

NEW SYMMETRIES FOR DYSON'S RANK FUNCTION

F. G. GARVAN AND RISHABH SARMA

ABSTRACT. At the 1987 Ramanujan Centenary meeting Dyson asked for a coherent group-theoretical structure for Ramanujan's mock theta functions analogous to Hecke's theory of modular forms. Many of Ramanujan's mock theta functions can be written in terms of $R(\zeta_p, q)$, where $R(z, q)$ is the two-variable generating function of Dyson's rank function and ζ_p is a primitive p -th root of unity. In his lost notebook Ramanujan gives the 5-dissection of $R(\zeta_5, q)$. This result is related to Dyson's famous rank conjecture which was proved by Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer. In 2016 the first author showed that there is an analogous work of Bringmann and Ono, and Ahlgren and Treeneer. It was also shown how the group $\Gamma_1(p)$ acts on the elements of the p -dissection of $R(\zeta_p, q)$. We extend this to the group $\Gamma_0(p)$, thus revealing new and surprising symmetries for Dyson's rank function.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $p(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n . The following are Ramanujan's famous partition congruences:

$$\begin{aligned} p(5n + 4) &\equiv 0 \pmod{5}, \\ p(7n + 5) &\equiv 0 \pmod{7}, \\ p(11n + 6) &\equiv 0 \pmod{11}. \end{aligned}$$

In 1944, Dyson [9] sought a simple combinatorial explanation for these congruences. He defined the rank of a partition as the largest part minus the number of parts and conjectured that the rank mod 5 divided the partitions of $5n + 4$ into 5 equal classes and that the rank mod 7 divided the partitions of $7n + 5$ into 7 equal classes. His mod 5 and 7 rank conjectures were proved by Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer [4].

Let $N(m, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with rank m . We let $R(z, q)$ denote the two-variable generating function for the Dyson rank function so that

$$R(z, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_m N(m, n) z^m q^n.$$

It is the symmetry of the rank function $R(z, q)$, when z is a root of unity, that we study in this paper. Many of Ramanujan's mock theta functions can be written in such a form. For

Date: August 18, 2023.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05A19, 11B65, 11F11, 11F37, 11P82, 11P83, 33D15.

Key words and phrases. Dyson's rank function, Maass forms, mock theta functions, partitions, Mordell integral.

example, Ramanujan's third order mock theta function $f(q)$ can be written

$$f(q) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(1+q)^2(1+q^2)^2 \cdots (1+q^n)^2} = R(-1, q).$$

In fact, it was Dyson [10, p.20], who originally called for the study of such symmetry.

The mock theta-functions give us tantalizing hints of a grand synthesis still to be discovered. Somehow it should be possible to build them into a coherent group-theoretical structure, analogous to the structure of modular forms which Hecke built around the old theta-functions of Jacobi. This remains a challenge for the future.

Freeman Dyson, 1987
Ramanujan Centenary Conference

Many authors have taken up Dyson's challenge. In this paper we extend the previous work of Ahlgren and Treener [1], Bringmann and Ono [7] and the first author [13].

We have the following identities for the rank generating function $R(z, q)$:

$$(1.1) \quad R(z, q) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(zq, z^{-1}q; q)_n}$$

$$(1.2) \quad = \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (1+q^n)(1-z)(1-z^{-1})}{(1-zq^n)(1-z^{-1}q^n)} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(3n+1)} \right).$$

See [12, Eqs (7.2), (7.6)].

Here and throughout this paper we use the standard q -notation:

$$\begin{aligned} (a; q)_{\infty} &= \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^k), \\ (a; q)_n &= \frac{(a; q)_{\infty}}{(aq^n; q)_{\infty}}, \\ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j; q)_{\infty} &= (a_1; q)_{\infty} (a_2; q)_{\infty} \cdots (a_j; q)_{\infty}, \\ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_j; q)_n &= (a_1; q)_n (a_2; q)_n \cdots (a_j; q)_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let $N(r, t, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with rank congruent to $r \pmod t$, and let $\zeta_p = \exp(2\pi i/p)$. Then

$$(1.3) \quad R(\zeta_p, q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} N(k, p, n) \zeta_p^k \right) q^n.$$

Dyson's rank conjectures may be restated

Dyson's Rank Conjecture 1.1 (1944). *For all nonnegative integers n ,*

$$(1.4) \quad N(0, 5, 5n+4) = N(1, 5, 5n+4) = \cdots = N(4, 5, 5n+4) = \frac{1}{5}p(5n+4),$$

$$(1.5) \quad N(0, 7, 7n + 5) = N(1, 7, 7n + 5) = \cdots = N(6, 7, 7n + 5) = \frac{1}{7}p(7n + 5).$$

As noted in [12], [11], it can be shown that Dyson's mod 5 rank conjecture (1.4) follows from an identity in Ramanujan's Lost Notebook [19, p.20], [2, Eq. (2.1.17)]. We let ζ_5 be a primitive 5th root of unity. Then the following is Ramanujan's identity.

$$(1.6) \quad R(\zeta_5, q) = A(q^5) + (\zeta_5 + \zeta_5^{-1} - 2) \phi(q^5) + q B(q^5) + (\zeta_5 + \zeta_5^{-1}) q^2 C(q^5) \\ - (\zeta_5 + \zeta_5^{-1}) q^3 \left\{ D(q^5) - (\zeta_5^2 + \zeta_5^{-2} - 2) \frac{\psi(q^5)}{q^5} \right\},$$

where

$$A(q) = \frac{(q^2, q^3, q^5; q^5)_\infty}{(q, q^4; q^5)_\infty^2}, \quad B(q) = \frac{(q^5; q^5)_\infty}{(q, q^4; q^5)_\infty}, \quad C(q) = \frac{(q^5; q^5)_\infty}{(q^2, q^3; q^5)_\infty}, \quad D(q) = \frac{(q, q^4, q^5; q^5)_\infty}{(q^2, q^3; q^5)_\infty^2},$$

and

$$\phi(q) = -1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{5n^2}}{(q; q^5)_{n+1} (q^4; q^5)_n}, \quad \psi(q) = -1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{5n^2}}{(q^2; q^5)_{n+1} (q^3; q^5)_n}.$$

By multiplying by an appropriate power of q and substituting $q = \exp(2\pi iz)$, we recognize the functions $A(q)$, $B(q)$, $C(q)$, $D(q)$ as being modular forms. In fact, we can rewrite Ramanujan's identity (1.6) in terms of generalized eta-products:

$$\eta(z) := q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n), \quad q = \exp(2\pi iz),$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad \eta_{N,k}(z) = q^{\frac{N}{2} P_2(k/N)} \prod_{\substack{m>0 \\ m \equiv \pm k \pmod{N}}} (1 - q^m),$$

where $z \in \mathfrak{h}$, $P_2(t) = \{t\}^2 - \{t\} + \frac{1}{6}$ is the second periodic Bernoulli polynomial, and $\{t\} = t - [t]$ is the fractional part of t . Here as in Robins [20], $1 \leq N \nmid k$. We have

$$(1.8) \quad q^{-\frac{1}{24}} (R(\zeta_5, q) - (\zeta_5 + \zeta_5^4 - 2) \phi(q^5) + (1 + 2\zeta_5 + 2\zeta_5^4) q^{-2} \psi(q^5)) \\ = \frac{\eta(25z) \eta_{5,2}(5z)}{\eta_{5,1}(5z)^2} + \frac{\eta(25z)}{\eta_{5,1}(5z)} + (\zeta_5 + \zeta_5^4) \frac{\eta(25z)}{\eta_{5,2}(5z)} - (\zeta_5 + \zeta_5^4) \frac{\eta(25z) \eta_{5,1}(5z)}{\eta_{5,2}(5z)^2}.$$

Equation (1.6), or equivalently (1.8), give the 5-dissection of the q -series expansion of $R(\zeta_5, q)$. We observe that the function on the right side of (1.8) is a weakly holomorphic modular form (with multiplier) of weight $\frac{1}{2}$ on the group $\Gamma_0(25) \cap \Gamma_1(5)$.

In [13], the first author was able to generalize Ramanujan's result (1.8) to all primes $p > 3$.

Theorem 1.2 ([13, Theorem 1.2, p.202]). *For $p > 3$ prime and $1 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ define*

$$(1.9) \quad \Phi_{p,a}(q) := \begin{cases} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{pn^2}}{(q^a; q^p)_{n+1} (q^{p-a}; q^p)_n}, & \text{if } 0 < 6a < p, \\ -1 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{pn^2}}{(q^a; q^p)_{n+1} (q^{p-a}; q^p)_n}, & \text{if } p < 6a < 3p, \end{cases}$$

and

(1.10)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_p(\zeta_p, z) := q^{-\frac{1}{24}} R(\zeta_p, q) - \chi_{12}(p) \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^a \left(\zeta_p^{3a+\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} + \zeta_p^{-3a-\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} \right. \\ \left. - \zeta_p^{3a+\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} - \zeta_p^{-3a-\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \right) q^{\frac{a}{2}(p-3a)-\frac{p^2}{24}} \Phi_{p,a}(q^p), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.11) \quad \chi_{12}(n) := \left(\frac{12}{n} \right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}, \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv \pm 5 \pmod{12}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $q = \exp(2\pi iz)$ with $\Im(z) > 0$. Then the function

$$\eta(p^2 z) \mathcal{R}_p(\zeta_p, z)$$

is a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 1 on the group $\Gamma_0(p^2) \cap \Gamma_1(p)$.

In [13], the first author also considered the modularity of each element of the p -dissection of $\eta(p^2 z) \mathcal{R}_p(\zeta_p, z)$. For example, we have

Theorem 1.3 ([13, Corollary 1.3, p.202]). *Let $p > 3$ be prime and $s_p = \frac{1}{24}(p^2 - 1)$. Then the function*

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{pn}) \sum_{n=\lceil \frac{1}{p}(s_p) \rceil}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} N(k, p, pn - s_p) \zeta_p^k \right) q^n$$

is a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 1 on the group $\Gamma_1(p)$.

Remark. This result is an improvement of a theorem of Ahlgren and Treener [1, Theorem 1.6, p.271].

In this paper we improve the previous results of the first author for the other elements of the p -dissection. These elements feature the functions $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p^d, z)$.

Definition 1.4. For $p > 3$ prime, $0 \leq m \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq d \leq p-1$ define $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p^d, z)$ as follows :

(i) For $m = 0$ or $\left(\frac{-24m}{p} \right) = -1$ define

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p^d, z) := q^{m/p} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{pn}) \sum_{n=\lceil \frac{1}{p}(s_p - m) \rceil}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} N(k, p, pn + m - s_p) \zeta_p^{kd} \right) q^n,$$

where $s_p = \frac{1}{24}(p^2 - 1)$, and $q = \exp(2\pi iz)$.

(ii) For $\left(\frac{-24m}{p}\right) = 1$ define

(1.13)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p^d, z) := & q^{m/p} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{pn}) \left(\sum_{n=\lceil \frac{1}{p}(s_p - m) \rceil}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} N(k, p, pn + m - s_p) \zeta_p^{kd} \right) q^n \right. \\ & \left. - 4\chi_{12}(p) (-1)^{a+d+1} \sin\left(\frac{d\pi}{p}\right) \sin\left(\frac{6ad\pi}{p}\right) q^{\frac{1}{p}(\frac{a}{2}(p-3a)-m)} \Phi_{p,a}(q) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ has been chosen so that

$$-24m \equiv (6a)^2 \pmod{p}.$$

In [13], the first author studied the action of the the group $\Gamma_1(p)$ on $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p^d, z)$ for $d = 1$ and obtained :

Theorem 1.5. [13, Theorem 6.3, p.234] *Suppose $p > 3$ prime, $0 \leq m \leq p-1$. Then*

- (i) $\mathcal{K}_{p,0}(\zeta_p, z)$ is a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 1 on $\Gamma_1(p)$.
- (ii) If $1 \leq m \leq (p-1)$ then $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)$ is a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 1 on $\Gamma(p)$. In particular,

$$(1.14) \quad \mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z) | [B]_1 = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi ibm}{p}\right) \mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z),$$

$$\text{for } B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(p).$$

Remark. In equation (1.14) we have used the stroke operator notation defined in (2.14).

In [2] Entry 2.1.5, we have a 7 dissection of the q -series expansion of $R(\zeta_7, q)$. Rewriting the equation in an equivalent form in terms of generalized eta-functions, we have

$$(1.15) \quad q^{-\frac{1}{24}} \left(R(\zeta_7, q) + (\zeta_7 + \zeta_7^6 - 2) \phi_{7,1}(q^7) + (-\zeta_7^2 + \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^4 - \zeta_7^5) q^{-1} \phi_{7,2}(q^7) \right. \\ \left. + (1 + 2\zeta_7^2 + \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^4 + 2\zeta_7^5) q^{-5} \phi_{7,3}(q^7) \right)$$

$$(1.16) \quad = (-1 + \zeta_7 + \zeta_7^6) \frac{\eta(49z) \eta_{7,3}(7z)}{\eta_{7,1}(7z) \eta_{7,2}(7z)} + \frac{\eta(49z)}{\eta_{7,1}(7z)} + (\zeta_7 + \zeta_7^6) \frac{\eta(49z) \eta_{7,2}(7z)}{\eta_{7,1}(7z) \eta_{7,3}(7z)} \\ + (1 + \zeta_7^2 + \zeta_7^5) \frac{\eta(49z)}{\eta_{7,2}(7z)} - (\zeta_7^2 + \zeta_7^5) \frac{\eta(49z)}{\eta_{7,3}(7z)} - (1 + \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^4) \frac{\eta(49z) \eta_{7,1}(7z)}{\eta_{7,2}(7z) \eta_{7,3}(7z)}.$$

Multiplying both sides by $\eta(49z)$ and letting $q \rightarrow q^{\frac{1}{7}}$, we find the elements $\mathcal{K}_{7,m}(\zeta_7, z)$ of the 7-dissection of $\eta(49z) \mathcal{R}_7(\zeta_p, z)$ in terms of generalized eta-products:

$$\mathcal{K}_{7,0}(\zeta_7, z) = 0,$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{7,1}(\zeta_7, z) = -(1 + \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^4) \frac{\eta(7z)^2 \eta_{7,1}(z)}{\eta_{7,2}(z) \eta_{7,3}(z)},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_{7,2}(\zeta_7, z) &= (-1 + \zeta_7 + \zeta_7^6) \frac{\eta(7z)^2 \eta_{7,3}(z)}{\eta_{7,1}(z) \eta_{7,2}(z)}, \\ \mathcal{K}_{7,3}(\zeta_7, z) &= \frac{\eta(7z)^2}{\eta_{7,1}(z)}, \\ \mathcal{K}_{7,4}(\zeta_7, z) &= (\zeta_7 + \zeta_7^6) \frac{\eta(7z)^2 \eta_{7,2}(z)}{\eta_{7,1}(z) \eta_{7,3}(z)}, \\ \mathcal{K}_{7,5}(\zeta_7, z) &= (1 + \zeta_7^2 + \zeta_7^5) \frac{\eta(7z)^2}{\eta_{7,2}(z)}, \\ \mathcal{K}_{7,6}(\zeta_7, z) &= -(\zeta_7^2 + \zeta_7^5) \frac{\eta(7z)^2}{\eta_{7,3}(z)}.\end{aligned}$$

The cases $m = 1, 2$ and 4 correspond to when $-24m$ is a quadratic residue modulo 7 and $m = 3, 5$ and 6 to when $-24m$ is a quadratic non-residue modulo 7 . We can clearly see symmetry among between the eta-quotients of the quadratic residue cases and likewise of the non-residue ones. We find that this behavior arises from the transformation of $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p^d, z)$ under matrices in $\Gamma_0(p)$. This leads us to one of the main results of our paper :

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose $p > 3$ prime, $0 \leq m \leq p - 1$, and $1 \leq d \leq p - 1$. Then*

$$(1.17) \quad \mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z) |[A]_1 = \frac{\sin(\pi/p)}{\sin(d\pi/p)} (-1)^{d+1} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i m a k}{p}\right) \mathcal{K}_{p,ma^2}(\zeta_p^d, z),$$

assuming $1 \leq a, d \leq (p - 1)$ and

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & k \\ p & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p).$$

Sometimes it is convenient to rewrite the generalized eta-products in terms of some theta-products of Biagioli.

