CONGRUENCES AND RELATIONS FOR r-FISHBURN NUMBERS

F. G. GARVAN

ABSTRACT. Recently Andrews and Sellers proved some amazing congruences for the Fishburn numbers. We extend their results to a more general sequence of numbers. As a result we prove a new congruence mod 23 for the Fishburn numbers and prove their conjectured mod 5 congruence for a related sequence. We also extend and prove some unpublished conjectures of Garthwaite and Rhoades.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Fishburn numbers $\xi(n)$ [2] are defined by the formal power series

$$(1.1) \qquad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi(n)q^n = F(1-q),$$

where

$$(1.2) \qquad F(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (q;q)_n,$$

and

$$(a;q)_n = (1-a)(1-aq)\cdots(1-aq^{n-1}).$$

Zagier [10] showed that $\xi(n)$ is the number of linearized chord diagrams of degree n, and also the number of nonisomorphic interval orders on n unlabeled points. Andrews and Sellers [5] proved some amazing congruences for the Fishburn numbers. For example, for all $n \geq 0$,

$$(1.3) \qquad \xi(5n+3) \equiv \xi(5n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5},$$

$$(1.4) \qquad \xi(7n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod{7},$$

$$(1.5) \qquad \xi(11n+8) \equiv \xi(11n+9) \equiv \xi(11n+10) \equiv 0 \pmod{11},$$

$$(1.6) \qquad \xi(17n+16) \equiv 0 \pmod{17}, \text{ and}$$

$$(1.7) \qquad \xi(19n+17) \equiv \xi(19n+18) \equiv 0 \pmod{19}.$$

In fact, they prove that there are analogous congruences for all primes p that are quadratic nonresidues mod 23. For p prime they define

$$(1.8) \qquad S(p) = \left\{ j \ : \ 0 \leq j \leq p-1 \text{ such that } \tfrac{1}{2}n(3n-1) \equiv j \pmod{p} \text{ for some } n \right\}$$

and

$$(1.9) \qquad T(p) = \left\{ k \ : \ 0 \leq k \leq p-1 \text{ such that } k \text{ is larger than every element of } S(p) \right\}.$$

We state their main result.

Theorem 1.1 (Andrews and Sellers[5])**.** *If p is a prime and $i \in T(p)$ (as defined in (1.9)), then for all $n \geq 0$,*

$$\xi(pn + i) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Remark 1.2. Congruences (1.3)–(1.7) are the cases $p = 5, 7, 11, 17$ and 19 of Theorem 1.1. Andrews and Sellers proved that $T(p)$ is nonempty whenever p is a quadratic nonresidue mod 23.

Recently Garthwaite and Rhoades [7] observed congruence pairs and triples such as

(1.10) $$\xi(5n + 2) - 2\,\xi(5n + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{5},$$

(1.11) $$\xi(11n + 7) - 3\,\xi(11n + 4) + 2\,\xi(11n + 3) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.$$

In Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.7 below we prove congruences relations mod p exist for all primes ≥ 5.

We extend the Andrews and Sellers result to what we call r-Fishburn numbers $\xi_r(n)$ and which we define by the formal power series

(1.12) $$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_r(n)q^n = F((1 - q)^r),$$

where r is any nonzero integer. The case $r = 1$ corresponds to the ordinary Fishburn numbers. Even in the case $r = 1$ we are able to augment the set $T(p)$. For $p \geq 5$ prime, r relatively prime to p and nonzero and $0 \leq s \leq p - 1$ we define

(1.13)
$$S^*(p, r, s) = \left\{ j \,:\, 0 \leq j \leq p - 1 \text{ such that } \tfrac{1}{2}rn(3n - 1) \equiv j - s \pmod{p} \text{ for some } n \right.$$
$$\left. \text{and } 24(j - s) \not\equiv -r \pmod{p} \right\}$$

and

(1.14)
$$T^*(p, r, s) = \left\{ k \,:\, 0 \leq k \leq p - 1 \text{ such that } k \text{ is larger than every element of } S^*(p, r, s) \right\}.$$

We state our main

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose $p \geq 5$ is prime, r is a nonzero integer relatively prime to p and $0 \leq s \leq p - 1$. If $m \in T^*(p, r, s)$ (as defined in (1.14)), then for all $n \geq 0$,*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{s} \binom{s}{j}(-1)^j \xi_r(pn + m - j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Remark 1.4. We make some remarks.

