

# MORE CRANKS AND $t$ -CORES

F. G. GARVAN

ABSTRACT. In 1990, new statistics on partitions (called *cranks*) were found which combinatorially prove Ramanujan's congruences for the partition function modulo 5, 7, 11 and 25. The methods are extended to find cranks for Ramanujan's partition congruence modulo 49. A more explicit form of the crank is given for the modulo 25 congruence.

Dedicated to George Szekeres on the occasion of his 90th Birthday

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $p(n)$  be the number of partitions of  $n$  [1]. If  $\alpha \geq 1$ , and  $\delta_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha, \mu_\alpha$  are the reciprocals of 24 modulo  $5^\alpha, 7^\alpha, 11^\alpha$  respectively, then

$$(1.1) \quad p(5^\alpha n + \delta_\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{5^\alpha},$$

$$(1.2) \quad p(7^{2\alpha-1}n + \lambda_{2\alpha-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{7^\alpha},$$

$$(1.3) \quad p(7^{2\alpha}n + \lambda_{2\alpha}) \equiv 0 \pmod{7^{\alpha+1}},$$

$$(1.4) \quad p(11^\alpha n + \mu_\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{11^\alpha}.$$

These are Ramanujan's partition congruences. Watson [9] proved (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and Atkin [3] proved (1.4). Dyson [5] was the first to consider explaining these congruences combinatorially. Dyson defined an integral statistic on partitions, called the rank, whose value mod 5 he conjectured split the partitions of  $5n + 4$  into 5 equal classes, thus giving a combinatorial refinement for the  $\alpha = 1$  case of (1.1). He further conjectured that the analogous result for the rank mod 7 gave the  $\alpha = 1$  case of (1.2), and that there was a statistic, called the crank, which would similarly give the  $\alpha = 1$  case of (1.4). Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer [4] proved Dyson's conjecture for 5 and 7. Andrews and Garvan [2] were able to find a crank which not only solved Dyson's crank conjecture for 11 but gave new interpretations for 5 and 7. Later, Garvan, Kim and Stanton [6] found new cranks which gave new interpretations of Ramanujan's congruences mod 5, 7, 11, and 25. Their approach was combinatorial and in terms of the  $t$ -core of a partition. They gave explicit bijections between the equinumerous classes. In the present paper we extend the methods of [6] and give a crank which is a combinatorial refinement of the  $\alpha = 1$  case of (1.3), namely

$$(1.5) \quad p(49n + 47) \equiv 0 \pmod{49}.$$

---

*Date:* July 22, 2001.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 11P83; Secondary: 05A17.

*Key words and phrases.* partitions, congruences,  $t$ -cores, Dyson's rank, cranks.

Research supported in part by the NSF under grant numbers DMS-9208813 and DMS-9870052.

In §2 we reexamine two bijections from [6]. A crank for the partitions of  $25n + 24 \pmod{25}$  was given in [6]. A more explicit form of this crank is given in Theorem 3.4. A new and explicit crank for the 7-cores of  $49n + 47$  is given in Theorem 3.5. This leads to a crank for the partitions of  $49n + 47$  (Corollary 3.1).

## 2. TWO BIJECTIONS FOR $t$ -CORES

We need to examine in detail the two bijections relating partitions and  $t$ -cores which were given in [6]. Following [6] we let  $P$  be the set of all partitions. For any  $\lambda \in P$ , let  $|\lambda|$  denote the number that  $\lambda$  partitions. Fix a positive integer  $t$ . Let  $P_{t\text{-core}}$  be the set of partitions which are  $t$ -cores. Recall, that a partition is a  $t$ -core if it has no hook numbers that are multiples of  $t$  or equivalently no rim hooks that are multiples of  $t$ . See [7] for background on  $t$ -cores, hook numbers and rim hooks. We let  $a_t(n)$  denote the number of partitions of  $n$  which are  $t$ -cores.