Definition 1.7. Following Biagioli (see [6, Eq.(2.8),p.277]), define

$$(1.18) \quad f_{N,\rho}(z) := q^{(N-2\rho)^2/(8N)} (q^\rho, q^{N-\rho}, q^N; q^N)_\infty,$$

for $N \geq 1$ and $N \nmid \rho$. We have corrected a misprint [6, p.277] and [13, Eq.(6.14),p.242]. Then, for a vector $\vec{n} = (n_0, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)}$, define

$$(1.19) \quad j(z) = j(p, \vec{n}, z) = \eta(pz)^{n_0} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} f_{p,k}(z)^{n_k}.$$

We note that

$$(1.20) \quad f_{N,\rho}(z) = f_{N,N+\rho}(z) = f_{N,-\rho}(z),$$

and

$$(1.21) \quad f_{N,\rho}(z) = \eta(Nz) \eta_{N,\rho}(z).$$

We illustrate the theorem for $p = 7$, with $m = 1$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 7 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(7)$.

Using (1.21), we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{7,1}(\zeta_7, z) = -(1 + \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^4) \frac{\eta(7z)^3 f_{7,1}(z)}{f_{7,2}(z) f_{7,3}(z)}.$$

Then, using the Biagioli transformation identity and the transformation for $\eta(z)$ in [13, Theorems 6.12 & 6.14, p.243], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{7,1}(\zeta_7, z) |[A]_1 &= -(1 + \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^4) \frac{\eta(7z)^3 f_{7,1}(z)}{f_{7,2}(z) f_{7,3}(z)} |[A]_1 \\ &= -(1 + \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^4) \frac{\eta(7z)^3 f_{7,2}(z)}{f_{7,4}(z) f_{7,6}(z)} \frac{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{7}}}{e^{\frac{8\pi i}{7}} \cdot e^{\frac{18\pi i}{7}}} \\ &= -(1 + \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^4) \frac{\eta(7z)^3 f_{7,2}(z)}{f_{7,3}(z) f_{7,1}(z)} \cdot e^{\frac{-3\pi i}{7}} \quad (\text{by (1.20)}) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{4\pi i}{7}\right) (1 + \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^4) \frac{\eta(7z)^2 \eta_{7,2}(z)}{\eta_{7,3}(z) \eta_{7,1}(z)} \quad (\text{by (1.21)}). \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily checked that $1 + \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^4 = -\frac{\sin(\pi/7)}{\sin(4\pi/7)} (\zeta_7^4 + \zeta_7^3)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{7,1}(\zeta_7, z) |[A]_1 &= \exp\left(\frac{4\pi i}{7}\right) (1 + \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^4) \frac{\eta(7z)^2 \eta_{7,2}(z)}{\eta_{7,3}(z) \eta_{7,1}(z)} \\ &= -\frac{\sin(\pi/7)}{\sin(4\pi/7)} \exp\left(\frac{4\pi i}{7}\right) (\zeta_7^4 + \zeta_7^3) \frac{\eta(7z)^2 \eta_{7,2}(z)}{\eta_{7,1}(z) \eta_{7,3}(z)} \\ &= -\frac{\sin(\pi/7)}{\sin(4\pi/7)} \exp\left(\frac{4\pi i}{7}\right) \mathcal{K}_{7,4}(\zeta_7^4, z). \end{aligned}$$

which agrees with the transformation in Theorem 1.6.

Our other main result of the paper concerns the symmetry of the zeta-coefficients in the identity for $\mathcal{K}_{p,0}(\zeta_p, z)$ in terms of generalized eta-functions. In [13, Section 6.4, p.242], the first author found that

$$(1.22) \quad \mathcal{K}_{11,0}(\zeta_{11}, z) = (q^{11}; q^{11})_\infty \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{10} N(k, 11, 11n - 5) \zeta_{11}^k \right) q^n = \sum_{k=1}^5 c_{11,k} j_{11,k}(z),$$

where

$$j_{11,k}(z) = \frac{\eta(11z)^4}{\eta(z)^2} \frac{1}{\eta_{11,4k}(z) \eta_{11,5k}(z)^2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} c_{11,1} &= 2\zeta_{11}^9 + 2\zeta_{11}^8 + \zeta_{11}^7 + \zeta_{11}^4 + 2\zeta_{11}^3 + 2\zeta_{11}^2 + 1, \\ c_{11,2} &= -(\zeta_{11}^9 + \zeta_{11}^8 + 2\zeta_{11}^7 + \zeta_{11}^6 + \zeta_{11}^5 + 2\zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^2 + 1), \\ c_{11,3} &= 2\zeta_{11}^8 + 2\zeta_{11}^7 + 2\zeta_{11}^4 + 2\zeta_{11}^3 + 3, \\ c_{11,4} &= 4\zeta_{11}^9 + \zeta_{11}^8 + 2\zeta_{11}^7 + 2\zeta_{11}^6 + 2\zeta_{11}^5 + 2\zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^3 + 4\zeta_{11}^2 + 4, \\ c_{11,5} &= -(\zeta_{11}^9 + 2\zeta_{11}^8 - \zeta_{11}^7 + 2\zeta_{11}^6 + 2\zeta_{11}^5 - \zeta_{11}^4 + 2\zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^2 + 3). \end{aligned}$$

Our theorem reveals hidden symmetry in these coefficients. We find

$$\begin{aligned} c_{11,1} &= 1 + 2(\zeta_{11}^2 + \zeta_{11}^9) + 2(\zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^8) + (\zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^7), \\ c_{11,2} &= -\frac{\sin(\pi/11)}{\sin(2\pi/11)}(1 + 2(\zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^7) + 2(\zeta_{11}^5 + \zeta_{11}^6) + (\zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^8)), \\ c_{11,3} &= -\frac{\sin(\pi/11)}{\sin(3\pi/11)}(1 + 2(\zeta_{11}^5 + \zeta_{11}^6) + 2(\zeta_{11}^2 + \zeta_{11}^9) + (\zeta_{11} + \zeta_{11}^{10})), \\ c_{11,4} &= \frac{\sin(\pi/11)}{\sin(4\pi/11)}(1 + 2(\zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^8) + 2(\zeta_{11}^{10} + \zeta_{11}) + (\zeta_{11}^6 + \zeta_{11}^5)), \\ c_{11,5} &= -\frac{\sin(\pi/11)}{\sin(5\pi/11)}(1 + 2(\zeta_{11} + \zeta_{11}^{10}) + 2(\zeta_{11}^7 + \zeta_{11}^4) + (\zeta_{11}^2 + \zeta_{11}^9)). \end{aligned}$$

We clearly see symmetry in these coefficients.

In general, our result implies certain symmetries for the coefficients in the identities for $\mathcal{K}_{p,0}(\zeta_p, z)$. These involve explicit modular forms in terms of the Biagioli theta functions $f_{N,\rho}(z)$ defined in (1.18). In particular, they involve eta-quotients $j(p, \vec{n}, z)$ defined in (1.19).

The case $m = 0$ is quite special and leads us to our other major result. The exact form of this result is given later in Theorem 5.1.

Theorem 1.8. *Let $p > 3$ prime and the t vectors $\vec{n}_\ell, 1 \leq \ell \leq t$ and $j(z)$ be defined as in Definition 1.7. Suppose*

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,0}(\zeta_p, z) = \sum_{\ell=1}^t \sum_{r=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} c_{p,r,\ell}(\zeta_p) j(p, \pi_r(\vec{n}_\ell), z),$$

where for $1 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$, π_r is permutation on $\{1, 2, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(p-1)\}$ defined as $\pi_r(i) = i'$ where $ri' \equiv \pm i \pmod{p}$ and the functions $j(p, \pi_r(\vec{n}_\ell), z)$ are linearly independent (over

ℚ). Then

$$c_{p,r,\ell}(\zeta_p) = \frac{\sin(\pi/p)}{\sin(r\pi/p)} w(r,p) c_{p,1,\ell}(\zeta_p^r),$$

where $w(r,p) = \pm 1$.

Example 1.9. We illustrate the theorem for $p = 11$. Here $t = 1$. From (1.22) we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{11,0}(\zeta_{11}, z) = \sum_{r=1}^5 c_{11,r,1}(\zeta_{11}) j(11, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z),$$

where

$$\vec{n}_1 = (15, -2, -2, -2, -3, -4),$$

$$c_{11,r,1}(\zeta_{11}) = 1 + 2(\zeta_{11}^2 + \zeta_{11}^9) + 2(\zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^8) + (\zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^7),$$

$$c_{11,r,1}(\zeta_{11}) = \frac{\sin(\pi/11)}{\sin(r\pi/11)} w(r, 11) c_{11,1,1}(\zeta_p^r), \quad (1 \leq r \leq 5),$$

$$w(1, 11) = 1, \quad w(2, 11) = -1, \quad w(3, 11) = -1, \quad w(4, 11) = 1, \quad w(5, 11) = -1,$$

and

$$j(11, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z) = \frac{\eta(11z)^4}{\eta(z)^2} \frac{1}{\eta_{11,4r}(z) \eta_{11,5r}(z)^2} = \frac{\eta(11z)^{15}}{f_{11,r}(z)^2 f_{11,2r}(z)^2 f_{11,3r}(z)^2 f_{11,4r}(z)^3 f_{11,5r}(z)^4}.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review transformation results for theta functions and Maass forms. In Section 3, we give conditions for the modularity of the generalized eta-quotients which are the building blocks for our expressions for $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)$ needed in a later section. In Section 4, we state and prove our main result on the symmetry of Dyson's rank function. This involves generalizing many earlier transformation results in [13] and finding the transformation of $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)$ under matrices in $\Gamma_0(p)$. In Section 5, we give another symmetry result, more precisely the symmetry among the cyclotomic coefficients in an expression for $\mathcal{K}_{p,0}(\zeta_p, z)$ in terms of generalized eta-functions. Section 6 is devoted to calculating lower bounds for the orders of $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)$ at the cusps of $\Gamma_1(p)$ which we utilize to prove identities in the subsequent section. In Section 7, we give an algorithm that uses the Valence formula for proving generalized eta-quotient identities for $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)$. We illustrate the algorithm in detail for $p = 11$. We give explicit identities for $p = 11$ and $p = 13$. For $p = 17$ and $p = 19$ we only give the form of the identities.

2. PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

In this section, we state the definitions and results from [13], which we will be using in the proof of our main theorem on the symmetry of Dyson's rank function.

2.1. Dyson's rank function as a mock modular form. Following [7] and [13] we define a number of functions. Suppose $0 < a < c$ are integers, and assume throughout that $q := \exp(2\pi iz)$. We define

$$M\left(\frac{a}{c}; z\right) := \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{n+\frac{a}{c}}}{1 - q^{n+\frac{a}{c}}} q^{\frac{3}{2}n(n+1)}$$

$$N\left(\frac{a}{c}; z\right) := \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n (1 + q^n) (2 - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi a}{c}\right))}{1 - 2 \cos\left(\frac{2\pi a}{c}\right) q^n + q^{2n}} q^{\frac{1}{2}n(3n+1)}\right).$$

We find that

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{cn^2}}{(q^a; q^c)_{n+1} (q^{c-a}; q^c)_n} = 1 + \frac{q^a}{(q^c; q^c)_\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n q^{\frac{3c}{2}n(n+1)}}{1 - q^{cn+a}}$$

$$= 1 + q^a M\left(\frac{a}{c}; cz\right).$$

By (1.2) we have

$$(2.2) \quad R(\zeta_c^a, q) = N\left(\frac{a}{c}; z\right).$$

We also define

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{a}{c}; z\right) := \csc\left(\frac{a\pi}{c}\right) q^{-\frac{1}{24}} N\left(\frac{a}{c}; z\right),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{a}{c}; z\right) := 2q^{\frac{3a}{2c}(1-\frac{a}{c})-\frac{1}{24}} M\left(\frac{a}{c}; z\right).$$

2.2. Theta-function definitions. We state some results of Shimura [21] needed to derive a theta function identity which we use in the next subsection to relate two Maass forms of weight $\frac{1}{2}$.

For integers $0 \leq k < N$ we define

$$\tilde{\theta}(k, N; z) := \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (Nm + k) \exp\left(\frac{\pi iz}{N} (Nm + k)^2\right).$$

We note that this corresponds to $\theta(z; k, N, N, P)$ in Shimura's notation [21, Eq.(2.0), p.454] (with $n = 1$, $\nu = 1$, and $P(x) = x$). For integers $0 \leq a, b < c$ we define

$$\Theta_1(a, b, c; z) := \zeta_{c^2}^{3ab} \zeta_{2c}^{-a} \sum_{m=0}^{6c-1} (-1)^m \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{3}(2m+1)\right) \exp\left(\frac{-2\pi ima}{c}\right) \tilde{\theta}(2mc-6b+c, 12c^2; z),$$

and

$$\Theta_2(a, b, c; z) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{2c-1} \left((-1)^\ell \exp\left(\frac{-\pi ib}{c}(6\ell+1)\right) \tilde{\theta}(6c\ell+6a+c, 12c^2; z) \right. \\ \left. + (-1)^\ell \exp\left(\frac{-\pi ib}{c}(6\ell-1)\right) \tilde{\theta}(6c\ell+6a-c, 12c^2; z) \right).$$

An easy calculation gives

(2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_1(a, b, c; z) &= 6c \zeta_c^{3ab} \zeta_{2c}^{-a} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left(\frac{n}{3} + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{b}{c} \right) \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{3} (2n+1) \right) \exp \left(\frac{-2\pi i n a}{c} \right) \\ &\quad \times \exp \left(3\pi i z \left(\frac{n}{3} + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{b}{c} \right)^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

In addition we need to define

$$(2.6) \quad \Theta_1 \left(\frac{a}{c}; z \right) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n (6n+1) \sin \left(\frac{\pi a (6n+1)}{c} \right) \exp \left(3\pi i z \left(n + \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 \right).$$

This coincides with Bringmann and Ono's function $\Theta \left(\frac{a}{c}; z \right)$ which is given in [7, Eq.(1.6), p.423]. An easy calculation gives

$$\Theta_1 \left(\frac{a}{c}; z \right) = -\frac{i}{2c} \Theta_2(0, -a, c; z).$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $p > 3$ be prime. Then*

$$(2.7) \quad \Theta_1 \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) = (-1)^d \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \chi_{12}(p) \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^a \sin \left(\frac{6ad\pi}{p} \right) \Theta_1(0, -a, p; p^2 z).$$

Proof. We consider two cases as in [13, Theorem 5.1, p.225].

CASE 1. $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. Let $p_1 = \frac{1}{6}(p-1)$ so that $6p_1 + 1 = p$. We note that each integer n satisfying $6n+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ can be written uniquely as

$$(i) \quad n = p(2pm + \ell_1) + a + p_1, \quad \text{where } 1 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1), 0 \leq \ell_1 < 2p, \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

or

$$(ii) \quad n = p(-2pm - \ell_1) - a + p_1, \quad \text{where } 1 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1), 1 \leq \ell_1 \leq 2p, \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If $n = p(2pm + \ell_1) + a + p_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 6n+1 &= 12p^2m + 2p\ell + 6a + p, \quad \text{where } \ell = 3\ell_1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \ell < 6p, \\ \sin \left(\frac{\pi d (6n+1)}{p} \right) &= \sin \left(\frac{\pi d (12p^2m + 2p\ell + 6a + p)}{p} \right) = \sin \left(\frac{6ad\pi}{p} + \pi d \right) \\ &= (-1)^d \sin \left(\frac{6ad\pi}{p} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\sin \left(\frac{\pi}{3} (2\ell + 1) \right) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad (-1)^n = (-1)^{\ell+a+p_1}.$$

If $n = p(-2pm - \ell_1) - a + p_1$, then

$$6n+1 = -(12p^2m + 2p\ell + 6a - p), \quad \text{where } \ell = 3\ell_1 - 1 \text{ and } 0 < \ell \leq 6p - 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sin\left(\frac{\pi d(6n+1)}{p}\right) &= \sin\left(-\frac{\pi d(12p^2m+2p\ell+6a+p)}{p}\right) = \sin\left(-\frac{6ad\pi}{p} - \pi d\right) \\ &= -(-1)^d \sin\left(\frac{6ad\pi}{p}\right), \\ \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{3}(2\ell+1)\right) &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad (-1)^n = (-1)^{\ell+a+p_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_1\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right) &= \sum_{\substack{n=-\infty \\ 6n+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}}}^{\infty} (-1)^n (6n+1) \sin\left(\frac{\pi d(6n+1)}{p}\right) \exp\left(3\pi iz \left(n + \frac{1}{6}\right)^2\right) \\ &= (-1)^d \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \sum_{\ell=0}^{6p-1} (-1)^{\ell+a+p_1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{3}(2\ell+1)\right) \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \sin\left(\frac{6ad\pi}{p}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (12p^2m+2\ell p+6a+p) \exp\left(\frac{\pi iz}{12}(12p^2m+2\ell p+6a+p)^2\right) \\ &= (-1)^d \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} (-1)^{p_1} \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^a \sin\left(\frac{6ad\pi}{p}\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\ell=0}^{6p-1} (-1)^\ell \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{3}(2\ell+1)\right) \tilde{\theta}(2\ell p+6a+p, 12p^2; p^2z) \\ &= (-1)^d \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \chi_{12}(p) \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^a \sin\left(\frac{6ad\pi}{p}\right) \Theta_1(0, -a, p; p^2z), \end{aligned}$$

since $(-1)^{p_1} = \chi_{12}(p)$.