(i) The $(r, s) = (1, 0)$ case of the theorem is slightly stronger than the Andrews-Sellers result. We observe that although $22 \in S(23)$, $22 \notin S^*(23, 1, 0)$ and we find $T^*(23, 1, 0) = \{18, 19, 20, 21, 22\}$ so that

(1.15)
$$\xi(23n + 18) \equiv \xi(23n + 19) \equiv \xi(23n + 20) \equiv \xi(23n + 21) \equiv \xi(23n + 22) \equiv 0 \pmod{23}.$$

This is a congruence that Andrews and Sellers missed.

(ii) We find that $S^*(5, -1, 0) = \{0, 3\}$ and $T^*(5, -1, 0) = \{4\}$ so that

(1.16) $$\xi_{-1}(5n + 4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}.$$

This congruence was conjectured by Andrews and Sellers [5]. When $(r, s) = (-1, 0)$ the Theorem only gives a congruence in the case $p = 5$. This is because 1 is a pentagonal number so that when $p > 5$ we have $p - 1 \in S^*(p, -1)$ and $T^*(p, -1, 0)$ is empty. Using the facts that

$$T^*(5, -1, 2) = \{3, 4\}, \quad T^*(5, -1, 3) = \{4\},$$

we find that

(1.17) $$\xi_{-1}(5n + 3) \equiv 3\,\xi_{-1}(5n + 2) \equiv 2\,\xi_{-1}(5n + 1) \pmod{5}.$$

Example 1.5.

$$S^*(43, -1, 2) = \{0, 1, 2, 5, 7, 10, 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 23, 29, 30, 31, 33, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41\},$$

so that

$$T^*(43, -1, 2) = \{42\},$$

and

$$\xi_{-1}(43n + 42) - 2\,\xi_{-1}(43n + 41) + \xi_{-1}(43n + 40) \equiv 0 \pmod{43},$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

We highlight the $s = 0$ case of the theorem.

Corollary 1.6. *Suppose $p \geq 5$ is prime and r is a nonzero integer relatively prime to p. If $m \in T^*(p, r, 0)$ (as defined in (1.14)), then for all $n \geq 0$,*

$$\xi_r(pn + m) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Corollary 1.7. *Suppose $p \geq 5$ is prime, and r is a nonzero integer relatively prime to p. Then there are at least $\frac{1}{2}(p+1)$ linearly independent congruence relations mod p of the form*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \alpha_j\,\xi_r(pn + j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

where n is any nonnnegative integer and $\vec{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_p^p$.

Remark 1.8. In Section 4 we prove Corollary 1.7 by showing that the relations

$$\sum_{j=0}^{s} \binom{s}{j}(-1)^j \xi_r(pn + p - 1 - j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

where the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{-24(1+s)\bar{r}+1}{p}\right) = -1$ or 0, form a set of $\frac{1}{2}(p+1)$ linearly independent congruence relations mod p. Here $r\bar{r} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. This also means that s can never equal $p - 1$.

Example 1.9. When $p = 7$ and $r = 1$ there are 4 relations mod 7:

$$\xi(7n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod 7,$$
$$\xi(7n+6) - 2\xi(7n+5) + \xi(7n+4) \equiv 0 \pmod 7,$$
$$\xi(7n+6) - 3\xi(7n+5) + 3\xi(7n+4) - \xi(7n+3) \equiv 0 \pmod 7,$$
$$\xi(7n+6) - 4\xi(7n+5) + 6\xi(7n+4) - 4\xi(7n+3) + \xi(7n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod 7,$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\xi(7n+6) \equiv 0 \pmod 7,$$
$$\xi(7n+5) + 5\xi(7n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod 7,$$
$$\xi(7n+4) + 3\xi(7n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod 7,$$
$$\xi(7n+3) + \xi(7n+2) \equiv 0 \pmod 7.$$

Conjecture 1.10. *Suppose $p \geq 5$ is prime, and r is a nonzero integer relatively prime to p. Then there are exactly $\frac{1}{2}(p+1)$ linearly independent congruence relations mod p of the form*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \alpha_j \, \xi_r(pn+j) \equiv 0 \pmod p,$$

where n is any nonnnegative integer and $\vec{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}_p^p$.

Following Andrews and Sellers [5], we define

(1.18) $$F(q, N) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} (q;q)_n,$$

and the p-dissection

(1.19) $$F(q, N) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} q^i A_p(N, i, q^p).$$

We consider the coefficients of the polynomials

(1.20) $$A_p(pn - 1, i, 1 - q) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha(p, n, i, k) q^k.$$

The Andrews-Sellers Theorem 1.1 depends crucially on

Lemma 1.11 (Andrews and Sellers [5]). *If $i \notin S(p)$, then*

$$\alpha(p, n, i, k) = 0,$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$.