**Bijection 1.** ([7, 2.7.17], [6, p.2]) There is a bijection  $\phi_1 : P \rightarrow P_{t\text{-core}} \times P \times \cdots \times P$ ,

$$\phi_1(\lambda) = (\tilde{\lambda}, \hat{\lambda}_0, \hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_{t-1}),$$

such that

$$|\lambda| = |\tilde{\lambda}| + t \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} |\hat{\lambda}_i|.$$

**Corollary 2.1.**

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_t(n) q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{tn})^t}{(1 - q^n)}.$$

Given a partition  $\lambda$  we label a cell in the  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column by  $j - i \pmod{t}$ . The resulting diagram is called a  $t$ -residue diagram [7, p.84]. We form the extended  $t$ -residue diagram by adding an infinite column 0 labelled in the same way. A region  $r$  of the extended diagram is the set of cells  $(i, j)$  with  $t(r-1) \leq j - i < tr$ . A cell is *exposed* if it is at the end of a row. The partition  $\lambda$  is a  $t$ -core if and only if for each exposed cell labeled  $i$  in region  $r$  there is an exposed cell labeled  $i$  in each region  $< r$ . Now we construct  $t$  bi-infinite words  $W_0, W_1, \dots, W_{t-1}$  of words of two letters  $N$  (not exposed) and  $E$  (exposed):

$$\text{The } j\text{-th element of } W_i = \begin{cases} N & \text{if } i \text{ is not exposed in region } j, \\ E & \text{if } i \text{ is exposed in region } j. \end{cases}$$

We now give the bijection. For each  $i$  we do the following steps:

- Step 1. Find the right most  $E$ .
- Step 2. Find the right most  $N$  to the left of this  $E$ . If no such  $N$  exists then END.
- Step 3. Remove the rim hook whose head is at  $E$  and whose tail is one cell to the right of the  $N$ . Place a part of size  $(\text{rim hook removed})/t$  in  $\lambda_i$ .
- Step 4. Go to Step 1.

The operation in Step 3 above changes a substring of  $W_i$  of the form  $NEE \dots EEN$  to  $EEE \dots ENN$ , i.e. the  $N$  is pushed right. The other words  $W_j$  are left unchanged by removing this rim hook, and we can process the  $i$ 's in any order. Steps 1–4 create a partition  $\lambda_i$  starting from the smallest part to the largest part and the process is easily reversible. At the end when all the  $W_i$  have been processed we are left with the required  $t$ -core  $\tilde{\lambda}$ .

**Bijection 2.** ([6, p.3]) There is a bijection  $\phi_2 : P_{t\text{-core}} \rightarrow \{\vec{n} = (n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{t-1}) : n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, n_0 + \dots + n_{t-1}\}$ , where

$$|\tilde{\lambda}| = t\|\vec{n}\|^2/2 + \vec{b} \cdot \vec{n}, \quad \vec{b} = (0, 1, \dots, t-1).$$

For a partition  $\lambda$ , we let  $r_k(\lambda)$  denote the number of cells in the  $t$ -residue diagram labeled  $k \pmod{t}$ , and call

$$\vec{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_{t-1})$$

the  $r$ -vector of  $\lambda$ . Bijection 2 is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \phi_2(\tilde{\lambda}) = \vec{n} = (r_0 - r_1, r_1 - r_2, \dots, r_{t-1} - r_0).$$

Let  $[x]$  denote the greatest integer  $\leq x$ . We will need the following

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\lambda : \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m$  be a partition and suppose*

$$\phi_1(\lambda) = (\tilde{\lambda}, \hat{\lambda}_0, \hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_{t-1}).$$

Then

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} |\hat{\lambda}_i| = r_0 - \left( \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} r_i^2 - r_i r_{i+1} \right),$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} i|\hat{\lambda}_i| \equiv \sum_{j=1}^m (\lambda_j - j) \left[ \frac{\lambda_j - j}{t} \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} i d_i \left( \frac{1}{2}(d_i + 1) + \left[ \frac{m - i - 1}{t} \right] \right) \pmod{t},$$

where  $d_i$  is the number of elements of the sequence

$$\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2 - 2, \dots, \lambda_m - m,$$

which are congruent to  $i \pmod{t}$ .