CASE 2. $p \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$. We proceed as in CASE 1 except this time we let $p_1 = \frac{1}{6}(p+1)$ so that $6p_1 - 1 = p$, and we find that each integer n satisfying $6n+1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ can be written uniquely as

$$(i) \quad n = p(2pm + \ell_1) + a - p_1, \quad \text{where } 1 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1), 1 \leq \ell_1 \leq 2p, \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

or

$$(ii) \quad n = p(-2pm - \ell_1) - a - p_1, \quad \text{where } 1 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1), 0 \leq \ell_1 < 2p, \text{ and } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The result (2.7) follows as in CASE 1. \square

Remark : This result is a generalization of the $d = 1$ case given in [13, Proposition 6.8, p.237].

2.3. Maass-form definitions. Suppose $0 \leq a < c$ and $0 < b < c$ are integers where $(c, 6) = 1$. Following [13], we define

$$(2.8) \quad \varepsilon_2 \left(\frac{a}{c}; z \right) := \begin{cases} 2 \exp \left(-3\pi i z \left(\frac{a}{c} - \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 \right) & \text{if } 0 < \frac{a}{c} < \frac{1}{6}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{1}{6} < \frac{a}{c} < \frac{5}{6}, \\ 2 \exp \left(-3\pi i z \left(\frac{a}{c} - \frac{5}{6} \right)^2 \right) & \text{if } \frac{5}{6} < \frac{a}{c} < 1, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.9) \quad T_1 \left(\frac{a}{c}; z \right) := -\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} \int_{-\bar{z}}^{i\infty} \frac{\Theta_1 \left(\frac{a}{c}; \tau \right)}{\sqrt{-i(\tau + z)}} d\tau,$$

$$(2.10) \quad T_2 \left(\frac{a}{c}; z \right) := \frac{i}{3c} \int_{-\bar{z}}^{i\infty} \frac{\Theta_1(0, -a, c; \tau)}{\sqrt{-i(\tau + z)}} d\tau.$$

Then the following identity follows from Proposition 2.1.

$$(2.11) \quad T_1 \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) = 2 \chi_{12}(p) \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^{a+d+1} \sin \left(\frac{6ad\pi}{p} \right) T_2 \left(\frac{a}{p}; p^2 z \right).$$

We also define the following two Maass forms of weight $\frac{1}{2}$.

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{G}_1 \left(\frac{a}{c}; z \right) := \mathcal{N} \left(\frac{a}{c}; z \right) - T_1 \left(\frac{a}{c}; z \right),$$

$$(2.13) \quad \mathcal{G}_2 \left(\frac{a}{c}; z \right) := \mathcal{M} \left(\frac{a}{c}; z \right) + \varepsilon_2 \left(\frac{a}{c}; z \right) - T_2 \left(\frac{a}{c}; z \right).$$

2.4. Modular Transformations. For a function $F(z)$, we define the usual weight k stroke operator

$$(2.14) \quad F \mid [A]_k := (ad - bc)^{k/2} (cz + d)^{-k} F(Az), \quad \text{for } A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, and when calculating $(cz + d)^{-k}$ we take the principal value. The following theorem that concerns with the transformation of a Maass form under the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(p)$ is one of the main results in [13].

Theorem 2.2 ([13, Theorem 4.1, p.218]). *Let $p > 3$ be prime, suppose $1 \leq \ell \leq (p-1)$, and define*

$$(2.15) \quad \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z \right) := \eta(z) \mathcal{G}_1 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z \right).$$

Then

$$(2.16) \quad \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z \right) \mid [A]_1 = \mu(A, \ell) \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{\bar{d}\ell}{p}; z \right),$$

where $\mu(A, \ell) = \exp \left(\frac{3\pi i c d \ell^2}{p^2} \right) (-1)^{\frac{c\ell}{p}} (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{d\ell}{p} \rfloor}$, and $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p)$.

Here \bar{m} is the least nonnegative residue of $m \pmod{p}$.

It is well-known that the matrices

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

generate $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and

$$(2.17) \quad \eta(z) \Big| [T]_{\frac{1}{2}} = \zeta_{24} \eta(z), \quad \eta(z) \Big| [S]_{\frac{1}{2}} = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi i}{4}\right) \eta(z).$$

We define

$$(2.18) \quad \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z\right) := \eta(z) \mathcal{G}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z\right).$$

Then from (2.17) and [13, Theorem 4.5, p.220], we have

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{F}_1\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z\right) \Big| [S]_1 = (-i) \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z\right),$$

and

$$(2.20) \quad \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z+p\right) = \zeta_p^{\ell'} \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z\right),$$

where $\ell' \equiv \frac{3}{2}(p-1)\ell^2 \pmod{p}$.

These transformation identities (2.16), (2.19), (2.20) will be useful when we examine the transformation of $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p^d, z)$ in the next section to derive our main result concerning the symmetry of the rank function.

3. MODULARITY CONDITIONS FOR GENERALIZED ETA-QUOTIENTS

In [13], the first author gave an identity for $\mathcal{K}_{p,0}(\zeta_p, z)$, for $p = 11, 13$, in terms of generalized eta-functions defined in (1.7). The proof involves showing that both sides of the identity are weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight 1 on the appropriate congruence subgroup. In this section, we describe the conditions for a generalized eta-quotient to be a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 1 on $\Gamma(p)$. Then in a later section, we derive and prove similar identities for $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)$, where $p = 11, 13, 17$ and 19 and $0 \leq m \leq p-1$.

We present a general criteria for an eta-quotient $j(p, \vec{n}, z)$ (see Definition 1.7) to be a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 1 on $\Gamma(p)$ in the form of a theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $p > 3$ be prime and suppose $\vec{n} = (n_0, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)}$. Then $j(p, \vec{n}, z)$ is a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 1 on $\Gamma(p)$ satisfying the modularity condition*

$$j(p, \vec{n}, z) \Big| [A]_1 = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i b m}{p}\right) j(p, \vec{n}, z)$$

for $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(p)$ provided the following conditions are met :

$$(1) \quad n_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} n_k = 2,$$

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} k^2 n_k \equiv 2m \pmod{p},$$

$$(3) \quad n_0 + 3 \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} n_k \equiv 0 \pmod{24}.$$

Proof. The Dedekind eta function is a modular form of weight $\frac{1}{2}$. Thus, $\eta(pz)^{n_0}$ contributes $\frac{n_0}{2}$ and each of the $f_{p,k}(z)^{n_k}$ contributes $\frac{n_k}{2}$ to the weight and the weight of $j(p, \vec{n}, z)$ is $\frac{n_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \frac{n_k}{2}$. Condition (1) implies that this weight is 1.

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(p)$. Then, by [13, Theorem 6.14, p.243], we have

$$\eta(pz) \mid [A]_{1/2} = \nu_\eta({}^p A) \eta(pz),$$

where $\nu_\eta({}^p A)$ is the eta-multiplier,

$${}^p A = \begin{pmatrix} a & bp \\ c/p & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

We note the eta-multiplier ν_η is a 24th root of unity. Then

$$\eta(pAz) = \nu_\eta({}^p A) \sqrt{cz + d} \eta(pz)$$

and using the Biagioli transformation [13, Theorem 6.12, p.243] for $f_{p,k}(z)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{p,k}(z) \mid [A]_{1/2} &= (-1)^{kb + \lfloor ka/p \rfloor + \lfloor k/p \rfloor} \exp\left(\frac{\pi iab}{p} k^2\right) \nu_\eta^3({}^p A) f_{p,ka}(z) \\ &= (-1)^{kb + \lfloor ka/p \rfloor} \exp\left(\frac{\pi iab}{p} k^2\right) \nu_\eta^3({}^p A) f_{p,k}(z), \end{aligned}$$

assuming $1 \leq k \leq p-1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} j(p, \vec{n}, z) \mid [A]_1 &= (-1)^{L_1(A)} \exp\left(\frac{\pi iab}{p} L_2(A)\right) \nu_\eta^{L_3(A)}({}^p A) j(z) \\ &= \exp\left(\pi i L_1(A) + \frac{\pi iab}{p} L_2(A)\right) \nu_\eta^{L_3(A)}({}^p A) j(z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(A) &= b \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} kn_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \left\lfloor \frac{ka}{p} \right\rfloor n_k, \\ L_2(A) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} k^2 n_k, \\ L_3(A) &= n_0 + 3 \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} n_k. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume conditions (1) – (3) hold, and $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(p)$. Since $L_3(A) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$, the modularity condition holds if we can show that $L_1(A) + \frac{ab}{p}L_2(A) - \frac{2bm}{p}$ is an even integer.

We have that $abL_2(A) \equiv 2bm \pmod{p}$ since $L_2(A) \equiv 2m \pmod{p}$ by (2). Thus $L_1(A) + \frac{ab}{p}L_2(A) - \frac{2bm}{p}$ is an integer. We show that $L_1(A) + abL_2(A) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. This is sufficient to show that it is an even integer. Since $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(p)$, we have $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $ka \equiv k \pmod{p}$ so that $ka \equiv p \left\lfloor \frac{ka}{p} \right\rfloor + k$ and $\left\lfloor \frac{ka}{p} \right\rfloor \equiv k(a+1) \pmod{2}$, since p is odd.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } L_1(A) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \left(bk + \left\lfloor \frac{ka}{p} \right\rfloor \right) n_k \\ &\equiv (a+b+1) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} kn_k \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(A) + abL_2(A) &\equiv (a+b+1) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} kn_k + ab \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} k^2 n_k \pmod{2} \\ &\equiv (a+1)(b+1) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} kn_k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \end{aligned}$$

which always holds since either a or b is odd.

□

We also state a lemma which will be of use later.

Lemma 3.2. *For a prime p ,*

$$\eta(z) = \eta(pz)^{1-\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} f_{p,k}(z).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n) &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{pn+k}) \right) \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^{pn+p}) \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (q^k; q^p)_{\infty} (q^{p-k}; q^p)_{\infty} \right) (q^p; q^p)_{\infty} \\ &= \left(\prod_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} f_{p,k}(z) \right) \frac{(q^p; q^p)_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)}}{(q^p; q^p)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the result. □

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathfrak{F}(m, p)$ be the set of functions $j(p, \vec{n}, z)$ that satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.1.

Definition 3.4. Let $p > 3$ be prime. For $1 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$, we define a permutation $\pi_r : [\frac{1}{2}(p-1)] \rightarrow [\frac{1}{2}(p-1)]$, where $[\frac{1}{2}(p-1)] = \{1, 2, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(p-1)\}$ by $\pi_r(i) = i'$ where $ri' \equiv \pm i \pmod{p}$.

π_r induces a permutation on $\mathbb{Z}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)}$. For $\vec{n} = (n_0, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)})$, $\pi_r(\vec{n})$ permutes the components to $\pi_r(\vec{n}) = (n_0, n_{\pi_r(1)}, n_{\pi_r(2)}, \dots, n_{\pi_r(\frac{1}{2}(p-1))})$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $p > 3$ be prime and \vec{n} and $j(z)$ be defined as in Definition 1.7. Then,*

$$j(p, \pi_r(\vec{n}), z) = \eta(pz)^{n_0} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} f_{p,rk}(z)^{n_k}.$$

Proof. Biagioli's transformation property gives $f_{n,\rho+n} = f_{n,-\rho} = f_{n,\rho}$ [6, Lemma 2.1, p.278]. Then

$$\begin{aligned} j(p, \pi_r(\vec{n}), z) &= \eta(pz)^{n_0} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} f_{p,k}(z)^{n_{\pi_r(k)}} \\ &= \eta(pz)^{n_0} \prod_{k'=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} f_{p,rk'}(z)^{n_{k'}} \text{ where } rk' \equiv k \pmod{p} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \eta(pz)^{n_0} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} f_{p,rk}(z)^{n_k}.$$

□

Theorem 3.6. *Let $p > 3$ be prime, $0 \leq m \leq p - 1$. Suppose $j(p, \vec{n}, z) \in \mathfrak{F}(m, p)$. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ p & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p)$, $1 \leq a, d \leq p - 1$. Then,*

$$(3.1) \quad j(p, \vec{n}, z) | [A]_1 = (-1)^{L(\vec{n}, a, b, p)} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i abm}{p}\right) \eta(pz)^{n_0} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} f_{p,ka}(z)^{n_k},$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad L(\vec{n}, a, b, p) = b(a+1) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} kn_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \left[\frac{ka}{p} \right] n_k.$$

Also

$$(3.3) \quad j(p, \pi_r(\vec{n}), z) \in \mathfrak{F}(m', p),$$

where $1 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ and $m' \equiv r^2m \pmod{p}$.

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 3.1, we use the transformation for $\eta(z)$ and $f_{p,k}(z)$ to get

$$j(p, \vec{n}, z) | [A]_1 = (-1)^{L_1(A)} \exp\left(\frac{\pi i ab}{p} L_2(A)\right) \nu_\eta^{L_3(A)}({}^pA) \eta(pz)^{n_0} \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} f_{p,ka}(z)^{n_k}$$

where

$$L_1(A) = b \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} kn_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \left[\frac{ka}{p} \right] n_k,$$

$$L_2(A) = \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} k^2 n_k,$$

$$L_3(A) = n_0 + 3 \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} n_k.$$

Since $j(p, \vec{n}, z) \in \mathfrak{F}(m, p)$, we have that $L_2(A) \equiv 2m \pmod{p}$ and $L_3(A) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$. It suffices to prove that

$$pL_1(A) + abL_2(A) - pL(A) - 2abm$$

is an even multiple of p , where

$$L(A) = L(\vec{n}, a, b, p) = b(a+1) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} kn_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \left[\frac{ka}{p} \right] n_k.$$

Since $L_2(A) \equiv 2m \pmod{p}$ we have

$$pL_1(A) + abL_2(A) - pL(A) - 2abm \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} pL_1(A) + abL_2(A) &= b \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} kn_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \left[\frac{ka}{p} \right] n_k + ab \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} k^2 n_k \\ &\equiv b(1+a) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} kn_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \left[\frac{ka}{p} \right] n_k \pmod{2} \\ &\equiv L(A) \pmod{2}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. Equation (3.1) follows.

Now suppose $1 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ so that for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ we have $\pi_r(i) = i'$ where $1 \leq i' \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ and $ri' \equiv \pm i \pmod{p}$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} n_k &= \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} n_{\pi_r(k)}, \text{ and} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} k^2 n_{\pi_r(k)} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} k^2 n_{k'} \equiv r^2 \sum_{k'=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (k')^2 n_{k'} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (3.3) follows easily. \square

4. MAIN SYMMETRY RESULT

In this section, we give a proof of our main result illustrating the symmetry of Dyson's rank function. We restate the theorem :

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $p > 3$ prime, $0 \leq m \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq d \leq p-1$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p^d, z)$ be as in Definition 1.4. Then*

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z) | [A]_1 = \frac{\sin(\pi/p)}{\sin(d\pi/p)} (-1)^{d+1} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi imak}{p}\right) \mathcal{K}_{p,ma^2}(\zeta_p^d, z),$$

assuming $1 \leq a, d \leq (p-1)$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & k \\ p & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p)$.