We consider the analog of this result when $24i \equiv -1 \pmod p$. For $p \geq 5$ prime we define $\bar{\xi}_p(n)$ by the formal power series

(1.21) $$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{\xi}_p(n) q^n = (1 - q)^{\lfloor \frac{p}{24} \rfloor} F((1-q)^p),$$

where $F(q)$ is defined in (1.2). Observe that when $5 \leq p \leq 23$,

$$\bar{\xi}_p(n) = \xi_p(n),$$

for $n \geq 0$. We find the following new relation for Fishburn numbers.

Theorem 1.12. *Suppose $p \geq 5$ is prime and $24i_0 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ where $1 \leq i_0 \leq p - 1$. Then*

$$\alpha(p, n, i_0, k) = p \left(\frac{12}{p} \right) \bar{\xi}_p(k),$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Here $\left(\frac{\cdot}{\cdot} \right)$ is the Kronecker symbol.

Our main Theorem 1.3 will follow from Lemma 1.11 and Theorem 1.12 in a straightforward manner.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Lemma 2.1. *Let p be prime and suppose $0 \leq j \leq p - 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq M - 1 \leq N - 1$. Then*

$$\alpha(p, N, j, k) = \alpha(p, M, j, k),$$

where $\alpha(p, n, i, k)$ is defined in (1.20).

Proof. Let $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i / p)$. Then from (1.19) we have

$$A_p(N, j, q) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \zeta^{-jk} q^{-\frac{j}{p}} F\left(\zeta^k q^{\frac{1}{p}}, N \right).$$

Next we suppose that $n \geq pM$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\zeta^k (1-q)^{\frac{1}{p}}; \zeta^k (1-q)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)_n &= \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \left(\zeta^k (1-q)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^j \right) \\
&= \prod_{\substack{j=1}}^{\lfloor n/p \rfloor} (1 - (1-q)^j) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}}}^{n} \left(1 - \left(\zeta^k (1-q)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^j \right) \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{M} (jq + O(q^2)) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}}}^{n} \left(1 - \left(\zeta^k (1-q)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^j \right) \\
&= O\left(q^M \right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_p(pN - 1, j, 1 - q) &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \zeta^{-jk} q^{-\frac{j}{p}} \sum_{n=0}^{pN-1} \left(\zeta^k (1-q)^{\frac{1}{p}}; \zeta^k (1-q)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)_n \\
&= A_p(pM - 1, j, 1 - q) + O(q^M).
\end{aligned}
$$

The result follows. $\qquad\square$

Andrews and Sellers define a Stirling like array of numbers $C(n, i, j, p)$ for $n \geq 0$, $0 \leq i \leq p - 1$, and $0 \leq j \leq n$, which are defined by the recursion

(2.1) $$C(n + 1, i, j, p) = (i + jp)C(n, i, j, p) + pC(n, i, j - 1, p),$$

and the initial value

(2.2) $$C(0, i, 0, p) = 1.$$

It is understood that if either of the conditions $n \geq 0$ or $0 \leq j \leq n$ are not satisfied, then $C(n, i, j, p) = 0$. We note that

$$C(n, i, 0, p) = i^n.$$

We need a generalization of the signless Stirling numbers of the first kind. We define the numbers $s_1(n, j, m)$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ by

(2.3) $$\sum_{j=0}^{n} s_1(n, j, m)x^j = (x - m)(x - m + 1) \cdots (x - m + n - 1).$$

We note case $m = 0$ correspond to the signless Stirling numbers of the first kind $s_1(n, j)$. We define

(2.4) $$f(x, n, k, m) = (-1)^n \sum_{j=k}^{n} \binom{j}{k} s_1(n, j, m)x^j,$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$, otherwise define $f(x, n, k, m) = 0$.

Lemma 2.2. *For $0 \leq k \leq n + 1$ we have*

(2.5) $$f(x, n + 1, k, m) = -((x + n - m)f(x, n, k, m) + xf(x, n, k - 1, m)).$$

Proof. First we observe that

$$f(x, n, k, m) = \frac{x^k}{k!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^k f(x, n, 0, m),$$

where

$$f(x, n, 0, m) = (-1)^n(x - m)(x - m + 1) \cdots (x - m + n - 1) \quad \text{(by (2.3))},$$

for $k \geq 0$. Now we let

$$\tilde{f}(x, n, k, m) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^k (-1)^n(x - m)(x - m + 1) \cdots (x - m + n - 1),$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$, otherwise define $\tilde{f}(x, n, k, m) = 0$. We show that