*Proof.* For  $t$ -cores, we have

$$r_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (r_i^2 - r_i r_{i+1}).$$

See [6, p.6]. Now suppose  $\vec{r}$  is the  $r$ -vector of  $\lambda$  and  $\vec{r}'$  is the  $r$ -vector of its  $t$ -core  $\tilde{\lambda}$ . The partition  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is obtained from  $\lambda$  by the removal of rim hooks whose lengths are multiples of  $t$ . Each rim hook of length  $t$  contains cells with distinct  $t$ -residues. It follows that

$$r'_i + s = r_i$$

where

$$s = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} |\hat{\lambda}_j|.$$

Since  $\vec{r}'$  is the  $r$ -vector of a  $t$ -core we have

$$\begin{aligned} r'_0 &= \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (r'_i{}^2 - r'_i r'_{i+1}), \\ r_0 - s &= \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} ((r_i - s)^2 - (r_i - s)(r_{i+1} - s)), \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} (r_i^2 - r_i r_{i+1}),$$

and (2.2) follows.

We add  $t$  dummy zeros to the parts of  $\lambda$ :

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_m \geq 0 \geq \cdots \geq 0,$$

and form the sequence

$$\bar{\lambda}: \lambda_1 - 1 > \lambda_2 - 2 > \cdots > \lambda_m - m > -m - 1 > \cdots > -m - t.$$

Let

$$\bar{\mu}_i: \mu_{i,1} > \mu_{i,2} > \cdots > \mu_{i,k_i}$$

be the terms of the sequence  $\bar{\lambda}$  that are congruent to  $i \pmod{t}$ . Here  $k_i$  is the terms so that  $d_i = k_i - 1$ . Each  $\mu_{i,k}$  corresponds to an exposed cell labeled  $i$  in region  $[\mu_{i,k}/t] + 1$ . In Bijection 1, the numbers

$$n_{i,k} = \left[ \frac{\mu_{i,k}}{t} \right] - \left[ \frac{\mu_{i,k+1}}{t} \right] - 1$$

correspond to a string of  $n_{i,k}$  consecutive  $N$ 's in the word  $W_i$ . Since these  $N$ 's are shifted as far as possible to the right we find that the sum of parts of the  $(i+1)$ th component

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\lambda}_i| &= n_{i,1} + 2n_{i,2} + \cdots + (k_i - 1)n_{i,k-1} \\ &= \left[ \frac{\mu_{i,1}}{t} \right] + \cdots + \left[ \frac{\mu_{i,k_i-1}}{t} \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}k_i(k_i - 1) - (k_i - 1) \left[ \frac{\mu_{i,k_i}}{t} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mu_{i,k} \equiv i \pmod{t}$  we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} i \left( \left[ \frac{\mu_{i,1}}{t} \right] + \cdots + \left[ \frac{\mu_{i,k_i-1}}{t} \right] \right) \\ &\equiv \sum_{j=1}^m (\lambda_j - j) \left[ \frac{\lambda_j - j}{t} \right] \pmod{t}. \end{aligned}$$

The desired result (2.3) follows from the fact that  $d_i = k_i - 1$  and that

$$\left[ \frac{\mu_{i,k_i}}{t} \right] = \left[ \frac{m - i - 1}{t} \right].$$

□

### 3. CRANKS FOR $t$ -CORES AND PARTITIONS

We need the crank results in [6]. The following theorem follows from [6, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 3.1.** ([6]) *If  $(t, \delta) = (5, 4), (7, 5)$  or  $(11, 6)$ , then*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} a_t(tn + \delta)q^{n+1} = \sum_{\vec{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}^t, \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{1} = 1} q^{Q(\vec{\alpha})},$$

where

$$Q(\vec{\alpha}) = \|\vec{\alpha}\|^2 - \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \alpha_i \alpha_{i+1}.$$

The form  $Q(\vec{\alpha})$  remains invariant under a cyclic permutation of the  $\alpha_i$ . This induces a  $t$ -cycle on  $t$ -cores of  $tn + \delta$ , which in turn induces a  $t$ -cycle on partitions of  $tn + \delta$  via Bijection 1. For the form  $Q(\vec{\alpha})$  the associated crank statistic is  $\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} i\alpha_i$ . This leads to crank statistics for  $t$ -cores of  $tn + \delta$ , and for partitions of  $tn + \delta$ .

### 3.1. Cranks for partitions of $5n + 4$ and $25n + 24$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** ([6, p.7]) *Let  $\vec{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_4)$  be the  $r$ -vector of  $\lambda$ , a 5-core of  $5n + 4$ . Then*

$$(3.1) \quad c_1(\lambda) := 2r_1 - r_2 + r_3 - 2r_4 \pmod{5} \in \mathbb{Z}_5$$

is a crank for 5-cores of  $5n + 4$ .

