_1(\alpha + \dots + \epsilon) &= 0, \\
 H_1((\alpha + \dots + \epsilon)^2) &= \alpha^2 + 2\gamma\epsilon, \\
 H_1((\alpha + \dots + \epsilon)^3) &= 3\beta\delta^2 + 3\delta\epsilon^2 + 6\alpha\beta\gamma, \\
 \text{so } H_1(\xi^3) &= H_1((\alpha + \dots + \epsilon)^3 + 3(\alpha + \dots + \epsilon)^2 + 3(\alpha + \dots + \epsilon) + 1) \\
 &= 3\alpha^2 + 6\gamma\epsilon + 3\beta\delta^2 + 3\delta\epsilon^2 + 6\alpha\beta\gamma = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_3(\xi^3) &= 3\beta^2 + 6\delta\alpha + 3\gamma\epsilon^2 + 3\epsilon\alpha^2 + 6\beta\gamma\delta = 0, \\
 H_4(\xi^3) &= 3\epsilon^2 + 6\beta\delta + 3\alpha\gamma^2 + 3\gamma\delta^2 + 6\epsilon\alpha\beta = 0, \\
 H_5(\xi^3) &= 3\delta^2 + 6\alpha\gamma + 3\epsilon\beta^2 + 3\beta\gamma^2 + 6\delta\epsilon\alpha = 0, \\
 H_9(\xi^3) &= 3\gamma^2 + 6\epsilon\beta + 3\delta\alpha^2 + 3\alpha\beta^2 + 6\gamma\delta\epsilon = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,
$$H(\xi^6) = (H(\xi^3))^2 = 11^2.$$

We could use similar methods to evaluate $H(\xi^i)$, for $i \geq 7$, but this would be extremely tedious. We now calculate the first five terms of $H(\xi^i)$ as a series of powers of $x = q^{11}$, for $i \geq 7$, using Newman's [14] table for the coefficients of $E(q)^i$.

Now,

$$\xi = \frac{E(q)}{q^5 E(q^{121})}$$

so

$$(5.5.3) \quad H(\xi^7) = \frac{q^{-35} H_2(E(q)^7)}{E(q^{121})^7} = 14x^{-3} + 112x^{-2} + 238x^{-1} - 181 + 588x + \dots,$$

$$(5.5.4) \quad H(\xi^8) = \frac{q^{-40} H_7(E(q)^8)}{E(q^{121})^8} = 0x^{-3} + 0x^{-2} + 0x^{-1} - 11^3 + 0 + \dots,$$

$$(5.5.5) \quad H(\xi^9) = \frac{q^{-45} H_1(E(q)^9)}{E(q^{121})^9} = -9x^{-4} - 135x^{-3} + 1566x^{-2} + 3015x^{-1} - 2423 + \dots,$$

$$(5.5.6) \quad H(\xi^{10}) = \frac{q^{-50} H_6(E(q)^{10})}{E(q^{121})^{10}} = 0x^{-4} + 0x^{-3} + 0x^{-2} + 0x^{-1} + 11^4 + \dots$$

We have calculated the first eleven terms of Y^2, Y, Z as a series of powers of $x = q^{11}$.

$$(5.5.7) \quad Y = \sum \alpha^2 \beta^2 = -x^{-2} - 2x^{-1} - 4 - 5x - 8x^2 - x^3 - 7x^4 + 11x^5 \\ - 10x^6 + 12x^7 + 18x^8 + \dots,$$

$$(5.5.8) \quad Z = \sum \alpha \gamma^6 = -x^{-3} + 0x^{-2} - x^{-1} + 14 - 2x - 2x^2 - 16x^3 \\ - 16x^4 - 18x^5 + 46x^6 + 31x^7 + \dots,$$

$$(5.5.9) \quad Y^2 = x^{-4} + 4x^{-3} + 12x^{-2} + 26x^{-1} + 52 + 74x + 107x^2 \\ + 94x^3 + 106x^4 + 14x^5 - x^6 + \dots$$

From Lemma (5.4.19) we have

$$H(\xi^7) = rY^2 + sY + tZ + u,$$

for some integers r, s, t, u , so that

$$r = 0, \quad t = -14, \quad s = -112, \quad 14t - 4s + u = -181$$

and $u = -433$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} H(\xi^7) &= -112(-16 - A) - 14(2 - B) - 433 \\ &= 14B + 112A + 11^3. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$H(\xi^8) = rY^2 + sY + tZ + u, \quad \text{so that}$$

$$r = s = t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u = -11^3, \quad \text{as required.}$$

$$H(\xi^9) = rY^2 + sY + tZ + u, \quad \text{so that}$$

$$r = -9, \quad 4r - t = -135, \quad 12r - s = 1566$$

$$\text{and} \quad 52r + 14t - 4s + u = -2423.$$

$$\text{Hence,} \quad r = -9, \quad t = 99, \quad s = -1674, \quad u = -10037 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(\xi^9) &= -9(A+16)^2 - 1674(-16 - A) + 99(2 - B) + 10037 \\ &= -9A^2 + 1386A - 99B + 11^4. \end{aligned}$$

$$H(\xi^{10}) = rY^2 + sY + tZ + u, \quad \text{so that}$$

$$r = s = t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u = 11^4, \quad \text{as required.}$$