(2.6) $$\tilde{f}(x, n + 1, k, m) = - \left((x - m + n)\tilde{f}(x, n, k, m) + k\tilde{f}(x, n, k - 1, m) \right)$$

where $0 \leq k \leq n + 1$. Since

$$\tilde{f}(x, n + 1, 0, m) = -(x - m + n)\tilde{f}(x, n, 0, m),$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \tilde{f}(x, n + 1, 0, m) = -(x - m + n)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\tilde{f}(x, n, 0, m) - \tilde{f}(x, n, 0, m),$$

so that

$$\tilde{f}(x, n+1, 1, m) = -\Big((x-m+n)\tilde{f}(x, n, 1, m) + \tilde{f}(x, n, 0, m)\Big)$$

and (2.6) holds for $k = 1$. We assume (2.6) holds for $k \le K$.

$$\tilde{f}(x, n+1, K+1, m) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\tilde{f}(x, n+1, K, m)$$
$$= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big[(x-m+n)\tilde{f}(x, n, K, m) + K\tilde{f}(x, n, k-1, m)\Big]$$
$$= -\Big[(x-m+n)\tilde{f}(x, n, K+1, m) + \tilde{f}(x, n, K, m) + K\tilde{f}(x, n, K, m)\Big]$$
$$= -\Big[(x-m+n)\tilde{f}(x, n, K+1, m) + (K+1)\tilde{f}(x, n, K, m)\Big],$$

and (2.6) holds for $k = K+1$. Hence (2.6) holds for all k by induction. Since

$$f(x, n, k, m) = \frac{x^k}{k!}\tilde{f}(x, n, k, m),$$

the result (2.5) follows easily. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 2.3. *Let* $i_0 = (p^2 - 1)z - mp$. *Suppose that*

$$(2.7) \qquad \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} C(n, i_0, \ell, p)A_1(\ell, m) = (-1)^n z^n \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}X(k),$$

for $n \ge 0$. *Then*

$$(2.8) \qquad A_1(n, m) = (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n}\sum_{j=k}^{n}\binom{j}{k}s_1(n, j, m)p^{j-2k}X(k)z^j,$$

for $n \ge 0$.

Proof. Since for $n \ge 0$ (2.7) forms a triangular system of equations in the unknowns $A_1(\ell, m)$ (for fixed m) and each diagonal coefficient

$$C(n, i_0, n, p) = p^n \ne 0,$$

it suffices to show that $A_1(n, m)$ given by (2.8) satisfies (2.7). By considering the coefficient of $X(L)$ it suffices to show that

$$(2.9) \qquad \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} C(n, i_0, \ell, p)f(pz, \ell, L, m) = G(n, L),$$

where

$$G(n, L) = (-1)^n \binom{n}{L}z^n p^{2L},$$

for $0 \le L \le n$ and $m \ge 0$. We proceed by induction on n. The result is clearly true for $n = 0$. We assume (2.9) holds for $n = N$. Now

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{N+1} C(N+1, i_0, \ell, p)f(pz, \ell, L, m)$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{N+1} \left(((p^2 - 1)z - mp + \ell p)C(N, i_0, \ell, p) + pC(N, i_0, \ell - 1, p) \right) f(pz, \ell, L, m) \quad \text{(by (2.1))}$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{N} ((p^2 - 1)z - mp + \ell p)C(N, i_0, \ell, p)f(pz, \ell, L, m)$$

$$+ \sum_{\ell=0}^{N} pC(N, i_0, \ell, p)f(pz, \ell + 1, L, m)$$

$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^{N} ((p^2 - 1)z - mp + \ell p)C(N, i_0, \ell, p)f(pz, \ell, L, m)$$

$$- \sum_{\ell=0}^{N} pC(N, i_0, \ell, p) \left((pz + \ell - m)f(pz, \ell, L, m) + pzf(pz, \ell, L - 1, m) \right)$$

$$= -z \sum_{\ell=0}^{N} C(N, i_0, \ell, p)f(pz, \ell, L, m) - p^2 z \sum_{\ell=0}^{N} C(N, i_0, \ell, p)f(pz, \ell, L - 1, m)$$

$$\text{(by (2.5))}$$

$$= -zG(N, L) - p^2 z G(N, L - 1)$$

$$= (-1)^{N+1} \left(\binom{N}{L} z^{N+1}p^{2L} + \binom{N}{L-1} z^{N+1}p^{2L} \right)$$

$$= (-1)^{N+1} \binom{N+1}{L} z^{N+1}p^{2L} = G(N + 1, L),$$

and (2.9) holds for $n = N + 1$, thus completing our induction proof. $\qquad\square$