We make explicit the 5-cycle  $\sigma$  that acts on 5-cores of  $5n + 4$ . We let  $P_{t\text{-core}}(m)$  denote the set of  $t$ -cores of  $m$ . For  $0 \leq j \leq 4$  we let  $P_{t\text{-core}}^j(m)$  denote the set of  $t$ -cores  $\tilde{\lambda}$  of  $m$ , with crank  $c_1(\tilde{\lambda}) \equiv j \pmod{5}$ . For a  $t$ -core  $\tilde{\lambda}$  we call  $\vec{n} = \phi_2(\tilde{\lambda})$  its  $n$ -vector. We define the 5-cycle  $\sigma$  in terms of  $n$ -vectors. The map

$$\sigma : P_{5\text{-core}}(5n + 4) \longrightarrow P_{5\text{-core}}(5n + 4)$$

is defined by

$$\vec{n} \mapsto \left( -\frac{2n_0}{5} + \frac{n_1}{5} + \frac{4n_2}{5} + \frac{2n_3}{5} + \frac{3}{5}, -n_3, -\frac{3n_0}{5} - \frac{6n_1}{5} - \frac{4n_2}{5} - \frac{2n_3}{5} + \frac{2}{5}, \right. \\ \left. -\frac{n_0}{5} + \frac{3n_1}{5} - \frac{3n_2}{5} + \frac{n_3}{5} - \frac{1}{5}, \frac{6n_0}{5} + \frac{2n_1}{5} + \frac{3n_2}{5} + \frac{4n_3}{5} - \frac{4}{5} \right)$$

For each  $0 \leq j \leq 4$ , the map

$$\sigma : P_{5\text{-core}}^j(5n + 4) \longrightarrow P_{5\text{-core}}^{j+1}(5n + 4)$$

is a bijection.

The key to finding a crank for partitions of  $25n + 24$  in [6] was a bijective proof of the identity

$$(3.2) \quad a_5(5n + 4) = 5a_5(n).$$

The map

$$\theta : P_{5\text{-core}}(n) \longrightarrow P_{5\text{-core}}^0(5n + 4)$$

defined by

$$\vec{n} \mapsto (n_1 + 2n_2 + 2n_4 + 1, -n_1 - n_2 + n_3 + n_4 + 1, 2n_1 + n_2 + 2n_3, \\ -2n_2 - 2n_3 - n_4 - 1, -2n_1 - n_3 - 2n_4 - 1)$$

is a bijection. See [6, p.8]. This together with Theorem 3.2 yields a combinatorial proof of (3.2).

We now describe the crank for 5-cores of  $25n + 24$  found in [6]. For  $\lambda \in P_{5\text{-core}}(25n + 24)$  choose the unique  $\lambda' \in P_{5\text{-core}}^0(25n + 24)$  which is in the same orbit as  $\lambda$  under the 5-cycle  $\sigma$ . Define

$$(3.3) \quad c_2(\lambda) := c_1(\theta^{-1}(\lambda')).$$

Let  $\vec{n} = \theta^{-1}(\lambda')$ . By (2.1)

$$c_2(\lambda') = c_1(\vec{n}) = 2n_1 + n_2 + 2n_3.$$

Observe that this is the third component in the  $n$ -vector of  $\theta(\vec{n}) = \lambda'$ . It follows that

$$(3.4) \quad c_2(\lambda') = r_2 - r_3,$$

where  $\vec{r}$  is the  $r$ -vector of  $\lambda'$ . Unfortunately, it is not true in general that  $c_2(\lambda') \equiv c_2(\lambda) \pmod{5}$ . Nonetheless we can find a crank for 5-cores of  $25n + 24$  independent of the two maps  $\sigma$  and  $\theta$ . We have the following

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\vec{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_4)$  be the  $r$ -vector of  $\lambda$ , a 5-core of  $25n + 24$ . Then*

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} c(\lambda) &:= (c_1(\lambda), c_2(\lambda)) \\ &= (2r_1 - r_2 + r_3 - 2r_4, r_2 - r_3) \pmod{5} \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \end{aligned}$$

is a crank for 5-cores of  $25n + 24$ .