4.1. Reformulating the theorem. We need the following to write the theorem in an equivalent form.

We assume $p > 3$ is prime, and define

(4.1)

$$\mathcal{J}\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right)$$

$$= \eta(p^2 z) \left(\mathcal{N} \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) - 2 \chi_{12}(p) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^{\ell+d+1} \sin \left(\frac{6d\ell\pi}{p} \right) \left(\mathcal{M} \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; p^2 z \right) + \varepsilon_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; p^2 z \right) \right) \right),$$

where $\chi_{12}(n)$ is defined in (1.11). Using (2.1), (2.2) we deduce that

Proposition 4.2. *Let p be a prime and $\mathcal{R}_p(\zeta_p, z)$ be defined as in (1.10). Then*

$$\eta(p^2 z) \mathcal{R}_p(\zeta_p^d, z) = \sin \left(\frac{d\pi}{p} \right) \mathcal{J} \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right).$$

Proof. From (1.10) we find that

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{R}_p(\zeta_p^d, z) := q^{-\frac{1}{24}} R(\zeta_p^d, q) - 4 \chi_{12}(p) \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^{a+d+1} \sin \left(\frac{d\pi}{p} \right) \sin \left(\frac{6da\pi}{p} \right) q^{\frac{a}{2}(p-3a) - \frac{p^2}{24}} \Phi_{p,a}(q^p),$$

for $1 \leq d \leq (p-1)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta(p^2 z) \mathcal{R}_p(\zeta_p^d, z) \\ &= \eta(p^2 z) \left(q^{-\frac{1}{24}} R(\zeta_p^d, q) - 4 \chi_{12}(p) \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^{a+d+1} \sin \left(\frac{d\pi}{p} \right) \sin \left(\frac{6da\pi}{p} \right) q^{\frac{a}{2}(p-3a) - \frac{p^2}{24}} \Phi_{p,a}(q^p) \right) \end{aligned}$$

And from the definition of $\mathcal{J} \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right)$ in (4.1) and from (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sin \left(\frac{d\pi}{p} \right) \mathcal{J} \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) \\ &= \eta(p^2 z) \left(\sin \left(\frac{d\pi}{p} \right) \mathcal{N} \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 \chi_{12}(p) \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^{a+d+1} \sin \left(\frac{d\pi}{p} \right) \sin \left(\frac{6da\pi}{p} \right) \left(\mathcal{M} \left(\frac{a}{p}; p^2 z \right) + \varepsilon_2 \left(\frac{a}{p}; p^2 z \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \eta(p^2 z) \left(q^{-1/24} N \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 4 \chi_{12}(p) \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^{a+d+1} \sin \left(\frac{d\pi}{p} \right) \sin \left(\frac{6da\pi}{p} \right) \left(q^{3/2a(p-a) - p^2/24} M \left(\frac{a}{p}; p^2 z \right) + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_2 \left(\frac{a}{p}; p^2 z \right) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{p,a}(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{pn^2}}{(q^a; q^p)_{n+1} (q^{p-a}; q^p)_n}.$$

Then

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{p,a}(q^p) = 1 + q^{ap} M \left(\frac{a}{p}; p^2 z \right).$$

which gives

$$q^{3/2a(p-a)-p^2/24} M \left(\frac{a}{p}; p^2 z \right) = q^{a/2(p-3a)-p^2/24} \left(\tilde{\Phi}_{p,a}(q^p) - 1 \right).$$

Also from (2.8), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_2 \left(\frac{a}{p}; p^2 z \right) = \begin{cases} q^{a/2(p-3a)-p^2/24} & \text{if } 0 < 6a < p \\ 0 & \text{if } p < 6a < 5p \end{cases},$$

so that

$$q^{3/2a(p-a)-p^2/24} M \left(\frac{a}{p}; p^2 z \right) + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_2 \left(\frac{a}{p}; p^2 z \right) = q^{a/2(p-3a)-p^2/24} \Phi_{p,a}(q^p).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sin \left(\frac{d\pi}{p} \right) \mathcal{J} \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) \\ &= \eta(p^2 z) \left(q^{-1/24} N \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) - 4 \chi_{12}(p) \sum_{a=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^{a+d+1} \sin \left(\frac{d\pi}{p} \right) \sin \left(\frac{6da\pi}{p} \right) q^{\frac{a}{2}(p-3a)-\frac{p^2}{24}} \Phi_{p,a}(q^p) \right) \\ &= \eta(p^2 z) \mathcal{R}_p(\zeta_p^d, z). \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 4.3. For p prime, we define the (weight k) Atkin U_p operator by

$$(4.4) \quad F \mid [U_p]_k := \frac{1}{p} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} F \left(\frac{z+r}{p} \right) = p^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} F \mid [T_r]_k,$$

where

$$T_r = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix},$$

and the more general $U_{p,m}$ defined by

$$(4.5) \quad F \mid [U_{p,m}]_k := \frac{1}{p} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \exp \left(-\frac{2\pi i r m}{p} \right) F \left(\frac{z+r}{p} \right) = p^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \exp \left(-\frac{2\pi i r m}{p} \right) F \mid [T_r]_k.$$

We note that $U_p = U_{p,0}$.

In addition, if $F(z) = \sum_n a(n) q^n = \sum_n a(n) \exp(2\pi i z n)$, then

$$F \mid [U_{p,m}]_k = q^{m/p} \sum_n a(pn+m) q^n = \exp(2\pi i m z/p) \sum_n a(pn+m) \exp(2\pi i n z).$$

Combining Equation (4.2) and Proposition 4.2, we have

Proposition 4.4. *For $p > 3$ be a prime and $0 \leq m \leq p - 1$ we have*

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p^d, z) = \sin\left(\frac{d\pi}{p}\right) \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right) \mid [U_{p,m}]_1,$$

where $\mathcal{J}\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right)$ is defined in (4.1).

Next we define

$$(4.7) \quad \mathcal{J}^*\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right) = \frac{\eta(p^2z)}{\eta(z)} \mathcal{F}_1\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right) - 2\chi_{12}(p) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^{\ell+d+1} \sin\left(\frac{6\ell d\pi}{p}\right) \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; p^2z\right).$$

We have

Proposition 4.5.

$$(4.8) \quad \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right) = \mathcal{J}^*\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right).$$

Proof. Using the definitions in (2.15), (2.18), (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}^*\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right) &= \eta(p^2z) \left(\mathcal{G}_1\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right) - 2\chi_{12}(p) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^{\ell+d+1} \sin\left(\frac{6\ell d\pi}{p}\right) \mathcal{G}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; p^2z\right) \right) \\ &= \eta(p^2z) \left(\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right) - T_1\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\chi_{12}(p) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^{\ell+d+1} \sin\left(\frac{6\ell d\pi}{p}\right) \left(\mathcal{M}\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z\right) + \varepsilon_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z\right) - T_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z\right) \right) \right) \\ &= \eta(p^2z) \left(\mathcal{N}\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2\chi_{12}(p) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^{\ell+d+1} \sin\left(\frac{6\ell d\pi}{p}\right) \left(\mathcal{M}\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z\right) + \varepsilon_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z\right) \right) \right) \text{ (by (2.11))} \\ &= \mathcal{J}\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus in view of (4.6) and (4.8) we have the following equivalent form of our main result Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $p > 3$ be a prime and $0 \leq m \leq p - 1$. Also, let $1 \leq a, d \leq (p - 1)$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & k \\ p & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p)$. Then with $\mathcal{J}\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right)$ and $\mathcal{J}^*\left(\frac{d}{p}; z\right)$ as defined in (4.1) and (4.7) respectively, we have*

$$(4.9) \quad \mathcal{J}^* \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) \mid [U_{p,m}]_1 \mid [A]_1 = (-1)^{d+1} \zeta_p^{mak} \mathcal{J}^* \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) \mid [U_{p,ma^2}]_1.$$

4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.6. We recall from (4.7) that

$$\mathcal{J}^* \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) = \frac{\eta(p^2 z)}{\eta(z)} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) - 2 \chi_{12}(p) \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^{j+d+1} \sin \left(\frac{6jd\pi}{p} \right) \mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{j}{p}; p^2 z \right).$$

Assume $1 \leq a, d \leq (p-1)$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & k \\ p & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p)$. We determine the action of the Atkin operator and matrix A on $\mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right)$ and $\mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{j}{p}; p^2 z \right)$. We have the following.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $1 \leq a, d \leq (p-1)$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & k \\ p & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p)$. Then*

$$\frac{\eta(p^2 z)}{\eta(z)} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{1}{p}; z \right) \mid [U_{p,m}]_1 \mid [A]_1 = (-1)^{d+1} \zeta_p^{mak} \frac{\eta(p^2 z)}{\eta(z)} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) \mid [U_{p,ma^2}]_1.$$

Proof. For $0 \leq r \leq p-1$ let $T_r = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$, and $B_r = \begin{pmatrix} a + pr & \frac{1}{p}(k + rd - r'(a + pr)) \\ p^2 & d - r'p \end{pmatrix}$, where $0 \leq r' \leq p-1$ is chosen so that $r' \equiv rd^2 + dk \pmod{p}$. Then

$$T_r A = B_r T_{r'}, \quad r \equiv r'a^2 - ak \pmod{p}, \quad \text{and} \quad B_r \in \Gamma_0(p^2).$$

We apply Theorem 2.2 and the well-known result that $\frac{\eta(p^2 z)}{\eta(z)}$ is a modular function on $\Gamma_0(p^2)$ when $p > 3$ is prime. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\eta(p^2 z)}{\eta(z)} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{1}{p}; z \right) \mid [U_{p,m}]_1 \mid [A]_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{-rm} \frac{\eta(p^2 z)}{\eta(z)} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{1}{p}; z \right) \mid [T_r]_1 \mid [A]_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{-rm} \frac{\eta(p^2 z)}{\eta(z)} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{1}{p}; z \right) \mid [B_r]_1 \mid [T_{r'}]_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{-rm} \frac{\eta(p^2 z)}{\eta(z)} \mu(B_r, 1) \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) \mid [T_{r'}]_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} (-1)^{d+1} \zeta_p^{mak} \sum_{r'=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{-r'ma^2} \frac{\eta(p^2 z)}{\eta(z)} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) \mid [T_{r'}]_1, \end{aligned}$$

since $\mu(B_r, 1) = \exp\left(\frac{3\pi i}{p^2}(p^2(d - pr'))\right) (-1)^p (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{d-pr'}{p} \rfloor} = (-1)^{d+r'+1-r'} = (-1)^{d+1}$,

$$\zeta_p^{-rm} = \zeta_p^{m(-r'a^2+ak)} = \zeta_p^{mak} \zeta_p^{-mr'a^2},$$

and as r runs through a complete residue system mod p so does r' . The result follows. \square

Proposition 4.8. *Let $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; p^2z\right) \mid [U_{p,m}]_1 = \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; pz\right) & \text{if } (6\ell)^2 \equiv -24m \pmod{p} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (2.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; p^2z\right) \mid [U_{p,m}]_1 &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{-rm} \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; pz + pr\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{-rm + \frac{3r}{2}(p-1)\ell^2} \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; pz\right). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows since $-rm + \frac{3r}{2}(p-1)\ell^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ if and only if $(6\ell)^2 \equiv -24m \pmod{p}$. \square

Lemma 4.9. *Let p be a prime and $1 \leq \ell, \ell' \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$. Then*

$$\sin\left(\frac{6\ell\pi}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\ell'+al+\lfloor al/p \rfloor} \sin\left(\frac{6\ell'd\pi}{p}\right).$$

Proof. CASE 1. $\ell' \equiv al \pmod{p}$.

Then $al = p \left\lfloor \frac{al}{p} \right\rfloor + \ell'$, so that $al + \left\lfloor \frac{al}{p} \right\rfloor \equiv \ell' \pmod{2}$.

Also $\ell'd \equiv ad\ell \equiv \ell \pmod{p}$, so that $\ell'd = k'p + \ell$, for some $k' \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since $\frac{6\ell'd\pi}{p} = 6k'\pi + \frac{6\ell\pi}{p}$, we have

$$(-1)^{\ell'+al+\lfloor al/p \rfloor} \sin\left(\frac{6\ell'd\pi}{p}\right) = \sin\left(\frac{6\ell'd\pi}{p}\right) = \sin\left(\frac{6\ell\pi}{p}\right).$$

CASE 2. $(p - \ell') \equiv al \pmod{p}$.

Then $al = p \left\lfloor \frac{al}{p} \right\rfloor + (p - \ell')$, so that $al + \left\lfloor \frac{al}{p} \right\rfloor \equiv \ell' + 1 \pmod{2}$.

Also $\ell'd \equiv -ad\ell \equiv -\ell \pmod{p}$, so that $\ell'd = k''p + (p - \ell)$, for some $k'' \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since $\frac{6\ell'd\pi}{p} = 6(k'' + 1)\pi - \frac{6\ell\pi}{p}$, we have

$$(-1)^{\ell'+al+\lfloor al/p \rfloor} \sin\left(\frac{6\ell'd\pi}{p}\right) = -\sin\left(\frac{6\ell'd\pi}{p}\right) = \sin\left(\frac{6\ell\pi}{p}\right).$$

\square

Proposition 4.10. *Let $1 \leq \ell, \ell' \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$, $1 \leq a, d \leq (p-1)$ $(6\ell)^2 \equiv -24m \pmod{p}$, and $(6\ell')^2 \equiv -24ma^2 \pmod{p}$. Also let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & k \\ p & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p)$. Then*

$$(-1)^\ell \sin\left(\frac{6\ell\pi}{p}\right) \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; p^2z\right) \mid [U_{p,m}]_1 \mid [A]_1 = (-1)^{\ell'} \sin\left(\frac{6\ell'd\pi}{p}\right) \zeta_p^{mak} \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell'}{p}; pz\right).$$

Proof. We let $P = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and find that $SPA = BSP$, where

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} d & -1 \\ -pk & a \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p).$$

From (2.19) we have $\mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z\right) = i \mathcal{F}_1\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z\right) \mid [S]_1$. Then using this and Theorem 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; p^2z\right) \mid [U_{p,m}]_1 \mid [A]_1 &= \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; pz\right) \mid [A]_1 \\ &= i \mathcal{F}_1\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z\right) \mid [S]_1 \mid [P]_1 \mid [A]_1 \\ &= i \mathcal{F}_1\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z\right) \mid [B]_1 \mid [S]_1 \mid [P]_1 \\ &= i \mu(B, \ell) \mathcal{F}_1\left(\frac{\overline{a\ell}}{p}; z\right) \mid [S]_1 \mid [P]_1 \\ &= \mu(B, \ell) \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\overline{a\ell}}{p}; pz\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu(B, \ell) = \exp\left(-\frac{3\pi i}{p} ak\ell^2\right) (-1)^{k\ell + [a\ell/p]}$. It can be shown that

$$\mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z\right) = \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{p-\ell}{p}; z\right),$$

and

$$(-1)^\ell \sin\left(\frac{6\ell\pi}{p}\right) = (-1)^{p-\ell} \sin\left(\frac{6(p-\ell)\pi}{p}\right).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^\ell \sin\left(\frac{6\ell\pi}{p}\right) \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell}{p}; p^2z\right) \mid [U_{p,m}]_1 \mid [A]_1 &= (-1)^\ell \sin\left(\frac{6\ell\pi}{p}\right) \mu(B, \ell) \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\overline{a\ell}}{p}; pz\right) \\ &= (-1)^\ell \sin\left(\frac{6\ell\pi}{p}\right) \mu(B, \ell) \mathcal{F}_2\left(\frac{\ell'}{p}; pz\right), \end{aligned}$$

since $\ell' \equiv \pm a\ell \pmod{p}$.

It remains to show that

$$(4.10) \quad (-1)^\ell \sin\left(\frac{6\ell\pi}{p}\right) \mu(B, \ell) = (-1)^{\ell'} \sin\left(\frac{6\ell'd\pi}{p}\right) \zeta_p^{mak}.$$

In view of Lemma 4.9 this is equivalent to showing

$$(4.11) \quad (-1)^{\ell+a+\lfloor a\ell/p \rfloor} \mu(B, \ell) = \zeta_p^{mak}.$$

Substituting for $\mu(B, \ell)$, this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{\ell(k+a+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{3\pi i}{p} ak\ell^2\right) &= \zeta_p^{mak}, \\ \text{or } p\ell(k+a+1) - 3kal^2 &\equiv 2mak \pmod{2p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $-3\ell^2 \equiv 2m \pmod{p}$ we see that this congruence holds mod p . We also see that it holds mod 2 trivially when ℓ is even, and holds when ℓ is odd, since $(a, k) = 1$ so that

$$k+a+1+ka \equiv (k+1)(a+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

since either k or a is odd. □

We finally combine the above results to give the proof of (4.9) using the above results.

We consider two cases.

CASE 1. $m = 0$ or $\left(\frac{-24m}{p}\right) = -1$. In this case

$$(6\ell)^2 \not\equiv -24m \pmod{p}, \quad \text{and} \quad (6\ell')^2 \not\equiv -24ma^2 \pmod{p},$$

for $1 \leq \ell, \ell' \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$. The result then follows from Proposition 4.7 and Proposition 4.8.