We will also need some results of Zagier [10] on the Fishburn numbers. We need the following formal power series identity [10, Eqn.(4),p.946]

$$(2.10) \qquad e^{t/24} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - e^t) \cdots (1 - e^{nt}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_n}{n!} \left(\frac{-t}{24} \right)^n,$$

where T_n are the Glaisher T-numbers [1] and which are given explicitly by

$$(2.11) \qquad T_n = 6 \frac{(-144)^n}{n + 1} \left[B_{2n+2} \left(\frac{1}{12} \right) - B_{2n+2} \left(\frac{5}{12} \right) \right],$$

where $B_n(x)$ denotes the n-th Bernoulli polynomial. We remark that letting $t = \log(1 - q)$ in (2.10) and using (3.10) below we find that

$$(2.12) \qquad \xi(n) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{n+k} \binom{-1/24}{n - m} \frac{s_1(m, k)}{m!24^k} T_k,$$

which is useful for calculation. Zagier [10] also determined the behaviour of $F(q)$ when q is near a root of unity. In particular, if $\zeta = \zeta_p$ is a p-th root of unity and $N = 12p$ then

$$(2.13) \qquad e^{t/24} F(\zeta e^t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n(\zeta)}{n!} \left(\frac{-t}{24} \right)^n,$$

where

$$(2.14) \qquad c_n(\zeta) = \frac{(-1)^n N^{2n+1}}{2n+2} \sum_{m=1}^{N/2} \chi(m) \zeta^{\frac{1}{24}(m^2-1)} B_{2n+2} \left(\frac{m}{N} \right),$$

and where χ is the character mod 12 given by

$$(2.15) \qquad \chi(n) = \left(\frac{12}{n} \right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}, \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv \pm 5 \pmod{12}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This character occurs in the statement of Theorem 1.12.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.12

In this section we assume $p > 3$ is prime, $N = 12p$ and ζ is any p-th root of unity. Following [10] we define the sequence $(b_n(\zeta))$ formally by

$$(3.1) \qquad F(\zeta e^t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n(\zeta)}{n!} t^n.$$

From (2.10), (2.13), (2.14) we have for $n \geq 0$

$$(3.2) \qquad b_n(\zeta) = \frac{(-1)^n}{24^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \gamma(j,i) \zeta^i,$$

where

$$(3.3) \qquad \gamma(j,i) = \frac{(-1)^j N^{2j+1}}{2j+2} \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ (m^2-1)/24 \equiv i \pmod{p}}}^{N/2} \chi(m) B_{2j+2} \left(\frac{m}{N} \right).$$

As in [5] we have for $n \geq 0$

$$b_n(\zeta) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^n F(\zeta e^t) \bigg|_{t=0} = \left(q \frac{d}{dq} \right)^n F(q) \bigg|_{q=\zeta} = \left(q \frac{d}{dq} \right)^n F(q,m) \bigg|_{q=\zeta}$$

for $m \geq (n+1)p - 1$. Proceeding as in the proof of [5, lemma 2.5] we have from (2.14), (3.2) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$b_n(\zeta) = \left(q \frac{d}{dq} \right)^n F(q, (n+1)p - 1) \bigg|_{q=\zeta}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} C(n,i,j,p) \zeta^i A_p^{(j)}(p(n+1) - 1, i, 1)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} C(n,i,j,p) \zeta^i A_p^{(j)}(p(j+1)-1,i,1)$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^n}{24^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \gamma(j,i) \zeta^i.$$

Since this identity holds for all p-th roots of unity ζ (including $\zeta = 1$) and all the coefficients involved are all rational numbers we may equate coefficients of ζ^i on both sides to obtain

$$(3.4) \qquad \sum_{j=0}^{n} C(n,i,j,p) A_p^{(j)}(p(j+1)-1,i,1) = \frac{(-1)^n}{24^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} \gamma(j,i),$$

for $0 \le i \le p-1$. Now we let i_0 be the least nonnegative integer satisfying $24 i_0 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. We find that

$$(3.5) \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad i_0 = \frac{p^2-1}{24} - \left\lfloor \frac{p}{24} \right\rfloor p.$$

We now calculate $\gamma(j,i_0)$. We see that

$$\frac{m^2-1}{24} \equiv i_0 \pmod{p} \text{ if and only if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

In the sum (3.3) (with ($N = 12p$) we only consider the terms with $m = p$, $5p$ to find that

$$(3.6) \qquad \gamma(j,i_0) = \chi(p) \frac{(-1)^j 12^{2j+1} p^{2j+1}}{2j+2} \left(B_{2j+2}\left(\frac{1}{12}\right) - B_{2j+2}\left(\frac{5}{12}\right) \right).$$