*Proof.* For each  $(i, j)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$ , we let  $P_{5\text{-core}}^{i,j}(25n + 24)$  be the set of 5-cores  $\lambda$  of  $25n + 24$  such that  $c(\lambda) \equiv (i, j) \pmod{5}$ . The map

$$\Psi = \theta\sigma\theta^{-1} : P_{5\text{-core}}^{0,j}(25n + 24) \longrightarrow P_{5\text{-core}}^{0,j+1}(25n + 24)$$

is a bijection. We have calculated the effect  $\sigma$  has on our crank statistics  $c_1, c_2$ . A calculation shows that the map

$$\sigma : P_{5\text{-core}}^{i,j}(25n + 24) \longrightarrow P_{5\text{-core}}^{i+1, i^2+i+j+2}(25n + 24)$$

is a bijection. We omit the details. We note that the indices are reduced mod 5. Using the maps  $\Psi$  and  $\sigma$  we find that

$$\left| P_{5\text{-core}}^{i,j}(25n + 24) \right| = \left| P_{5\text{-core}}^{0,0}(25n + 24) \right| = \frac{1}{25} a_5(25n + 24),$$

for  $0 \leq i, j \leq 4$ . Hence  $c = (c_1, c_2) \pmod{5}$  is a crank for 5-cores of  $25n + 24 \pmod{25}$ .  $\square$

A crank for partitions of  $25n + 24$  is given in [6, Theorem 6]. This crank is algorithmic in nature. It depends on Bijection 1, and the map  $\theta$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.3, we may define a crank independent of these maps. For a partition  $\lambda : \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m$ , with  $r$ -vector  $\vec{r} = (r_0, \dots, r_{t-1})$ , the definition of  $c_1(\lambda)$  and  $c_2(\lambda)$  is analogous to that given for  $t$ -cores in (3.1), (3.4) respectively. We need two more statistics. We define

$$(3.6) \quad s(\lambda) := r_0 - \left( \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} r_i^2 - r_i r_{i+1} \right),$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad c_3(\lambda) := \sum_{j=1}^m (\lambda_j - j) \left[ \frac{\lambda_j - j}{t} \right] - \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} i d_i \left( \frac{1}{2}(d_i + 1) + \left\lfloor \frac{m - i - 1}{t} \right\rfloor \right),$$

where  $d_i(\lambda)$  is the number of elements of the sequence

$$\lambda_1 - 1, \lambda_2 - 2, \dots, \lambda_m - m,$$

which are congruent to  $i \pmod{t}$ . Now let  $\lambda$  be any partition of  $25n + 24$ , and suppose

$$\phi_1(\lambda) = (\tilde{\lambda}, \hat{\lambda}_0, \hat{\lambda}_1, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_4).$$

Then by Lemma 2.1,

$$\sum_{i=0}^4 |\hat{\lambda}_i| = s(\lambda),$$

and

$$\sum_{i=0}^4 i|\hat{\lambda}_i| \equiv c_3(\lambda) \pmod{5}.$$

If  $s(\lambda) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , then  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is a 5-core with  $|\tilde{\lambda}| \equiv 24 \pmod{25}$  and

$$c(\lambda) := (c_1(\lambda), c_2(\lambda)) \equiv (c_1(\tilde{\lambda}), c_2(\tilde{\lambda})) \pmod{5},$$

since the sum of the coefficients in the definitions of  $c_1, c_2$  is zero. By rewriting [6, Theorem 6] in terms of our new statistics we obtain a bijection independent crank.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\vec{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_4)$  be the  $r$ -vector of a partition  $\lambda$  of  $25n + 24$ . We define a crank  $c(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_5$  as follows.*

*If  $s(\lambda) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$  we define*

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} c(\lambda) &:= (c_1(\lambda), c_2(\lambda)) \\ &= (2r_1 - r_2 + r_3 - 2r_4, r_2 - r_3). \end{aligned}$$

*If  $s(\lambda) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$  we define*

$$(3.9) \quad c(\lambda) := (c_1(\lambda), c_3(\lambda)).$$

*Then  $c(\lambda)$  is a crank for the partitions of  $25n + 24 \pmod{25}$ .*

The proof utilises Theorem 3.3 and follows from [6, Theorem 6].