CASE 2. $\left(\frac{-24m}{p}\right) = 1$. In this case choose $1 \leq \ell, \ell' \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ such that

$$(6\ell)^2 \equiv -24m \pmod{p}, \quad \text{and} \quad (6\ell')^2 \equiv -24ma^2 \pmod{p}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{J}^* \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) \mid [U_{p,m}]_1 \mid [A]_1 \\ &= \left(\frac{\eta(p^2z)}{\eta(z)} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{1}{p}; z \right) - 2\chi_{12}(p) \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^j \sin \left(\frac{6j\pi}{p} \right) \mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{j}{p}; p^2z \right) \right) \mid [U_{p,m}]_1 \mid [A]_1 \\ &= (-1)^{d+1} \zeta_p^{mak} \frac{\eta(p^2z)}{\eta(z)} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) \mid [U_{p,ma^2}]_1 \\ &\quad - 2\chi_{12}(p) (-1)^\ell \sin \left(\frac{6\ell d\pi}{p} \right) \mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; p^2z \right) \mid [U_{p,m}]_1 \mid [A]_1 \text{ (by Propositions 4.7 and 4.8)} \\ &= (-1)^{d+1} \zeta_p^{mak} \frac{\eta(p^2z)}{\eta(z)} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) \mid [U_{p,ma^2}]_1 \\ &\quad - 2\chi_{12}(p) (-1)^{\ell'} \sin \left(\frac{6\ell' d\pi}{p} \right) \zeta_p^{mak} \mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{\ell'}{p}; p^2z \right) \text{ (by Proposition 4.10)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (-1)^{d+1} \zeta_p^{mak} \left(\frac{\eta(p^2 z)}{\eta(z)} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{d}{p}; z \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2 \chi_{12}(p) \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^{j+d+1} \sin \left(\frac{6jd\pi}{p} \right) \mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{j}{p}; p^2 z \right) \right) \mid [U_{p,ma^2}]_1 \text{ (by Proposition 4.7)} \\
&= (-1)^{d+1} \zeta_p^{mak} \mathcal{J}^* \left(\frac{d}{p}; d \right) z \mid [U_{p,ma^2}]_1.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.11. *Let $p > 3$ be prime, $0 \leq m \leq p-1$. Suppose there is a set \mathcal{B} of \vec{n} -vectors such that $\{j(p, \vec{n}, z) : \vec{n} \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is linearly independent (over \mathbb{Q}) and*

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z) = \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathcal{B}} c(\vec{n}, \zeta_p) j(p, \vec{n}, z),$$

where the $c(\vec{n}, \zeta_p) \in \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_p]$. Then for $1 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$, we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,ma^2}(\zeta_p, z) = \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathcal{B}} (-1)^{L(\vec{n}, a, b, p) + d(a+1)} \frac{\sin(\pi/p)}{\sin(a\pi/p)} c(\vec{n}, \zeta_p^a) j(p, \pi_a(\vec{n}), z),$$

where $1 \leq d \leq p-1$, $ad \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, and $L(\vec{n}, a, b, p)$ is defined in Equation (3.2).

Proof. From Theorem 1.6, we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,ma^2}(\zeta_p^d, z) = \frac{\sin(d\pi/p)}{\sin(\pi/p)} (-1)^{d+1} \exp \left(\frac{-2\pi imab}{p} \right) \mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z) \mid [A]_1,$$

where b is such that $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ p & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p)$ or $ad \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then using the previous theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{p,ma^2}(\zeta_p^d, z) &= \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{\sin(d\pi/p)}{\sin(\pi/p)} (-1)^{d+1+L(\vec{n}, a, b, p)} c(\vec{n}, \zeta_p) j(p, \pi_a(\vec{n}), z) \\
&= \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{\zeta_p^{\frac{d}{2}} - \zeta_p^{-\frac{d}{2}}}{\zeta_p^{\frac{1}{2}} - \zeta_p^{-\frac{1}{2}}} (-1)^{d+1+L(\vec{n}, a, b, p)} c(\vec{n}, \zeta_p) j(p, \pi_a(\vec{n}), z)
\end{aligned}$$

Then, replacing ζ_p by ζ_p^a , and using the fact that $ad = 1 + pb$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{p,ma^2}(\zeta_p, z) &= \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathcal{B}} (-1)^b \frac{\sin(\pi/p)}{\sin(a\pi/p)} (-1)^{L(\vec{n}, a, b, p) + d+1} c(\vec{n}, \zeta_p^a) j(p, \pi_a(\vec{n}), z) \\
&= \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathcal{B}} (-1)^{pb} \frac{\sin(\pi/p)}{\sin(a\pi/p)} (-1)^{L(\vec{n}, a, b, p) + d+1} c(\vec{n}, \zeta_p^a) j(p, \pi_a(\vec{n}), z) \\
&= \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathcal{B}} (-1)^{L(\vec{n}, a, b, p) + d + ad} \frac{\sin(\pi/p)}{\sin(a\pi/p)} c(\vec{n}, \zeta_p^a) j(p, \pi_a(\vec{n}), z),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem. □

Corollary 4.12. *Let $p > 3$ be prime. The identities for $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)$ in terms of generalized eta functions are completely determined by three particular ones namely*

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,0}(\zeta_p, z), \mathcal{K}_{p,m^+}(\zeta_p, z), \mathcal{K}_{p,m^-}(\zeta_p, z),$$

where $\left(\frac{-24m^+}{p}\right) = 1$, $\left(\frac{-24m^-}{p}\right) = -1$.

We present an example illustrating the theorem when $p = 7$. Equation (1.16) gives the 7–dissection of $R(\zeta_7, q)$. We see that

$$\mathcal{K}_{7,1}(\zeta_7, z) = -(1 + \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^4) j(7, [3, 1, -1, -1], z).$$

Let $\vec{n} = [3, 1, -1, -1]$, $p = 7$, $a = 2$, $b = 1$, $d = 4$, $c(\vec{n}, \zeta_p) = -(1 + \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^4)$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} L(\vec{n}, a, b, p) &= L([3, 1, -1, -1], 2, 1, 7) = -7, \\ \frac{\sin(\pi/p)}{\sin(a\pi/p)} &= \frac{\sin(\pi/7)}{\sin(2\pi/7)} = 1 + \zeta_7^2 + \zeta_7^5, \\ c(\vec{n}, \zeta_p) &= c([3, 1, -1, -1], \zeta_7) = -(1 + \zeta_7^3 + \zeta_7^4). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{7,4}(\zeta_7, z) &= \sum_{\vec{n} \in \mathcal{B}} (-1)^{L(\vec{n}, a, b, p) + a + d} \frac{\sin(\pi/p)}{\sin(a\pi/p)} c(\vec{n}, \zeta_p^a) j(p, \pi_a(\vec{n}), z) \\ &= (1 + \zeta_7^2 + \zeta_7^5) (1 + \zeta_7 + \zeta_7^6) j(7, [3, -1, 1, -1], z) \\ &= (\zeta_7 + \zeta_7^6) j(7, [3, -1, 1, -1], z), \end{aligned}$$

which verifies that

$$\mathcal{K}_{7,4}(\zeta_7, z) = (\zeta_7 + \zeta_7^6) \frac{\eta(7z)^2 \eta_{7,2}(z)}{\eta_{7,1}(z) \eta_{7,3}(z)}.$$

5. SYMMETRY OF $\mathcal{K}_{p,0}(\zeta_p, z)$ COEFFICIENTS

Theorem 5.1. *Let $p > 3$ be prime. Suppose there are t vectors $\vec{n}_1, \vec{n}_2, \dots, \vec{n}_t \in \mathbb{Z}^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)}$ such that the set of functions $j(p, \pi_r(\vec{n}_k), z)$, $1 \leq k \leq t$, $1 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ are linearly independent (over \mathbb{Q}) and*

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,0}(\zeta_p, z) = \sum_{k=1}^t \sum_{r=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} c_{p,r,k}(\zeta_p) j(p, \pi_r(\vec{n}_k), z),$$

where $c_{p,r,k}(\zeta_p) \in \mathbb{Q}[\zeta_p]$. Then for $1 \leq d \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$, and $\vec{n} = (n_0, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)})$, we have

$$c_{p,d,k}(\zeta_p) = \frac{\sin(\pi/p)}{\sin(d\pi/p)} (-1)^{d+1+L(\vec{n},d)} c_{p,1,k}(\zeta_p^d)$$

where

$$L(\vec{n}, d) = L(\vec{n}, a, b, d, p) = bd(1+a) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} kn_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{dka}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{dk}{p} \right\rfloor \right) n_k,$$

and a, b are chosen so that $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ p & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p)$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.1 (iii), for $m = 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,0}(\zeta_p, z) \mid [A]_1 = \frac{\sin(\pi/p)}{\sin(d\pi/p)} (-1)^{d+1} \mathcal{K}_{p,0}(\zeta_p^d, z)$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ p & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p), 1 \leq a, d \leq p-1.$$

Now as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we deduce that for an arbitrary $\vec{n}_\ell \in \{\vec{n}_1, \vec{n}_2, \dots, \vec{n}_t\}$, say $\vec{n}_\ell = (n_0, n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)})$, we have

$$j(p, \pi_r(\vec{n}_\ell), z) \mid [A]_1 = (-1)^{L_1(A) + \frac{ab}{p} L_2(A)} \nu_\eta^{L_3(A)} ({}^p A) j(p, \pi_{ra}(\vec{n}_\ell), z),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(A) &= br \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} kn_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \left\lfloor \frac{rka}{p} \right\rfloor n_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \left\lfloor \frac{rk}{p} \right\rfloor n_k, \\ L_2(A) &= r^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} k^2 n_k, \\ L_3(A) &= n_0 + 3 \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} n_k. \end{aligned}$$

For $m = 0$, by Theorem 3.1, we have $L_3(A) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(A) + abL_2(A) &= br \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} kn_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \left\lfloor \frac{rka}{p} \right\rfloor n_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \left\lfloor \frac{rk}{p} \right\rfloor n_k + abr^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} k^2 n_k \\ &\equiv br(1+a) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} kn_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{rka}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{rk}{p} \right\rfloor \right) n_k \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$j(p, \pi_r(\vec{n}_\ell), z) | [A]_1 = (-1)^{L_{r,\ell}(A)} j(p, \pi_{ra}(\vec{n}_\ell), z), \text{ where } L_{r,\ell}(A) = br(1+a) \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} kn_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{rka}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{rk}{p} \right\rfloor \right) n_k.$$

Using the transformation above, we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} c_{p,r,\ell}(\zeta_p) j(p, \pi_r(\vec{n}_\ell), z) | [A]_1 = \sum_{r=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} c_{p,r,\ell}(\zeta_p) (-1)^{L_{r,\ell}(A)} j(p, \pi_{ra}(\vec{n}_\ell), z).$$

Since $ad \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, taking $r \rightarrow dr$ we have

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} c_{p,dr,\ell}(\zeta_p) (-1)^{L_{dr,\ell}(A)} j(p, \pi_{dra}(\vec{n}_\ell), z) = \sum_{r=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} c_{p,dr,\ell}(\zeta_p) (-1)^{L_{dr,\ell}(A)} j(p, \pi_r(\vec{n}_\ell), z).$$

Thus, comparing the coefficients with $\mathcal{K}_{p,0}(\zeta_p^d, z)$, we have

$$c_{p,r,\ell}(\zeta_p^d) = \frac{\sin(d\pi/p)}{\sin(\pi/p)} (-1)^{d+1} c_{p,dr,\ell}(\zeta_p) (-1)^{L_{dr,\ell}(A)}$$

or $c_{p,d,\ell}(\zeta_p) = \frac{\sin(\pi/p)}{\sin(d\pi/p)} (-1)^{d+1+L_{d,\ell}(A)} c_{p,1,\ell}(\zeta_p^d).$

□

6. LOWER BOUNDS FOR ORDER OF AT CUSPS

In this section, we calculate lower bounds for the orders of $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)$ at the cusps of $\Gamma_1(p)$, which we use in proving the $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)$ identities in the subsequent section.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime and $0 \leq m \leq p-1$. Then*

(i)

$$\text{ord}(\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z); 0) \begin{cases} \geq 0 & \text{if } p = 5, 7, \\ = \frac{-1}{24p}(p-5)(p-7) & \text{if } p > 7; \end{cases}$$

(ii)

$$\text{ord}(\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z); \frac{1}{n}) \begin{cases} = \frac{-3}{2p}(\frac{1}{6}(p-1) - n)(\frac{1}{6}(p+1) - n) & \text{if } 2 \leq n < \frac{1}{6}(p-1), \\ \geq 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

(iii)

$$\text{ord}(\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z); \frac{n}{p}) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{24p}(p^2 - 1) & \text{if } m = 0 \text{ or } \left(\frac{-24m}{p}\right) = -1, \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2p} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We define

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{F}_1^* \left(\frac{1}{p}; z \right) = \frac{\eta(p^2 z)}{\eta(z)} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{1}{p}; z \right).$$

By Definition 1.4 and Proposition 4.5, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z) &= \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{p} \right) \mathcal{J} \left(\frac{1}{p}; 1 \right) z \mid [U_{p,m}]_1 \\ &= \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{p} \right) \left[\frac{\eta(p^2 z)}{\eta(z)} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{1}{p}; z \right) - 2 \chi_{12}(p) \sum_{\ell=1}^{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)} (-1)^\ell \sin \left(\frac{6\ell\pi}{p} \right) \mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; p^2 z \right) \right] \mid [U_{p,m}]_1 \\ &= \begin{cases} \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{p} \right) \mathcal{F}_1^* \left(\frac{1}{p}; z \right) \mid [U_{p,m}]_1, & \text{if } m = 0 \text{ or } \left(\frac{-24m}{p}\right) = -1, \\ \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{p} \right) \left[\mathcal{F}_1^* \left(\frac{1}{p}; z \right) \mid [U_{p,m}]_1 - 2 \chi_{12}(p) (-1)^\ell \sin \left(\frac{6\ell\pi}{p} \right) \mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; pz \right) \right], & \text{if } \left(\frac{-24m}{p}\right) = 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq \ell \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$, $(6\ell)^2 \equiv -24m \pmod{p}$, when $\left(\frac{-24m}{p}\right) = 1$.

Therefore

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}{p} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{-km} \mathcal{F}_1^* \left(\frac{1}{p}; z \right) \mid [T_k]_1, & \text{if } m = 0 \text{ or } \left(\frac{-24m}{p}\right) = -1, \\ \frac{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})}{p} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{-km} \mathcal{F}_1^* \left(\frac{1}{p}; z \right) \mid [T_k]_1 \\ \quad - 2 \chi_{12}(p) (-1)^\ell \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{p} \right) \sin \left(\frac{6\ell\pi}{p} \right) \mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; pz \right), & \text{if } \left(\frac{-24m}{p}\right) = 1. \end{cases}$$

We consider $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z) \mid [A]_1$ and evaluate the order of $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)$ at the cusps considering suitable $A \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Order of $\mathcal{F}_1^* \left(\frac{1}{p}; z \right) \mid [T_k A]_1$ at the cusps is calculated in [13, Theorem 6.9, p.237-238] as :

$$\text{ord}_{\text{holo}}(\mathcal{F}_1^* \left(\frac{1}{p}; \frac{z+k}{p} \right); 0) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 0, p = 5, \\ \frac{-1}{24p}(p-5)(p-7) & \text{if } k = 0, p > 5; \end{cases}$$

$$\text{ord}_{\text{holo}}(\mathcal{F}_1^* \left(\frac{1}{p}; \frac{z+k}{p} \right); \frac{1}{n}) \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } nk \not\equiv -1 \pmod{p}, \\ = \frac{-3}{2p} \left(\frac{1}{6}(p-1) - n \right) \left(\frac{1}{6}(p+1) - n \right) & \text{if } nk \equiv -1 \pmod{p}, 2 \leq n \leq \frac{p-1}{6}, \\ > 0 & \text{if } nk \equiv -1 \pmod{p}, \frac{p-1}{6} < n \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1); \end{cases}$$

$$\text{ord}_{\text{holo}}(\mathcal{F}_1^* \left(\frac{1}{p}; \frac{z+k}{p} \right); \frac{n}{p}) = \frac{p^2-1}{24p}.$$

We now look at $\mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; pz \right) \mid [A]_1$ and subsequent lower bounds of order at the cusps.