We apply Theorem 2.3 with $z = \frac{1}{24}$ and $m = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{24} \right\rfloor$ to equation (3.4) with $i = i_0$ to obtain

$$A_p^{(n)}(p(n+1)-1,i_0,1) = (-1)^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{j=k}^{n} \binom{j}{k} s_1(n,j,\lfloor p/24 \rfloor) \frac{p^{j+1}}{24^j}$$

$$\chi(p) \frac{(-1)^k 12^{2k+1}}{2k+2} \left(B_{2k+2}\left(\frac{1}{12}\right) - B_{2k+2}\left(\frac{5}{12}\right) \right)$$

$$= (-1)^n \chi(p) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{j=k}^{n} \binom{j}{k} s_1(n,j,\lfloor p/24 \rfloor) \frac{p^{j+1}}{24^j} T_k,$$

by (2.11). From (1.20) we see that

$$\alpha(p,n+1,i_0,n) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} A_p^{(n)}(p(n+1)-1,i_0,1).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha(p,n+1,i_0,n) x^n = p \chi(p) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{j=k}^{n} \binom{j}{k} s_1(n,j,\lfloor p/24 \rfloor) \frac{p^j}{24^j} T_k,$$

$$(3.7) \qquad\qquad\qquad = p \chi(p) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{j} \left(\sum_{n=j}^{\infty} s_1(n,j,\lfloor p/24 \rfloor) \frac{x^n}{n!} \right) \frac{p^j}{24^j} \binom{j}{k} T_k$$

It is well-known that the signless Stirling numbers of the first kind have generating function

$$(3.8) \qquad G(x, u) = \exp\left(-u \log 1 - x\right) = (1 - x)^{-u} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n} s_1(n, j) u^j \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Our generalized signless Stirling numbers have generating function

$$(3.9) \quad G_k(x, u) = (1 - x)^k \exp\left(-u \log 1 - x\right) = (1 - x)^{k-u} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n} s_1(n, j, k) u^j \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Thus

$$(3.10) \qquad \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} s_1(n, j, k) \frac{x^n}{n!} = (1 - x)^k \frac{(-\log(1 - x))^j}{j!}.$$

Hence from (3.10) and (3.7) we have

$$(3.11) \ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha(p, n+1, i_0, n) q^n = p\chi(p)(1 - q)^{\lfloor p/24 \rfloor} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{j} \binom{j}{k} T_k \right) \frac{(-p \log(1 - q))^j}{24^j j!}$$

From Zagier's result (2.10) we have

$$(3.12) \qquad\qquad F(\exp(t)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} T_k \right) \frac{(-t)^n}{24^n n!}.$$

Thus using this result in (3.11) we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha(p, n+1, i_0, n) q^n = p\chi(p)(1 - q)^{\lfloor p/24 \rfloor} F(\exp(p \log(1 - q))$$

$$(3.13) \qquad\qquad = p\chi(p)(1 - q)^{\lfloor p/24 \rfloor} F((1 - q)^p).$$

Theorem 1.12 follows from (1.21) and (3.13) since

$$\alpha(p, n, i_0, k) = \alpha(p, k+1, i_0, k),$$

for $0 \le k \le n - 1$ by Lemma 2.1.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3 AND COROLLARY 1.7

We assume $p \ge 5$ is prime and $24 i_0 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ where $1 \le i_0 \le p - 1$. For two formal power series

$$A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n, \qquad B = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n q^n \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]],$$

we write

$$A \equiv B \pmod{p} \quad \text{if} \quad a_n \equiv b_n \pmod{p},$$

for all $n \ge 0$. If p is prime and m is a nonnegative integer

$$m = jp + r, \quad \text{where } 0 \le r < p \text{ and } j, r \in \mathbb{N},$$

then

$$(4.1) \qquad (1 - q)^m = (1 - q)^r (1 - q)^{pj} \equiv (1 - q)^r (1 - q^p)^j \pmod{p}.$$

Now suppose r is a nonzero integer relatively prime to p and $0 \le s \le p - 1$. We consider two cases.

Case I. $r > 0$. We proceed as in [5, Section 3]. We note that

(4.2) $$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi(n) q^n = F(1 - q, N) + O(q^{N+1}).$$

Now from (1.19) we have

$$F(q, pn - 1) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} q^i A_p(pn - 1, i, q^p)$$

$$= \sum_{i \in S(p) \backslash \{i_0\}} q^i A_p(pn - 1, i, q^p) + q^{i_0} A_p(pn - 1, i_0, q^p)$$

$$+ \sum_{i \notin S(p)} q^i A_p(pn - 1, i, q^p).$$

Hence

$$F((1 - q)^r, pn - 1) = \sum_{i \in S(p) \backslash \{i_0\}} (1 - q)^{ri} A_p(pn - 1, i, (1 - q)^{rp})$$