**3.2. Cranks for partitions of  $7n + 5$  and  $49n + 47$ .** For 7-cores of  $7n + 5$  there is no analog of (3.2) and so there is no analog of the map  $\theta$ . Nonetheless we are able to find a crank  $c(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_7$  for the partitions of  $49n + 47$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** ([6, p.7]) *Let  $\vec{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_6)$  be the  $r$ -vector of  $\lambda$ , a 7-core of  $7n + 5$ . Then*

$$(3.10) \quad c_1(\lambda) := 5r_1 - r_2 - r_3 + r_4 + r_5 - 5r_6 \pmod{7} \in \mathbb{Z}_7$$

*is a crank for 7-cores of  $7n + 5$ .*

We make explicit the 7-cycle  $\sigma$  that acts of 7-cores of  $7n + 5$ . We define the 7-cycle  $\sigma$  in terms of  $n$ -vectors. Since  $\sum_{i=0}^6 n_i = 0$ , we omit the last component  $n_6$ , and let  $\vec{n} = (n_0, n_1, \dots, n_5)^T$ . The map

$$\sigma : P_{7\text{-core}}(7n + 5) \longrightarrow P_{7\text{-core}}(7n + 5)$$

is defined by

$$\sigma(\vec{n}) = M\vec{n} + \vec{\tau},$$

where

$$M = \frac{1}{7} \begin{pmatrix} -8 & -2 & -3 & -4 & -5 & -6 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & -2 & 6 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 & 5 & 1 & -3 \\ -2 & -4 & 1 & -1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -7 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -3 & -1 & -6 & -4 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\tau} = \frac{1}{7} \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have the following

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $\vec{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_6)$  be the  $r$ -vector of  $\lambda$ , a 7-core of  $49n + 47$ . Then*

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} c(\lambda) &:= (c_1(\lambda), c_2(\lambda)) \\ &= (5r_1 - r_2 - r_3 + r_4 + r_5 - 5r_6, r_3 + 4r_4 - 4r_5 - r_6) \pmod{7} \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \end{aligned}$$

is a crank for 7-cores of  $49n + 47$ .

*Proof.* For each  $(i, j)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_7$ , we let  $P_{7\text{-core}}^{i,j}(49n + 47)$  be the set of 7-cores  $\lambda$  of  $49n + 47$  such that  $c(\lambda) \equiv (i, j) \pmod{7}$ . We construct 7 bijections

$$\Psi_j : P_{7\text{-core}}^{0,j}(49n + 47) \longrightarrow P_{7\text{-core}}^{0,j+1}(49n + 47), \quad 0 \leq j \leq 6.$$

Each map  $\Psi_j$  has the form

$$\Psi_j(\vec{n}) = M_j \vec{n} + \vec{\tau}_j,$$

where  $M_j$  is a  $6 \times 6$  matrix, and  $\vec{\tau}_j$  is a constant vector, and which are given below.