Now, as in [13], we examine each cusp ζ of $\Gamma_1(p)$. We choose $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$

so that $A(\infty) = \frac{a}{c} = \zeta$. Also, let $P = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

(i) $\zeta = 0 \Rightarrow a = 0, c = 1$. Let $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow S(\infty) = 0 = \zeta$. Then, using [13, Theorem 4.5, p.220], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; pz \right) \mid [S]_1 &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{p}} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z \right) \mid [S]_1 \mid [P]_1 \mid [S]_1 = \frac{i}{\sqrt{p}} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z \right) \mid \left[\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -p \end{pmatrix} \right]_1 \\ &= \frac{-i}{p} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; \frac{z}{p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\text{ord}_{\text{holo}}(\mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; pz \right); 0) = \frac{1}{p} \cdot \text{ord}_{\text{holo}}(\mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z \right); \infty) = 0.$$

By considering $\min_{0 \leq k \leq p-1} \text{ord}_{\text{holo}} \left(\mathcal{F}_1^* \left(\frac{1}{p}; \frac{z+k}{p} \right); 0 \right)$, we have

$$\text{ord}(\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z); 0) \begin{cases} \geq 0 & \text{if } p = 5, 7, \\ = \frac{-1}{24p}(p-5)(p-7) & \text{if } p > 7. \end{cases}$$

(ii) $\zeta = \frac{1}{n}, 2 \leq n \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ n & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow A(\infty) = \frac{1}{n} = \zeta$. Then, using [13, Theorem 4.5, p.220], we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; pz \right) \mid [A]_1 &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{p}} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z \right) \mid [S]_1 \mid [P]_1 \mid [A]_1 = \frac{i}{\sqrt{p}} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z \right) \mid \left[\begin{pmatrix} -n & -1 \\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]_1 \\ &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{p}} \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; z \right) \mid [CN]_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \begin{pmatrix} -n & \frac{nk'-1}{p} \\ p & -k' \end{pmatrix}$, $N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k' \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$, and k' is chosen so that $nk' \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and $C \in \Gamma_0(p)$.

Then, using [13, Theorem 4.1, p.218], we have

$$\mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; pz \right) | [A]_1 = \frac{i}{\sqrt{p}} \mu(C, \ell) \mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{-k'\ell}{p}; z \right) | [N]_1,$$

where $\mu(C, \ell) = \exp(-\frac{3\pi i}{p} k' \ell^2) (-1)^{\ell + \lfloor -k'\ell/p \rfloor}$. Therefore

$$\text{ord}_{\text{holo}} \left(\mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; pz \right); \frac{1}{n} \right) = \frac{1}{p} \cdot \text{ord}_{\text{holo}} \left(\mathcal{F}_1 \left(\frac{-k'\ell}{p}; z \right); \infty \right) = 0.$$

By considering $\min_{0 \leq k \leq p-1} \text{ord}_{\text{holo}} \left(\mathcal{F}_1^* \left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{z+k}{p} \right); \frac{1}{n} \right)$, we have

$$\text{ord}(\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z); \frac{1}{n}) \begin{cases} = \frac{-3}{2p} (\frac{1}{6}(p-1) - n) (\frac{1}{6}(p+1) - n) & \text{if } 2 \leq n < \frac{1}{6}(p-1), \\ \geq 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(iii) $\zeta = \frac{n}{p}$, $2 \leq n \leq \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$. We choose integers b, d so that $A = \begin{pmatrix} n & b \\ p & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and $A(\infty) = \frac{n}{p} = \zeta$.

We have seen in the proof of Proposition 4.10 that when $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & k \\ p & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p)$, then

$$\mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; pz \right) | [A]_1 = \mu(B, \ell) \mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{a\ell}{p}; z \right) | [P]_1,$$

where

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} d & -1 \\ -pk & a \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(B, \ell) = \exp(-\frac{3\pi i}{p} a k \ell^2) (-1)^{k\ell + \lfloor a\ell/p \rfloor}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_{\text{holo}} \left(\mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; pz \right); \frac{n}{p} \right) &= p \cdot \text{ord}_{\text{holo}} \left(\mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{n\ell}{p}; z \right); \infty \right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{n\ell}{2} - \frac{3(n\ell)^2}{2p} & \text{if } 1 \leq n\ell < \frac{p}{6}, \\ \frac{3n\ell}{2} - \frac{3(n\ell)^2}{2p} & \text{if } \frac{p}{6} \leq n\ell < \frac{5p}{6}, \\ \frac{5n\ell}{2} - \frac{3(n\ell)^2}{2p} - p & \text{if } \frac{5p}{6} \leq n\ell < p. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By a calculation, $\text{ord}_{\text{holo}} \left(\mathcal{F}_2 \left(\frac{\ell}{p}; pz \right); \frac{n}{p} \right) \geq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2p}$.

It follows that $\text{ord}(\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z); \frac{n}{p}) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{24p}(p^2 - 1) & \text{if } m = 0 \text{ or } \left(\frac{-24m}{p}\right) = -1, \\ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2p} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

□

7. RANK MOD p IDENTITIES FOR $p = 11, 13, 17$ AND 19

In this section, we find and prove identities for $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)$ when $p = 11, 13, 17$ and 19 in terms of generalized eta-functions defined in (1.7). Identities of this kind were first studied by Atkin and Hussain [3] for rank mod 11. Rank mod 13 identities were subsequently considered by O'Brien in his thesis [17]. The identities for $p = 17$ and 19 are new. In general, these identities are of the form

$$(7.1) \quad \mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z) = \sum_{k=1}^r c_{p,m,k} j_{p,m,k}(z)$$

where $j_{p,m,k}(z)$ are quotients of generalized eta-functions, and the $c_{p,m,k}$ are cyclotomic integers.

In the first part of this section we describe an algorithm for proving identities of this type using the Valence Formula (Theorem 7.1 below). By Theorem 4.11 (and noted in Corollary 4.12), for each p we need only give identities for three particular cases of $-24m \pmod{p}$, corresponding to 0, a quadratic residue and a quadratic non-residue mod p .

In Section 7.1 we give detail of the algorithm for the case $p = 11$. In Section 7.2 we list the three identities for $p = 13$ but omit detail of the algorithm. In Sections 7.3 and 7.4 we only give the form of the identities for $p = 17$ and $p = 19$ omitting the values of the coefficients.

From Theorem 1.5, we know that $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)$ is a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 1 on $\Gamma(p)$. The proof of the identities primarily involves establishing the equality using the Valence formula and showing that the RHS is also a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 1 on $\Gamma(p)$. To that end we first state here the Valence Formula.

Theorem 7.1 (The Valence Formula [18] (p.98)). *Let $f \neq 0$ be a modular form of weight k with respect to a subgroup Γ of finite index in $\Gamma(1) = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Then*

$$(7.2) \quad \text{ORD}(f, \Gamma) = \frac{1}{12} \mu k,$$

where μ is index $\widehat{\Gamma}$ in $\widehat{\Gamma(1)}$,

$$\text{ORD}(f, \Gamma) := \sum_{\zeta \in R^*} \text{ORD}(f, \zeta, \Gamma),$$

R^* is a fundamental region for Γ , and

$$(7.3) \quad \text{ORD}(f; \zeta; \Gamma) = n(\Gamma; \zeta) \text{ord}(f; \zeta),$$

for a cusp ζ and $n(\Gamma; \zeta)$ denotes the fan width of the cusp $\zeta \pmod{\Gamma}$.

Remark. For $\zeta \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\text{ORD}(f; \zeta; \Gamma)$ is defined in terms of the invariant order $\text{ord}(f; \zeta)$, which is interpreted in the usual sense. See [18, p.91] for details of this and the notation used.

An Algorithm for Proving Rank Mod p Identities. We describe an algorithm for proving rank mod p identities that utilizes the Valence Formula. We apply this algorithm with the aid of the MAPLE packages THETAIDS and ETA developed by the first author.

We note that in the actual identities deduced and proved in the subsequent subsection, we write them in terms of the permutation π_r and the generalized eta-functions $j(p, \pi_r(\vec{n}), z)$ defined as in Definition 3.4 and 1.7. In our algorithm, we write $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)$ in terms of

generalized eta functions $j_{p,m,k}$, written in a general sense as in (7.1), where the sum is finite and the coefficients $c_{p,m,k}$ are nonzero.

Step 1. Use Theorem 3.1 to check the three modularity conditions for each $j_{p,m,k}(z)$, $1 \leq k \leq r$ in the RHS of the expression (7.1). This shows that the RHS of (7.1) is a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight 1 on $\Gamma(p)$ satisfying the same modularity condition as $\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)$ in Theorem 1.5.

Calculate orders at $i\infty$ of each generalized eta quotient $j_{p,m,k}$.

Step 2. For the cases when $m \neq 0$, convert the eta quotients to weight 0 by dividing each by the eta quotient having the lowest order at $i\infty$ i.e. choose $k = k_0$ such that

$$\text{ORD}(j_{p,m,k_0}(z), i\infty, \Gamma_1(p)) = \min_{1 \leq k \leq r} \text{ORD}(j_{p,m,k}(z), i\infty, \Gamma_1(p)).$$

Let $j_0 = j_{p,m,k_0}(z)$. Then (7.1) has the following equivalent form :

$$(7.4) \quad \frac{\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)}{j_0} = \sum_{k=1}^r c_{p,m,k} \frac{j_{p,m,k}(z)}{j_0}$$

We note that the LHS and each term on the RHS is a modular function on $\Gamma_1(p)$. When $m = 0$, we skip this step.

Step 3. At each cusp $s \in \mathcal{S}_p$ given in Proposition 7.2, calculate

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ORD}(j_{p,m,k}(z), s, \Gamma_1(p)) \quad \text{when } m = 0, \\ & \text{ORD}\left(\frac{j_{p,m,k}(z)}{j_0}, s, \Gamma_1(p)\right) \quad \text{when } m \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq r$, using Proposition 7.4.

Step 4. At each cusp s , calculate the lower bound $\lambda(p, m, s)$ of

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{ORD}(\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z), s, \Gamma_1(p)) \quad \text{when } m = 0, \\ & \text{ORD}\left(\frac{\mathcal{K}_{p,m}(\zeta_p, z)}{j_0}, s, \Gamma_1(p)\right) \quad \text{when } m \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

using Theorem 6.1. We note that value is an integer.

Step 5. Calculate

$$(7.5) \quad B = \begin{cases} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_p, s \neq i\infty} \min(\{\text{ORD}(j_{p,m,k}(z), s, \Gamma_1(p)) : 1 \leq k \leq r\} \cup \{\lambda(p, m, s)\}), & \text{if } m = 0 \\ \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_p, s \neq i\infty} \min\left(\left\{\text{ORD}\left(\frac{j_{p,m,k}(z)}{j_0}, s, \Gamma_1(p)\right) : 1 \leq k \leq r\right\} \cup \{\lambda(p, m, s)\}\right), & \text{if } m \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

Step 6. Show

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ORD}(\text{LHS} - \text{RHS of (7.1)}, i\infty, \Gamma_1(p)) &\geq -B + 1 + \frac{\mu}{12} \text{ if } m = 0, \\ \text{ORD}(\text{LHS} - \text{RHS of (7.4)}, i\infty, \Gamma_1(p)) &\geq -B + 1 \text{ if } m \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$(7.6) \quad \mu = \frac{1}{2}(p^2 - 1),$$

which is index of $\widehat{\Gamma_1(p)}$ in $\widehat{\Gamma(1)}$. See [16, Thm.4.2.5, p.106]. Then the Valence formula in Theorem 7.1 implies LHS=RHS and (7.1) is proved.

To aid with the calculations we include some propositions on cusps and orders at cusps. From [8, Corollary 4, p.930] and [8, Lemma 3, p.929] we have

Proposition 7.2. *Let $p > 3$ be prime. Then we have the following set of inequivalent cusps S_p for $\Gamma_1(p)$ and their corresponding fan widths.*

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Cusp:} & i\infty, \quad 0, \quad \frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{3}, \quad \dots, \quad \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)}, \quad \frac{2}{p}, \quad \frac{3}{p}, \quad \dots, \quad \frac{\frac{1}{2}(p-1)}{p}, \\ \text{Fan width:} & 1, \quad p, \quad p, \quad p, \quad \dots, \quad p, \quad 1, \quad 1, \quad \dots, \quad 1. \end{array}$$

From [14, Prop.2.1, p.34] and [6, Lemma 3.2, p.285] we have

Proposition 7.3. *Let $1 \leq N \nmid \rho$, and $(a, c) = 1$. Then*

$$\text{ord} \left(f_{N,\rho}(z), \frac{a}{c} \right) = \frac{g^2}{2N} \left(\frac{a\rho}{g} - \left\lfloor \frac{a\rho}{g} \right\rfloor - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2,$$

and

$$\text{ord} \left(\eta(Nz), \frac{a}{c} \right) = \frac{g^2}{2N},$$

where $g = (N, c)$.

Remark. We have corrected an error in the statement of [13, Prop.6.13].

The following proposition follows easily from (7.3) and Propositions 7.2 and 7.3.

Proposition 7.4. *Let $p > 3$ be prime and suppose $s = \frac{a}{c}$ is one of the cusps listed in Proposition 7.2 with $i\infty$ represented by $\frac{1}{p}$. Then*

(i) *If $(c, p) = 1$ then*

$$\text{ORD} \left(j(p, \vec{n}, z), s, \Gamma_1(p) \right) = \frac{1}{24} \left(n_0 + 3 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} n_j \right).$$

(ii) *If $c = p$ then*

$$\text{ORD} \left(j(p, \vec{n}, z), s, \Gamma_1(p) \right) = \frac{p}{24} \left(n_0 + 12 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} n_j \left(\frac{aj}{p} - \left\lfloor \frac{aj}{p} \right\rfloor - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \right).$$

7.1. Rank mod 11 identities.

7.1.1. **Identity for $\mathcal{K}_{11,0}$.** Let the permutation π_r and the generalized eta function $j(z) = j(p, \vec{n}, z)$ be defined as in Definition 3.4 and 1.7. We follow the steps of the stated algorithm in the process of proving the following identity for $\mathcal{K}_{11,0}(\zeta_{11}, z)$:

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{11,0}(\zeta_{11}, z) &= (q^{11}; q^{11})_{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{10} N(k, 11, 11n-5) \zeta_{11}^k \right) q^n \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^5 c_{11,r} j(11, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\vec{n}_1 = (15, -4, -2, -3, -2, -2),$$

and the coefficients are :

$$\begin{aligned} c_{11,1} &= -(\zeta_{11}^9 + \zeta_{11}^8 + 2\zeta_{11}^7 + \zeta_{11}^6 + \zeta_{11}^5 + 2\zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^2 + 1), \\ c_{11,2} &= 4\zeta_{11}^9 + \zeta_{11}^8 + 2\zeta_{11}^7 + 2\zeta_{11}^6 + 2\zeta_{11}^5 + 2\zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^3 + 4\zeta_{11}^2 + 4, \\ c_{11,3} &= -\zeta_{11}^9 - 2\zeta_{11}^8 + \zeta_{11}^7 - 2\zeta_{11}^6 - 2\zeta_{11}^5 + \zeta_{11}^4 - 2\zeta_{11}^3 - \zeta_{11}^2 - 3, \\ c_{11,4} &= 2\zeta_{11}^8 + 2\zeta_{11}^7 + 2\zeta_{11}^4 + 2\zeta_{11}^3 + 3, \\ c_{11,5} &= 2\zeta_{11}^9 + 2\zeta_{11}^8 + \zeta_{11}^7 + \zeta_{11}^4 + 2\zeta_{11}^3 + 2\zeta_{11}^2 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

We note that a different but similar identity for $\mathcal{K}_{11,0}(\zeta_{11}, z)$ was found previously by the first author [13, Section 6.4].

Step 1 We check the conditions for modularity as in Theorem 3.1 for $j(11, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z)$, $1 \leq r \leq 5$ involved in (7.7). Here, $p = 11, m = 0, n_0 = 15$. By Theorem 3.6, we only need to check modularity for $r = 1$. With $\vec{n}_1 = (15, -4, -2, -3, -2, -2)$, we easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} n_0 + \sum_{k=1}^5 n_k &= 2, \\ n_0 + 3 \sum_{k=1}^5 n_k &= -24 \equiv 0 \pmod{24}, \\ \sum_{k=1}^5 k^2 n_k &= -143 \equiv 0 \pmod{11}, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Since $m = 0$, we skip Step 2.