$$+ (1 - q)^{ri_0} A_p(pn - 1, i_0, (1 - q)^{rp})$$

$$+ \sum_{i \notin S(p)} (1 - q)^{ri} A_p(pn - 1, i, (1 - q)^{rp}).$$

For $i \notin S(p)$ we have

$$A_p(pn - 1, i, q) = \sum_{k \ge 0} \alpha(p, n, i, k)(1 - q)^k,$$

and

$$A_p(pn - 1, i, (1 - q)^{rp}) = \sum_{k \ge 0} \alpha(p, n, i, k)(1 - (1 - q)^{rp})^k$$

$$\equiv \sum_{k \ge 0} \alpha(p, n, i, k)(1 - (1 - q^p)^r)^k \pmod{p}$$

$$\equiv O(q^{pn}) \pmod{p},$$

by Lemma 1.11. In a similar fashion we have

$$A_p(pn - 1, i_0, (1 - q)^{rp}) \equiv O(q^{pn}) \pmod{p},$$

using Theorem 1.12. Thus

$$(1-q)^s F((1-q)^r, pn-1) \equiv \sum_{i \in S(p) \backslash \{i_0\}} (1-q)^{ri+s} A_p(pn-1, i, (1-q^p)^r) + O(q^{pn}) \pmod{p}.$$

By (4.1) we see that the only terms $q^{j'}$ that occur in $(1 - q)^{ri+s}$ (where $i \in S(p) \backslash \{i_0\}$) satisfy

$$j' \equiv j \pmod{p} \quad \text{where } 0 \le j \le m \text{ and } m \in S^*(p, r, s).$$

This is because $i \in S(p) \setminus \{i_0\}$ if and only if $ri + s$ is congruent to an element of $S^*(p, r, s)$. Since $A_p(pn - 1, i, (1 - q^p)^r)$ is a polynomial in q^p the result follows by letting $n \to \infty$; i.e. every term $q^{j'}$ in

$$(1 - q)^s F((1 - q)^r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{s} \binom{s}{j} (-1)^j \xi_r(n - j) q^n$$

where j' is congruent to an element of $T^*(p, r, s)$ must have a coefficient that is congruent to $0 \bmod p$.

Case II. $r < 0$. This time we choose integers β and m such that

$$r = mp + \beta,$$

where $0 < \beta \le p - 1$ and $m < 0$. We find that

$$(1 - q)^r = (1 - q)^\beta \Phi(q^p) + p\Psi(q) \equiv (1 - q)^\beta \Phi(q^p) \pmod{p},$$

where $\Phi(q)$, $\Psi(q) \in \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ and $\Phi(q)$ has constant term 1 so that it is a unit in the ring of formal power series. In fact,

$$\Phi(q) = (1 - q)^m = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \binom{k - m - 1}{k} q^k = 1 - mq + \frac{m(m - 1)}{2} q^2 + \dots.$$

We basically proceed as in Case I. We have

$$F((1 - q)^r, pn - 1) = F((1 - q)^\beta \Phi(q^p) + p\Psi(q), pn - 1)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} ((1 - q)^\beta \Phi(q^p) + p\Psi(q))^i A_p(pn - 1, i, ((1 - q)^\beta \Phi(q^p) + p\Psi(q))^p)$$

$$\equiv \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} (1 - q)^{\beta i} [\Phi(q^p)]^i A_p(pn - 1, i, ((1 - q^p)^\beta [\Phi(q^p)]^p) \pmod{p}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{i \in S(p) \setminus \{i_0\}} (1 - q)^{\beta i} [\Phi(q^p)]^p A_p(pn - 1, i, ((1 - q^p)^\beta [\Phi(q^p)]^p) + O(q^{pn}) \pmod{p},$$

and

$$(1 - q)^s F((1 - q)^r, pn - 1)$$

$$\equiv \sum_{i \in S(p) \setminus \{i_0\}} (1 - q)^{\beta i + s} [\Phi(q^p)]^p A_p(pn - 1, i, ((1 - q^p)^\beta [\Phi(q^p)]^p) + O(q^{pn}) \pmod{p},$$

arguing as before. This time instead of the term

$$A_p(pn - 1, i, (1 - q^p)^r),$$

which is a polynomial in q^p with integer coefficients we have the term

$$[\Phi(q^p)]^p A_p(pn - 1, i, ((1 - q^p)^\beta [\Phi(q^p)]^p),$$

which is a formal power series in q^p with integer coefficients. The result follows as before by letting $n \to \infty$. This completes the proof of our main theorem.