$$M_0 = \frac{1}{49} \begin{pmatrix} -24 & -36 & -2 & -5 & 13 & -4 \\ 40 & 17 & -20 & -1 & 4 & 2 \\ -15 & -37 & -17 & -18 & 23 & -13 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 49 & 0 & 0 \\ 15 & 2 & 38 & -3 & -2 & -22 \\ -40 & -38 & -36 & -41 & -60 & -23 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\tau}_0 = \frac{1}{49} \begin{pmatrix} 22 \\ -4 \\ 26 \\ 0 \\ -26 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_1 = \frac{1}{49} \begin{pmatrix} 32 & 1 & -30 & -5 & 6 & 10 \\ -9 & -46 & 8 & -15 & 4 & 2 \\ -36 & 12 & -17 & -11 & 16 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 49 & 0 & 0 \\ 36 & 44 & 52 & 25 & 19 & 48 \\ 9 & 11 & 13 & -6 & 17 & -37 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\tau}_1 = \frac{1}{49} \begin{pmatrix} 15 \\ 31 \\ 26 \\ 0 \\ -26 \\ -31 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_2 = \frac{1}{49} \begin{pmatrix} 36 & 58 & 24 & 18 & 12 & 27 \\ 24 & 6 & 16 & 12 & 57 & 18 \\ -23 & -18 & -48 & -36 & -24 & -54 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 49 & 0 & 0 \\ 23 & -31 & -1 & -13 & -25 & 5 \\ -24 & -6 & 33 & -12 & -8 & -18 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\tau}_2 = \frac{1}{49} \begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ 13 \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ -10 \\ -13 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_3 = \frac{1}{49} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 8 & -44 & -19 & -8 & -25 \\ -9 & -4 & 22 & -15 & -10 & -40 \\ 48 & 54 & 46 & 31 & 37 & 50 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 49 & 0 & 0 \\ -48 & 2 & -11 & -17 & -2 & 6 \\ 9 & -31 & -1 & -6 & 31 & 5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\tau}_3 = \frac{1}{49} \begin{pmatrix} 15 \\ 3 \\ -16 \\ 0 \\ 16 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_4 = \frac{1}{49} \begin{pmatrix} -12 & 39 & 6 & -6 & -18 & -2 \\ -36 & -30 & 18 & -18 & -5 & -6 \\ 31 & -15 & 9 & -9 & -27 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 49 & 0 & 0 \\ -31 & -34 & -58 & -40 & -22 & -46 \\ 36 & 30 & 31 & 18 & 54 & 6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{\tau}_4 = \frac{1}{49} \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ 33 \\ -8 \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ -33 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$M_5 = \frac{1}{49} \begin{pmatrix} -31 & -34 & -58 & -40 & -22 & -46 \\ 12 & 10 & -6 & 6 & 18 & 51 \\ -36 & -30 & 18 & -18 & -5 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 49 & 0 & 0 \\ 36 & 30 & 31 & 18 & 54 & 6 \\ -12 & 39 & 6 & -6 & -18 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{\tau}_5 = \frac{1}{49} \begin{pmatrix} 36 \\ 24 \\ 26 \\ 0 \\ -26 \\ -24 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M_6 = \frac{1}{49} \begin{pmatrix} 36 & 44 & 52 & 25 & 19 & 48 \\ -32 & -22 & -26 & -37 & -62 & -31 \\ -9 & -46 & 8 & -15 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 49 & 0 & 0 \\ 9 & 11 & 13 & -6 & 17 & -37 \\ 32 & 1 & -30 & -5 & 6 & 10 \end{pmatrix}, \vec{\tau}_6 = \frac{1}{49} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 20 \\ 24 \\ 0 \\ -24 \\ -20 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} w(\vec{n}) &:= w(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_5) \\ &= \frac{7}{2}(n_0^2 + \dots + n_5^2 + (n_0 + \dots + n_5)^2) \\ &\quad + n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + 5n_5 - 6(n_0 + \dots + n_5). \end{aligned}$$

In terms of the  $n$ -vector  $c_1, c_2$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\vec{n}) &= 5n_1 + 4n_2 + 3n_3 + 4n_4 + 5n_5, \\ c_2(\vec{n}) &= n_3 + 5n_4 + n_5. \end{aligned}$$

In order to show the  $\Psi_j$  are bijections, we have used computer algebra to show for each  $j$ , (i)  $\Psi_j$  preserves the form  $w$ , (ii)  $\det(M_j) = \pm 1$ , and (iii)  $\vec{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^6$ ,  $(c_1(\vec{n}), c_2(\vec{n})) = (0, j)$ ,  $w(\vec{n}) \equiv 47 \pmod{49}$  implies  $\Psi(\vec{n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^6$  and  $(c_1(\Psi(\vec{n})), c_2(\Psi(\vec{n}))) = (0, j+1)$ . We have calculated the effect of the 7-cycle  $\sigma$  has on our crank statistics  $c_1, c_2$ . A calculation shows that the map

$$\sigma : P_{7\text{-core}}^{i,j}(49n+47) \longrightarrow P_{7\text{-core}}^{i+1,4i+j}(49n+47)$$

is a bijection. We omit the details. We note that the indices are reduced mod 7. Using the seven maps  $\Psi_j$  and the 7-cycle  $\sigma$  we find that

$$\left| P_{7\text{-core}}^{i,j}(49n+47) \right| = \left| P_{7\text{-core}}^{0,0}(49n+47) \right| = \frac{1}{49} a_7(49n+47),$$

for  $0 \leq i, j \leq 6$ . Hence  $c = (c_1, c_2) \pmod{7}$  is a crank for 7-cores of  $49n+47 \pmod{49}$ . □