Step 3 Using Proposition 7.4, we calculate the orders of each of the five functions f at each cusp s of $\Gamma_1(11)$.

$$\text{ORD}(f, s, \Gamma_1(11))$$

f	cusp s						
	$i\infty$	0	$1/n$	$2/11$	$3/11$	$4/11$	$5/11$
$j(11, \pi_1(\vec{n}_1), z)$	1	-1	-1	2	2	2	3
$j(11, \pi_2(\vec{n}_1), z)$	2	-1	-1	2	3	2	1
$j(11, \pi_3(\vec{n}_1), z)$	2	-1	-1	3	2	1	2
$j(11, \pi_4(\vec{n}_1), z)$	2	-1	-1	2	1	3	2
$j(11, \pi_5(\vec{n}_1), z)$	3	-1	-1	1	2	2	2

where $2 \leq n \leq 5$.

Step 4 Considering the LHS of equation (7.7) we now calculate lower bounds $\lambda(11, 0, s)$ of the orders $\text{ORD}(\mathcal{K}_{11,0}(\zeta_{11}, z), s, \Gamma_1(11))$ for the cusps s of $\Gamma_1(11)$.

cusp s	$\lambda(11, 0, s)$
$i\infty$	
0	-1
$1/n$	0
$2/11$	$\lceil 5/11 \rceil = 1$
$3/11$	$\lceil 5/11 \rceil = 1$
$4/11$	$\lceil 5/11 \rceil = 1$
$5/11$	$\lceil 5/11 \rceil = 1$

where $2 \leq n \leq 5$, using Theorem 6.1. Again we note that each value is an integer.

Step 5 We summarize the calculations in Steps 4 and 5 in a Table. The gives lower bounds for the LHS and RHS of equation (7.7) at the cusps s .

cusp ζ	$\text{ORD}(LHS; \zeta)$	$\text{ORD}(RHS; \zeta)$	$\text{ORD}(LHS - RHS; \zeta)$
$i\infty$			
0	≥ -1	≥ -1	≥ -1
$1/n$	≥ 0	≥ -1	≥ -1
$2/11$	≥ 1	1	≥ 1
$3/11$	≥ 1	1	≥ 1
$4/11$	≥ 1	1	≥ 1
$5/11$	≥ 1	1	≥ 1

where $2 \leq n \leq 5$. The constant B (in equation (7.5)) is the sum of the lower bounds in the last column, so that $B = -1$.

Step 6 The LHS and RHS are weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight 1 on $\Gamma_1(11)$. So in the Valence Formula, $\frac{\mu k}{12} = 5$. The result follows provided we can show that $\text{ORD}(LHS - RHS, i\infty, \Gamma_1(11)) \geq 7$. This is easily verified using MAPLE.

7.1.2. **A quadratic residue case.** We follow the steps of the stated algorithm in the process of proving the following identity for $\mathcal{K}_{11,1}(\zeta_{11}, z)$:

(7.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{11,1}(\zeta_{11}, z) &= q^{\frac{1}{11}}(q^{11}; q^{11})_{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{10} N(k, 11, 11n-4) \zeta_{11}^k \right) q^n \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\zeta_{11} + \zeta_{11}^{10} - \zeta_{11}^9 - \zeta_{11}^2) q^{-1} \Phi_{11,5}(q) \right) \\
&= \frac{f_{11,5}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)} \sum_{r=1}^5 c_{11,r} j(11, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z) + \frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,5}(z)} \sum_{r=1}^5 d_{11,r} j(11, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\vec{n}_1 = (15, -4, -2, -3, -2, -2),$$

and the coefficients are :

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{11,1} &= 0, \\
c_{11,2} &= 5\zeta_{11}^9 + \zeta_{11}^8 + 4\zeta_{11}^7 + 2\zeta_{11}^6 + 2\zeta_{11}^5 + 4\zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^3 + 5\zeta_{11}^2 + 5, \\
c_{11,3} &= -(5\zeta_{11}^9 + 3\zeta_{11}^7 + 2\zeta_{11}^6 + 2\zeta_{11}^5 + 3\zeta_{11}^4 + 5\zeta_{11}^2 + 1), \\
c_{11,4} &= \zeta_{11}^9 - \zeta_{11}^8 - \zeta_{11}^7 - \zeta_{11}^4 - \zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^2 - 2, \\
c_{11,5} &= -(6\zeta_{11}^9 + 2\zeta_{11}^8 + 3\zeta_{11}^7 + 5\zeta_{11}^6 + 5\zeta_{11}^5 + 3\zeta_{11}^4 + 2\zeta_{11}^3 + 6\zeta_{11}^2 + 5), \\
d_{11,1} &= 0, \\
d_{11,2} &= 0, \\
d_{11,3} &= \zeta_{11}^9 + \zeta_{11}^8 + \zeta_{11}^6 + \zeta_{11}^5 + \zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^2 + 1, \\
d_{11,4} &= 0, \\
d_{11,5} &= -(2\zeta_{11}^9 + \zeta_{11}^8 + \zeta_{11}^7 + \zeta_{11}^6 + \zeta_{11}^5 + \zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^3 + 2\zeta_{11}^2 + 1),
\end{aligned}$$

Step 1 We check the conditions for modularity as in Theorem 3.1 for $\frac{f_{11,5}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)} j(11, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z)$ and $\frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,5}(z)} j(11, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z)$, $1 \leq r \leq 5$ involved in (7.8). Here, $p = 11$, $m = 1$, $n_0 = 15$.

generalized eta-functions	n_1	n_2	n_3	n_4	n_5	$\sum_{k=1}^5 k^2 n_k$
$\frac{f_{11,5}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)} j(11, \pi_2(\vec{n}_1), z)$	-3	-4	-2	-2	-2	-119
$\frac{f_{11,5}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)} j(11, \pi_3(\vec{n}_1), z)$	-3	-3	-4	-2	-1	-108
$\frac{f_{11,5}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)} j(11, \pi_4(\vec{n}_1), z)$	-4	-2	-2	-4	-1	-119
$\frac{f_{11,5}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)} j(11, \pi_5(\vec{n}_1), z)$	-3	-2	-2	-3	-3	-152
$\frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,5}(z)} j(11, \pi_1(\vec{n}_1), z)$	-2	-3	-4	-1	-3	-141
$\frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,5}(z)} j(11, \pi_2(\vec{n}_1), z)$	-2	-2	-2	-2	-5	-185

For each of the generalized eta-functions, we can see that $\sum_{k=1}^5 k^2 n_k \equiv 2 \pmod{11}$, $\sum_{k=1}^5 n_k = -13$. Thus, $n_0 + \sum_{k=1}^5 n_k = 2$, and, $n_0 + 3 \sum_{k=1}^5 n_k = -24$.

Step 2 Next, we calculate the orders of the generalized eta-functions at $i\infty$ and considering the identity with zero coefficients removed, we find that $k_0 = 1$. Thus we divide each generalized eta-function by $j_0 = \frac{f_{11,5}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)} j(11, \pi_2(\vec{n}_1), z)$, which has the lowest order at $i\infty$.

Step 3 Using Proposition 7.4, we calculate the orders of each of the six functions f at each cusp s of $\Gamma_1(11)$.

ORD($f, s, \Gamma_1(11)$)

f	$i\infty$	0	$1/n$	cusp s				
				$2/11$	$3/11$	$4/11$	$5/11$	
$\frac{f_{11,5}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)} j(11, \pi_2(\vec{n}_1), z) / j_0$	1	0	0	0	1	0	-2	
$\frac{f_{11,5}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)} j(11, \pi_3(\vec{n}_1), z) / j_0$	1	0	0	1	0	-1	-1	
$\frac{f_{11,5}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)} j(11, \pi_4(\vec{n}_1), z) / j_0$	1	0	0	0	-1	1	-1	
$\frac{f_{11,5}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)} j(11, \pi_5(\vec{n}_1), z) / j_0$	2	0	0	-1	0	0	-1	
$\frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,5}(z)} j(11, \pi_1(\vec{n}_1), z) / j_0$	2	0	0	0	1	-2	-1	
$\frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,5}(z)} j(11, \pi_2(\vec{n}_1), z) / j_0$	3	0	0	-2	1	-1	-1	

where $2 \leq n \leq 5$.

Step 4 Considering the LHS of equation (7.8) after division by j_0 , we now calculate lower bounds $\lambda(11, 1, s)$ of the orders ORD $\left(\frac{\mathcal{K}_{11,1}(\zeta_{11}, z)}{j_0}, \zeta, \Gamma_1(11) \right)$ for the cusps s of $\Gamma_1(11)$.

cusp s	$\lambda(11, 1, \zeta)$
$i\infty$	
0	0
$1/n$	1
$2/11$	-2
$3/11$	$\lceil -16/11 \rceil = -1$
$4/11$	$\lceil -23/11 \rceil = -2$
$5/11$	$\lceil -32/11 \rceil - 2$

where $2 \leq n \leq 5$, using Theorem 6.1. Again we note that each value is an integer.

Step 5 We summarize the calculations in Steps 4 and 5 in a Table. The gives lower bounds for the LHS and RHS of equation (7.8) after division by j_0 , at the cusps s .

cusp s	ORD(LHS; s)	ORD(RHS; s)	ORD(LHS - RHS; s)
$i\infty$			
0	≥ 0	≥ 0	≥ 0
$1/n$	≥ 1	≥ 0	≥ 0
$2/11$	≥ -2	-2	≥ -2
$3/11$	≥ -1	-1	≥ -1
$4/11$	≥ -2	-2	≥ -2
$5/11$	≥ -2	-2	≥ -2

where $2 \leq n \leq 5$. The constant B (in equation (7.5)) is the sum of the lower bounds in the last column, so that $B = -7$.

Step 6 This time the LHS and RHS are weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight 0 on $\Gamma_1(11)$. So in the Valence Formula, $\frac{\mu^k}{12} = 0$. The result follows provided we can show that $\text{ORD}(LHS - RHS, i\infty, \Gamma_1(11)) \geq 8$. This is easily verified using MAPLE.

7.1.3. **A quadratic non-residue case.** We follow the steps of the stated algorithm in the process of proving the following identity for $\mathcal{K}_{11,2}(\zeta_{11}, z)$:

(7.9)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{11,2}(\zeta_{11}, z) &= q^{\frac{2}{11}}(q^{11}; q^{11})_{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{10} N(k, 11, 11n - 3) \zeta_{11}^k \right) q^n \\ &= \frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)} \sum_{r=1}^5 c_{11,r} j(11, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z) + \frac{f_{11,3}(z)}{f_{11,4}(z)} \sum_{r=1}^5 d_{11,r} j(11, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\vec{n}_1 = (15, -4, -2, -3, -2, -2),$$

and the coefficients are :

$$\begin{aligned} c_{11,1} &= 0, \\ c_{11,2} &= -(4\zeta_{11}^9 + 2\zeta_{11}^8 + 3\zeta_{11}^7 + 3\zeta_{11}^6 + 3\zeta_{11}^5 + 3\zeta_{11}^4 + 2\zeta_{11}^3 + 4\zeta_{11}^2 + 6), \\ c_{11,3} &= -2\zeta_{11}^8 + \zeta_{11}^7 - \zeta_{11}^6 - \zeta_{11}^5 + \zeta_{11}^4 - 2\zeta_{11}^3 - 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{11,4} &= 3\zeta_{11}^9 + 3\zeta_{11}^8 + \zeta_{11}^7 + 2\zeta_{11}^6 + 2\zeta_{11}^5 + \zeta_{11}^4 + 3\zeta_{11}^3 + 3\zeta_{11}^2 + 6, \\
c_{11,5} &= -(\zeta_{11}^9 + \zeta_{11}^8 + \zeta_{11}^7 + \zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^2), \\
d_{11,1} &= 0, \\
d_{11,2} &= \zeta_{11}^9 + \zeta_{11}^7 + \zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^2 + 1, \\
d_{11,3} &= 0, \\
d_{11,4} &= -(\zeta_{11}^8 + \zeta_{11}^7 + \zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^3 + 2), \\
d_{11,5} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 1 We check the conditions for modularity as in Theorem 3.1 for $\frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)}j(11, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z)$ and $\frac{f_{11,3}(z)}{f_{11,4}(z)}j(11, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z)$, $1 \leq r \leq 5$ involved in (7.9). Here, $p = 11, m = 2, n_0 = 15$.

generalized eta-functions	n_1	n_2	n_3	n_4	n_5	$\sum_{k=1}^5 k^2 n_k$.
$\frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)}j(11, \pi_2(\vec{n}_1), z)$	-3	-4	-2	-1	-3	-128
$\frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)}j(11, \pi_3(\vec{n}_1), z)$	-3	-3	-4	-1	-2	-117
$\frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)}j(11, \pi_4(\vec{n}_1), z)$	-4	-2	-2	-3	-2	-128
$\frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)}j(11, \pi_5(\vec{n}_1), z)$	-3	-2	-2	-2	-4	-161
$\frac{f_{11,3}(z)}{f_{11,4}(z)}j(11, \pi_1(\vec{n}_1), z)$	-2	-4	-1	-3	-3	-150
$\frac{f_{11,3}(z)}{f_{11,4}(z)}j(11, \pi_2(\vec{n}_1), z)$	-3	-2	-1	-5	-2	-150

For each of the generalized eta-functions, we can see that $\sum_{k=1}^5 k^2 n_k \equiv 4 \pmod{11}$, $\sum_{k=1}^5 n_k =$

$$-13. \text{ Thus, } n_0 + \sum_{k=1}^5 n_k = 2, \text{ and, } n_0 + 3 \sum_{k=1}^5 n_k = -24.$$

Step 2 Next, we calculate the orders of the generalized eta-functions at $i\infty$ and considering the identity with zero coefficients removed, we find that $k_0 = 1$. Thus we divide each generalized eta-function by $j_0 = \frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)}j(11, \pi_2(\vec{n}_1), z)$, which has the lowest order at $i\infty$.

Step 3 Using Proposition 7.4, we calculate the orders of each of the six functions f at each cusp s of $\Gamma_1(11)$.

$$\text{ORD}(f, s, \Gamma_1(11))$$

f	cusp s							
	$i\infty$	0	$1/n$	$2/11$	$3/11$	$4/11$	$5/11$	
$\frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)}j(11, \pi_2(\vec{n}_1), z)/j_0$	1	0	0	0	1	0	-2	
$\frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)}j(11, \pi_3(\vec{n}_1), z)/j_0$	1	0	0	1	0	1	-1	
$\frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)}j(11, \pi_4(\vec{n}_1), z)/j_0$	1	0	0	0	-1	1	-1	
$\frac{f_{11,4}(z)}{f_{11,1}(z)}j(11, \pi_5(\vec{n}_1), z)/j_0$	2	0	0	-1	0	0	-1	
$\frac{f_{11,3}(z)}{f_{11,4}(z)}j(11, \pi_1(\vec{n}_1), z)/j_0$	2	0	0	0	0	1	-3	
$\frac{f_{11,3}(z)}{f_{11,4}(z)}j(11, \pi_2(\vec{n}_1), z)/j_0$	2	0	0	0	-2	2	-2	

where $2 \leq n \leq 5$.

Step 4 Considering the LHS of equation (7.9) after division by j_0 , we now calculate lower bounds $\lambda(11, 2, s)$ of the orders $\text{ORD}\left(\frac{\mathcal{K}_{11,2}(\zeta_{11}, z)}{j_0}, s, \Gamma_1(11)\right)$ for the cusps s of $\Gamma_1(11)$.

cusp s	$\lambda(11, 2, \zeta)$
$i\infty$	
0	0
$1/n$	1
$2/11$	$\lceil -14/11 \rceil = -1$
$3/11$	$\lceil -24/11 \rceil = -2$
$4/11$	$\lceil -16/11 \rceil = -1$
$5/11$	$\lceil -34/11 \rceil = -3$

where $2 \leq n \leq 5$, using Theorem 6.1. Again we note that each value is an integer.

Step 5 We summarize the calculations in Steps 4 and 5 in a Table. The gives lower bounds for the LHS and RHS of equation (7.9) after division by j_0 , at the cusps s .

cusp s	$n(\Gamma_1(11); s)$	$\text{ORD}(LHS; s)$	$\text{ORD}(RHS; s)$	$\text{ORD}(LHS - RHS; s)$
$i\infty$	1			
0	11	≥ 0	≥ 0	≥ 0
$1/n$	11	≥ 1	≥ 0	≥ 0
$2/11$	1	≥ -1	-1	≥ -1
$3/11$	1	≥ -2	-2	≥ -2
$4/11$	1	≥ -1	-1	≥ -1
$5/11$	1	≥ -3	-3	≥ -3

where $2 \leq n \leq 5$. The constant B (in equation (7.5)) is the sum of the lower bounds in the last column, so that $B = -7$.