Theorem (5.5.10). Suppose r is one of the numbers 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and define $p_r(\alpha)$ as zero if α is not a non-negative integer, Then

$$p_r(11n + 5r) = (-11)^{(r/2)-1} p_r(n/11).$$

Proof. From Lemma (5.5.1) we have

$$H(\xi^r) = (-11)^{(r/2)-1},$$

$$H(q^{-5r} E(q)^r) = (-11)^{(r/2)-1} E(q^{121})^r,$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} p_r(11n+5r) q^{11n} = (-11)^{(r/2)-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} p_r(n) q^{121n}$$

$$\text{or } \sum_{n \geq 0} p_r(11n+5r)q^n = (-11)^{(r/2)-1} \sum_{n \geq 0} p_r(n/11)q^n, \text{ as required.}$$

Similarly we also find that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} p_1(11n+5)q^n = \sum_{n \geq 0} p_1(n/11)q^n,$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} p_3(11n+15)q^n = -11 \sum_{n \geq 0} p_3(n/11)q^n.$$

Further,

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} p_5(11n+3)q^{11n+3} = q^{25}(10A+11^2) E(q^{121})^5,$$

$$\sum_{n \geq -2} p_5(11n+25)q^{11n} = (10A+11^2) E(q^{121})^5$$

or

$$(5.5.11) \quad \sum_{n \geq -2} p_5(11n+25)q^n = (10A^* + 11^2) E(q^{11})^5,$$

$$\text{where } A^*(q) = A(q^{1/11}).$$

Similarly,

$$(5.5.12) \quad \sum_{n \geq -3} p_7(11n+35)q^n = (14B^* + 112A^* + 11^3) E(q^{11})^7,$$

$$\text{where } B^*(q^{1/11}).$$

Here we note that A^*, B^* are respectively α, β in Fine's paper.

Also if we compare (5.5.11), (5.5.12) respectively to the third and

fourth equation of (46) in Atkin [1] we find that Atkin's G_2, G_3

are related to A^*, B^* by

$$G_2 = A^*, \quad G_3 = B^* - 3A^*.$$

Theorem (5.5.13). For $n \geq 0$,

$$p(11n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.$$

Proof. From Theorem (5.5.10) we have

$$p_{10}(11n + 6) = 11^4 p_{10}((n-4)/11) ,$$

so that

$$H_6(E(q)^{10}) \equiv 0 \pmod{11} .$$

Now,

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} p(n) q^n = \frac{1}{E(q)} = \frac{E(q)^{10}}{E(q)^{11}} \equiv \frac{E(q)^{10}}{E(q)^{11}} \pmod{11} ,$$

$$\text{since } (1 - q)^{-11} \equiv (1 - q)^{-1} \pmod{11} .$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} p(11n + 6) q^{11n+6} \equiv \frac{H_6(E(q)^{10})}{E(q)^{11}} \equiv 0 \pmod{11}, \text{ as required.}$$

Lemma (5.5.14) (Fine's Modular Equation, Fine [8], (3.21)).

$$\begin{aligned} (5.5.15) \quad \frac{E(q^{11})^{12}}{q^{55} E(q^{121})^{12}} &= \xi^{11} - 11\xi^{10} + 5 \times 11\xi^9 - 11^2\xi^8 - 11^2\xi^7 \\ &\quad + 11(11^2 - 2A)\xi^6 - 11^2(11 - 2A)\xi^5 \\ &\quad - 11(11^3 + 126A + 2B)\xi^4 + 11^2(5 \times 11^2 + 38A + 3B)\xi^3 \\ &\quad - 11(11^4 + 72 \times 11A - A^2 + 9 \times 11B)\xi^2 \\ &\quad + 11^2(11^3 + 8 \times 11A + A^2 + 11B)\xi . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $0 \leq i \leq 10$ define $\xi_i(q) = \xi(\omega^i q)$ where $\omega^{11} = 1$, $\omega \neq 1$. then

$$H(\xi^j) = \frac{1}{11} \sum_{i=0}^{10} \xi_i^j(\omega^i q) = \frac{1}{11} \sum_{i=0}^{10} \xi_i^j .$$