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $\vec{r} = (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_6)$  be the  $r$ -vector of a partition  $\lambda$  of  $49n+47$ . We define a crank  $c(\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_7$  as follows.*

*If  $s(\lambda) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  we define*

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} c(\lambda) &:= (c_1(\lambda), c_2(\lambda)) \\ &= (5r_1 - r_2 - r_3 + r_4 + r_5 - 5r_6, r_3 + 4r_4 - 4r_5 - r_6). \end{aligned}$$

*If  $s(\lambda) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$  we define*

$$(3.14) \quad c(\lambda) := (c_1(\lambda), c_3(\lambda)),$$

where  $c_3$  is defined in (3.7).

*Then  $c(\lambda)$  is a crank for the partitions of  $49n+47 \pmod{49}$ .*

The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 3.4.

#### 4. REMARKS

Our cranks for the partitions of  $25n+24$  and  $49n+47$  depend crucially on finding the two crank functions  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . The first crank function  $c_1$  arises naturally from the  $t$ -cycle one gets from Theorem 3.1. For 5-cores the second crank function  $c_2$  arises from the map  $\theta$ . We describe another way the second crank function arises. Let  $w(\vec{n})$  be defined as in (3.12). Then since  $w(\vec{n}) \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$  and assuming  $c_1(\vec{n}) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ , there are integers  $k, \ell$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} n_0 &= 7k + 5 - 2n_1 - 3n_2 - 4n_3 - 5n_4 - 6n_5, \\ n_1 &= 7\ell - n_5 - 5n_2 - 2n_3 - 5n_4. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume the second crank function takes the form

$$c_2(\vec{n}) = ab_2 + n_3 + bn_4 + cn_5,$$

for some integers  $a, b, c$ . If we assume  $c_2(\vec{n}) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ , then there is an integer  $m$  such that

$$n_3 = 7m - an_2 - bn_4 - cn_5.$$

We want  $w(\vec{n})$  to be a linear form mod 49 in the remaining variables  $n_2, n_4, n_5$ . A calculation shows that this can only happen if

$$(a, b, c) \equiv (0, 5, 1) \pmod{7},$$

which nails down the second crank function  $c_2$ . We have considered the analogous problem for 11-cores of  $121n+116$ , and found there is no second crank function of a similar form which makes the corresponding  $w(\vec{n})$  linear mod 121. So if there is a crank for 11-cores of  $121n+116$  it must be more complicated.

It would be interesting to find other occurrences of pairs of crank functions  $(c_1, c_2)$  which give combinatorial congruences. Zoltan Reti [8] found a pair of crank functions which explains that the congruence

$$s(9n+8) \equiv 0 \pmod{9},$$

where  $s(n)$  is the number of partitions of  $n$  in which an even part may have two colors. It was Reti's result which led us to search for a function  $c_2$  for 7-cores of  $49n+47$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. Andrews, *The theory of partitions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 2, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Amsterdam, 1976.
- [2] G.E. Andrews and F.G. Garvan, Dyson's crank of a partition, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **18** (1988), 167-171.
- [3] A.O.L. Atkin, Proof of a conjecture of Ramanujan, *Glasgow Math. J.*, **8** (1967), 14-32.
- [4] A.O.L. Atkin and H.P.F. Swinnerton-Dyer, Some properties of partitions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **4** (1954), 84-106.
- [5] F. Dyson, Some guesses in the theory of partitions, *Eureka (Cambridge)*, **8** (1944), 10-15.
- [6] F.G. Garvan, D. Kim and D. Stanton, Cranks and  $t$ -cores, *Inventiones math.* **101** (1990), 1-17.
- [7] J.G. Kerber, *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1981.
- [8] Z. Reti, *Five problems in combinatorial number theory*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Florida, 1994.

- [9] G.N. Watson, Ramanujans Vermutung über Zerfallungszahlen, *J. Reine. Angew. Math.*, **179** (1938), 97–128.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA 32611  
*E-mail address:* `frank@math.ufl.edu`