We now prove Corollary 1.7. As before suppose $p \geq 5$ is prime and let r be a fixed nonzero integer relatively prime to p. Suppose $\bar{r}$ is the multiplicative inverse of r mod p. We see that

$$r \tfrac{1}{2} n(3n-1) \equiv -1 - s \pmod{p} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad (6n-1)^2 \equiv -24(1+s)\bar{r}+1 \pmod{p}.$$

Thus $p - 1 \notin S^*(p, r, s)$ if $-24(1 + s)\bar{r} + 1$ is either a quadratic nonresidue mod p or congruent to zero mod p. There are $\frac{1}{2}(p + 1)$ such values of s for $0 \leq s \leq p - 1$. Thus

$$(4.3) \qquad \sum_{j=0}^{s} \binom{s}{j} (-1)^j \xi_r(pn + p - 1 - j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

for all $n \geq 0$ provided $\left(\frac{-24(1+s)\bar{r}+1}{p}\right) = -1$ or 0. It is clear that this set of $\frac{1}{2}(p+1)$ congruence relations mod p is linearly independent. This completes the proof of Corollary 1.7.

We illustrate (4.3) with some examples.

Example 4.1. $s = 0$ We have

$$\xi(pn + p - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for all $n \geq 0$ provided $p \geq 5$ is prime and $\left(\frac{-23}{p}\right) = 0$ or -1; i.e. $p \equiv 0, 5, 7, 10, 11, 14, 15, 17,$ $19, 20, 21,$ or $22 \pmod{23}$.

Example 4.2. $s = 1$ We have

$$\xi(pn + p - 1) \equiv \xi(pn + p - 2) \pmod{p}$$

for all $n \geq 0$ provided $p \geq 5$ is prime and $\left(\frac{-47}{p}\right) = 0$ or -1; i.e. $p \equiv 0, 5, 10, 11, 13, 15, 19, 20,$ $22, 23, 26, 29, 30, 31, 33, 35, 38, 39, 40, 41, 43, 44, 45,$ or $46 \pmod{47}$.

Example 4.3. $s = 2$ We have

$$\xi(pn + p - 1) - 2\,\xi(pn + p - 2) + \xi(pn + p - 3) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for all $n \geq 0$ provided $p \geq 5$ is prime and $\left(\frac{-71}{p}\right) = 0$ or -1; i.e. $p = 71$ or $\left(\frac{71}{p}\right) = -1$. We have

$$\xi_{-1}(pn + p - 1) - 2\,\xi_{-1}(pn + p - 2) + \xi_{-1}(pn + p - 3) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for all $n \geq 0$ provided $p \geq 5$ is prime and $\left(\frac{73}{p}\right) = 0$ or -1; i.e. $p = 73$ or $\left(\frac{73}{p}\right) = -1$.

5. CONCLUSION

We pose the following problems.

(i) The numbers $\xi(n)$ and $\xi_{-1}(n)$ have many combinatorial interpretations [6], [8], [9]. Use one of the interpretations to find a rank or crank-type function [3] to explain combinatorially the simplest congruences

$$\xi(5n + 3) \equiv \xi(5n + 4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5},$$
$$\xi_{-1}(5n + 4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}.$$

(ii) Zagier [10] showed that $q^{1/24}F(q)$ is a so-called quantum modular form [11]. Find and prove congruences for the coefficients of other quantum modular forms. In particular look at the functions considered by Andrews, Jiménez-Urroz and Ono [4].

REFERENCES

1. *Sequence A002439 (Glaisher's T numbers)*, in "The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences", published electronically at http://oeis.org/A002439, 2010.
2. *Sequence A022493 (The Fishburn numbers)*, in "The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences", published electronically at http://oeis.org/A022493, 2010.
3. G.E. Andrews and F. G. Garvan, *Dyson's crank of a partition*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **18** (1988), 167–171.
4. G. E. Andrews, J. Jiménez-Urroz, and K. Ono, *q-series identities and values of certain L-functions*, Duke Math. J. **108** (2001), 395–419.
5. G. E. Andrews and J. A. Sellers, *Congruences for the Fishburn numbers*, preprint (arXiv:1401.5345).
6. K. Bringmann, Y. Li and R. Rhoades, *Asymptotics for the number of row Fishburn matrices*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, to appear.
7. S. A. Garthwaite and R. C. Rhoades, Private communication, March 4, 2014.
8. S. M. Khamis, *Exact counting of unlabeled rigid interval posets regarding or disregarding height*, Order **29** (2012), 443–461.
9. P. Levande, *Fishburn diagrams, Fishburn numbers and their refined generating functions*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **120** (2013), 194–217.
10. D. Zagier, *Vassiliev invariants and a strange identity related to the Dedekind eta-function*, Topology **40** (2001), 945–960.
11. D. Zagier, *Quantum modular forms*, in "Quanta of Maths," Clay Math. Proc. **11**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2010) 659–675.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FL 32611-8105
E-mail address: fgarvan@ufl.edu