Therefore from Lemma (5.5.2) we have

$$P_1 = \xi_0 + \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_{10} = 11 ,$$

$$P_2 = \xi_0^2 + \xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_{10}^2 = 11 ,$$

$$P_3 = \xi_0^3 + \xi_1^3 + \dots + \xi_{10}^3 = -11^2 ,$$

$$p_4 = \xi_0^4 + \xi_1^4 + \dots + \xi_{10}^4 = -11^2 ,$$

$$p_5 = \xi_0^5 + \xi_1^5 + \dots + \xi_{10}^5 = 11(10A + 11^2) ,$$

$$p_6 = \xi_0^6 + \xi_1^6 + \dots + \xi_{10}^6 = 11^3 ,$$

$$p_7 = \xi_0^7 + \xi_1^7 + \dots + \xi_{10}^7 = 11(14B + 112A + 11^3) ,$$

$$p_8 = \xi_0^8 + \xi_1^8 + \dots + \xi_{10}^8 = -11^4 ,$$

$$p_9 = \xi_0^9 + \xi_1^9 + \dots + \xi_{10}^9 = 11(-9A^2 + 1386A - 99B + 11^4)$$

$$p_{10} = \xi_0^{10} + \xi_1^{10} + \dots + \xi_{10}^{10} = 11^5 .$$

From standard formulae it follows that

$$s_1 = \sum \xi_i = 11 ,$$

$$s_2 = \sum_{i < j} \xi_i \xi_j = 5 \times 11 ,$$

$$s_3 = \sum_{i < j < k} \xi_i \xi_j \xi_k = 11^2 ,$$

$$s_4 = \sum_{i < j < k < l} \xi_i \xi_j \xi_k \xi_l = -11^2 ,$$

$$s_5 = -11(11^2 - 2A) ,$$

$$s_6 = -11^2(11 - 2A) ,$$

$$s_7 = 11(11^3 + 126A + 2B) ,$$

$$s_8 = 11^2(5 \times 11^2 + 38A + 2B) ,$$

$$s_9 = 11(11^4 + 72 \times 11A - A^2 + 9 \times 11B) ,$$

$$s_{10} = 11^2(11^3 + 8 \times 11A + A^2 + 11B) .$$

From Lemma (5.2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_{11} &= \prod_{i=0}^{10} \xi_i = \prod_{i=0}^{10} \xi(\omega^i q) \\
 &= \prod_{i=0}^{10} \frac{E(\omega^i q)}{q^5 \omega^{5i} R(q^{121})} = \frac{E(q^{11})^{12}}{q^{55} E(q^{121})^{12}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the ξ_i are the roots of the equation

$$x^{11} - 11x^{10} + 5 \times 11x^9 - 11^2 x^8 + \dots + 11^2(11^3 + 8 \times 11A + A^2 + 11B)x - \frac{E(q^{11})^{12}}{q^{55} E(q^{121})^{12}} = 0,$$

but $\xi = \xi_0$ and the Lemma is proved.

5.6. We are now in a position to prove Theorem (5.1.3).

From Lemma (5.5.2) and (5.5.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &H(\xi^{-1}) \frac{E(q^{11})^{12}}{q^{55} E(q^{121})^{12}} \\
 &= H(\xi^{10}) - 11H(\xi^9) + 55H(\xi^8) - 11^2 H(\xi^7) - 11^2 H(\xi^6) \\
 &\quad + 11(11^2 - 2A) H(\xi^5) - 11^2(11 - 2A) H(\xi^4) - 11(11^3 + 126A + 2B) H(\xi^3) \\
 &\quad + 11^2(5 \times 11^2 + 38A + 2B) H(\xi^2) - 11(11^4 + 72 \times 11A - A^2 + 99B) H(\xi) \\
 &\quad + 11^2(11^3 + 88A + A^2 + 11B) \\
 &= 11^4 - 11(-9A^2 + 1386A - 99B + 11^4) - 5 \times 11^4 - 11^2(14B + 112A + 11^3) \\
 &\quad - 11^4 + 11(11^2 - 2A)(10A + 11^2) + 11^3(11 - 2A) + 11^2(11^3 + 126A + 2B) \\
 &\quad + 11^2(5 \times 11^2 + 38A + 2B) - 11(11^4 + 72 \times 11A - A^2 + 99B) \\
 &\quad + 11^2(11^3 + 88A + A^2 + 11B) \\
 &= 11(11^3 + 88A + A^2 + 11B),
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(5.6.1) \quad H(\xi^{-1}) = 11(11^3 + 88A + A^2 + 11B) q^{55} \frac{E(q^{121})_{12}}{E(q^{11})_{12}}.$$

Now from (5.4.2) we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} p(n) q^n = \frac{1}{E(q)} = \frac{\xi^{-1}}{q^5 E(q^{121})}.$$

Picking out those powers of q congruent to $6 \pmod{11}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} p(11n + 6) q^{11n+6} &= \frac{H(\xi^{-1})}{q^5 E(q^{121})} \\ &= 11q^{50} (11^3 + 88A + A^2 + 11B) \frac{E(q^{121})_{11}}{E(q^{11})_{12}}, \\ \sum_{n \geq 0} p(11n + 6) q^{11n} &= 11q^{44} (11^3 + 88A + A^2 + 11B) \frac{E(q^{121})_{11}}{E(q^{11})_{12}}. \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(5.6.2) \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} p(11n + 6) q^n = 11q^4 (11^3 + 88A^* + A^{*2} + 11B^*) \frac{E(q^{11})_{11}}{E(q)_{12}}.$$

We note here that (5.6.2) is (3.25) in Fine's paper.

Also, A^* and B^* are uniquely determined by (5.5.11) and (5.5.12).