Step 6 As in the previous case, the LHS and RHS are weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight 0 on $\Gamma_1(11)$. So in the Valence Formula, $\frac{\mu^k}{12} = 0$. The result follows provided we can show that $\text{ORD}(LHS - RHS, i\infty, \Gamma_1(11)) \geq 8$. This is easily verified using MAPLE.

7.2. Rank mod 13 identities.

7.2.1. **Identity for $\mathcal{K}_{13,0}$.** Let the permutation π_r and the generalized eta function $j(z) = j(p, \vec{n}, z)$ be defined as in Definition 3.4 and 1.7. The following is an identity for $\mathcal{K}_{13,0}(\zeta_{13}, z)$

in terms of generalized eta-functions :

$$(7.10) \quad \mathcal{K}_{13,0}(\zeta_{13}, z) = (q^{13}; q^{13})_{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{12} N(k, 13, 13n-7) \zeta_{13}^k \right) q^n$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^1 \sum_{r=1}^6 \left(\frac{\eta(13z)}{\eta(z)} \right)^{2k} c_{13,r,k} j(13, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z),$$

where

$$\vec{n}_1 = (15, -2, -3, -2, -1, -3, -2),$$

and the coefficients are :

$$\begin{aligned} c_{13,1,0} &= 3\zeta_{11}^{11} + 3\zeta_{11}^{10} + 5\zeta_{11}^8 + \zeta_{11}^7 + \zeta_{11}^6 + 5\zeta_{11}^5 + 3\zeta_{11}^3 + 3\zeta_{11}^2 + 5, \\ c_{13,2,0} &= \zeta_{11}^{11} - 3\zeta_{11}^{10} - \zeta_{11}^9 + 2\zeta_{11}^8 - 2\zeta_{11}^7 - 2\zeta_{11}^6 + 2\zeta_{11}^5 - \zeta_{11}^4 - 3\zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^2 - 2, \\ c_{13,3,0} &= 5\zeta_{11}^{11} + \zeta_{11}^{10} + 5\zeta_{11}^9 + 2\zeta_{11}^8 + 3\zeta_{11}^7 + 3\zeta_{11}^6 + 2\zeta_{11}^5 + 5\zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^3 + 5\zeta_{11}^2 + 6, \\ c_{13,4,0} &= \zeta_{11}^{11} + 2\zeta_{11}^{10} + 2\zeta_{11}^9 + 2\zeta_{11}^4 + 2\zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^2 - 1, \\ c_{13,5,0} &= -(\zeta_{11}^{11} + \zeta_{11}^{10} + 2\zeta_{11}^9 + \zeta_{11}^8 + 2\zeta_{11}^7 + 2\zeta_{11}^6 + \zeta_{11}^5 + 2\zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^2 + 1), \\ c_{13,6,0} &= -\zeta_{11}^{11} + 2\zeta_{11}^9 + 2\zeta_{11}^8 - \zeta_{11}^7 - \zeta_{11}^6 + 2\zeta_{11}^5 + 2\zeta_{11}^4 - \zeta_{11}^2 + 3, \\ c_{13,1,1} &= 13(\zeta_{11}^{10} - \zeta_{11}^9 + \zeta_{11}^8 + \zeta_{11}^5 - \zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^3 + 1), \\ c_{13,2,1} &= -13(\zeta_{11}^{10} + \zeta_{11}^9 + \zeta_{11}^7 + \zeta_{11}^6 + \zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^3 + 2), \\ c_{13,3,1} &= 13(2\zeta_{11}^{11} + \zeta_{11}^9 + \zeta_{11}^8 + \zeta_{11}^7 + \zeta_{11}^6 + \zeta_{11}^5 + \zeta_{11}^4 + 2\zeta_{11}^2 + 2), \\ c_{13,4,1} &= 13(\zeta_{11}^{11} + \zeta_{11}^{10} + \zeta_{11}^9 + \zeta_{11}^8 + \zeta_{11}^5 + \zeta_{11}^4 + \zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^2 + 1), \\ c_{13,5,1} &= -13(\zeta_{11}^8 + \zeta_{11}^5), \\ c_{13,6,1} &= -13(\zeta_{11}^{11} + \zeta_{11}^{10} + \zeta_{11}^7 + \zeta_{11}^6 + \zeta_{11}^3 + \zeta_{11}^2). \end{aligned}$$

We note that a different but similar identity for $\mathcal{K}_{13,0}(\zeta_{13}, z)$ was found previously by the first author [13, Section 6.5].

7.2.2. A quadratic residue case. The following is an identity for $\mathcal{K}_{13,2}(\zeta_{13}, z)$ in terms of generalized eta-functions :

$$(7.11) \quad \mathcal{K}_{13,2}(\zeta_{13}, z) = q^{\frac{2}{13}}(q^{13}; q^{13})_{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{12} N(k, 13, 13n-5) \zeta_{13}^k \right) q^n \right.$$

$$\left. - (\zeta_{13}^7 + \zeta_{13}^6 - \zeta_{13}^5 - \zeta_{13}^8) q^0 \Phi_{13,4}(q) \right)$$

$$= \frac{f_{13,1}(z)}{f_{13,6}(z)} \sum_{k=-1}^1 \sum_{r=1}^6 \left(\frac{\eta(13z)}{\eta(z)} \right)^{2k} c_{13,r,k} j(13, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z),$$

where

$$\vec{n}_1 = (15, -2, -3, -2, -1, -3, -2),$$

and the coefficients are :

$$\begin{aligned} c_{13,1,-1} &= \zeta_{13}^{10} - 3\zeta_{13}^9 + \zeta_{13}^8 - 2\zeta_{13}^7 - 2\zeta_{13}^6 + \zeta_{13}^5 - 3\zeta_{13}^4 + \zeta_{13}^3 + 1, \\ c_{13,2,-1} &= -(\zeta_{13}^{11} + \zeta_{13}^{10} + 2\zeta_{13}^9 + \zeta_{13}^8 + 3\zeta_{13}^7 + 3\zeta_{13}^6 + \zeta_{13}^5 + 2\zeta_{13}^4 + \zeta_{13}^3 + \zeta_{13}^2 + 1), \\ c_{13,3,-1} &= -(\zeta_{13}^{11} + \zeta_{13}^9 + \zeta_{13}^8 + \zeta_{13}^5 + \zeta_{13}^4 + \zeta_{13}^2 + 1), \\ c_{13,4,-1} &= -\zeta_{13}^{10} - \zeta_{13}^8 + \zeta_{13}^7 + \zeta_{13}^6 - \zeta_{13}^5 - \zeta_{13}^3, \\ c_{13,5,-1} &= -\zeta_{13}^{11} - 5\zeta_{13}^{10} - 7\zeta_{13}^9 - 9\zeta_{13}^8 - 12\zeta_{13}^7 - 12\zeta_{13}^6 - 9\zeta_{13}^5 - 7\zeta_{13}^4 - 5\zeta_{13}^3 - \zeta_{13}^2 + 2, \\ c_{13,6,-1} &= 4\zeta_{13}^{11} + 2\zeta_{13}^{10} - 5\zeta_{13}^9 - 3\zeta_{13}^8 + 2\zeta_{13}^7 + 2\zeta_{13}^6 - 3\zeta_{13}^5 - 5\zeta_{13}^4 + 2\zeta_{13}^3 + 4\zeta_{13}^2 - 3, \\ c_{13,1,0} &= 0, \\ c_{13,2,0} &= 0, \\ c_{13,3,0} &= 0, \\ c_{13,4,0} &= 0, \\ c_{13,5,0} &= -(\zeta_{13}^{11} + \zeta_{13}^{10} + 2\zeta_{13}^9 + 2\zeta_{13}^8 + 2\zeta_{13}^7 + 2\zeta_{13}^6 + 2\zeta_{13}^5 + 2\zeta_{13}^4 + \zeta_{13}^3 + \zeta_{13}^2 + 1), \\ c_{13,6,0} &= -\zeta_{13}^8 + \zeta_{13}^7 + \zeta_{13}^6 - \zeta_{13}^5, \\ c_{13,1,1} &= 13(\zeta_{13}^{11} + \zeta_{13}^{10} + \zeta_{13}^8 + \zeta_{13}^5 + \zeta_{13}^3 + \zeta_{13}^2 + 2), \\ c_{13,2,1} &= -13(\zeta_{13}^{10} + \zeta_{13}^7 + \zeta_{13}^6 + \zeta_{13}^3), \\ c_{13,3,1} &= -13(\zeta_{13}^{11} + \zeta_{13}^9 + \zeta_{13}^7 + \zeta_{13}^6 + \zeta_{13}^4 + \zeta_{13}^2 + 1), \\ c_{13,4,1} &= -13(\zeta_{13}^9 + \zeta_{13}^4), \\ c_{13,5,1} &= -13(\zeta_{13}^{11} + \zeta_{13}^{10} + \zeta_{13}^9 + 2\zeta_{13}^8 + 2\zeta_{13}^7 + 2\zeta_{13}^6 + 2\zeta_{13}^5 + \zeta_{13}^4 + \zeta_{13}^3 + \zeta_{13}^2), \\ c_{13,6,1} &= 13(\zeta_{13}^{11} - \zeta_{13}^9 - \zeta_{13}^8 - \zeta_{13}^5 - \zeta_{13}^4 + \zeta_{13}^2 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

7.2.3. A quadratic non-residue case. The following is an identity for $\mathcal{K}_{13,1}(\zeta_{13}, z)$ in terms of generalized eta-functions :

$$(7.12) \quad \mathcal{K}_{13,1}(\zeta_{13}, z) = q^{\frac{1}{13}}(q^{13}; q^{13})_{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{12} N(k, 13, 13n-6) \zeta_{13}^k \right) q^n$$

$$= \frac{f_{13,1}(z)}{f_{13,5}(z)} \sum_{k=-1}^1 \sum_{r=1}^6 \left(\frac{\eta(13z)}{\eta(z)} \right)^{2k} c_{13,r,k} j(13, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z),$$

where

$$\vec{n}_1 = (15, -2, -3, -2, -1, -3, -2),$$

and the coefficients are :

$$\begin{aligned} c_{13,1,-1} &= \zeta_{13}^{11} - \zeta_{13}^8 - \zeta_{13}^5 + \zeta_{13}^2 + 2, \\ c_{13,2,-1} &= -\zeta_{13}^{11} + 4\zeta_{13}^{10} + \zeta_{13}^9 - 4\zeta_{13}^8 + 3\zeta_{13}^7 + 3\zeta_{13}^6 - 4\zeta_{13}^5 + \zeta_{13}^4 + 4\zeta_{13}^3 - \zeta_{13}^2 + 6, \\ c_{13,3,-1} &= 3\zeta_{13}^{11} + \zeta_{13}^{10} + 2\zeta_{13}^9 + \zeta_{13}^8 + 2\zeta_{13}^7 + 2\zeta_{13}^6 + \zeta_{13}^5 + 2\zeta_{13}^4 + \zeta_{13}^3 + 3\zeta_{13}^2 + 3, \\ c_{13,4,-1} &= 2\zeta_{13}^{11} + 4\zeta_{13}^{10} + 3\zeta_{13}^9 + 2\zeta_{13}^8 - \zeta_{13}^7 - \zeta_{13}^6 + 2\zeta_{13}^5 + 3\zeta_{13}^4 + 4\zeta_{13}^3 + 2\zeta_{13}^2 - 1, \\ c_{13,5,-1} &= -(3\zeta_{13}^{11} + 5\zeta_{13}^{10} + 8\zeta_{13}^9 + 10\zeta_{13}^8 + 11\zeta_{13}^7 + 11\zeta_{13}^6 + 10\zeta_{13}^5 + 8\zeta_{13}^4 + 5\zeta_{13}^3 + 3\zeta_{13}^2 + 1), \\ c_{13,6,-1} &= \zeta_{13}^{11} - \zeta_{13}^9 - 2\zeta_{13}^8 - 2\zeta_{13}^5 - \zeta_{13}^4 + \zeta_{13}^2, \\ c_{13,1,0} &= 0, \\ c_{13,2,0} &= 0, \\ c_{13,3,0} &= 0, \\ c_{13,4,0} &= 0, \\ c_{13,5,0} &= \zeta_{13}^{11} - \zeta_{13}^8 - \zeta_{13}^5 + \zeta_{13}^2 + 2, \\ c_{13,6,0} &= 0, \\ c_{13,1,1} &= -13(\zeta_{13}^{11} + \zeta_{13}^{10} + \zeta_{13}^9 + \zeta_{13}^8 + \zeta_{13}^7 + \zeta_{13}^6 + \zeta_{13}^5 + \zeta_{13}^4 + \zeta_{13}^3 + \zeta_{13}^2 + 1), \\ c_{13,2,1} &= 13(-\zeta_{13}^{11} + \zeta_{13}^{10} - \zeta_{13}^8 - \zeta_{13}^5 + \zeta_{13}^3 - \zeta_{13}^2 + 1), \\ c_{13,3,1} &= 13(\zeta_{13}^{11} + \zeta_{13}^9 + \zeta_{13}^7 + \zeta_{13}^6 + \zeta_{13}^4 + \zeta_{13}^2 + 2), \\ c_{13,4,1} &= 13(\zeta_{13}^{11} + \zeta_{13}^{10} + \zeta_{13}^9 + \zeta_{13}^4 + \zeta_{13}^3 + \zeta_{13}^2), \\ c_{13,5,1} &= -13(\zeta_{13}^{10} + \zeta_{13}^9 + \zeta_{13}^8 + 2\zeta_{13}^7 + 2\zeta_{13}^6 + \zeta_{13}^5 + \zeta_{13}^4 + \zeta_{13}^3), \\ c_{13,6,1} &= -13(\zeta_{13}^9 + \zeta_{13}^8 + \zeta_{13}^5 + \zeta_{13}^4). \end{aligned}$$

Below, we present one identity for each of the quadratic residue, quadratic non-residue and $m = 0$ cases for $p = 17$ and $p = 19$. These are new and do not seem to appear in the literature elsewhere.

7.3. Rank mod 17 identities.

7.3.1. Identity for $\mathcal{K}_{17,0}$. Let the permutation π_r and the generalized eta function $j(z) = j(p, \vec{n}, z)$ be defined as in Definition 3.4 and 1.7. The following is an identity for $\mathcal{K}_{17,0}(\zeta_{17}, z)$ in terms of generalized eta-functions :

$$(7.13) \quad \mathcal{K}_{17,0}(\zeta_{17}, z) = (q^{17}; q^{17})_{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{16} N(k, 17, 17n - 12) \zeta_{17}^k \right) q^n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=0}^2 \sum_{r=1}^8 \left(\frac{\eta(17z)}{\eta(z)} \right)^{3k} c_{17,r,k} j(17, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z) + \\
&\quad \sum_{k=0}^1 \sum_{r=1}^8 \left(\frac{\eta(17z)}{\eta(z)} \right)^{3k} d_{17,r,k} j(17, \pi_r(\vec{n}_2), z),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{n}_1 &= (15, -3, -1, -2, -1, -2, -1, -2, -1) \\
\vec{n}_2 &= (27, -2, -2, -3, -2, -4, -4, -4, -4),
\end{aligned}$$

and the coefficients $c_{17,r,k}, d_{17,r,k}$, $1 \leq r \leq 8, 0 \leq k \leq 2$ are linear combinations of cyclotomic integers like the mod 11 and 13 identities found previously. We do not include them here.

7.3.2. A quadratic residue case. The following is an identity for $\mathcal{K}_{17,12}(\zeta_{17}, z)$ in terms of generalized eta-functions :

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.14) \quad \mathcal{K}_{17,12}(\zeta_{17}, z) &= q^{\frac{12}{17}}(q^{17}; q^{17})_{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{16} N(k, 17, 17n) \zeta_{17}^k \right) q^n \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (\zeta_{17} + \zeta_{17}^{16} - 2) q^0 \Phi_{17,3}(q) \right) \\
&= \frac{f_{17,7}(z)}{f_{17,5}(z)} \left(\sum_{k=-1}^2 \sum_{r=1}^8 \left(\frac{\eta(17z)}{\eta(z)} \right)^{3k} c_{17,r,k} j(17, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k=-1}^1 \sum_{r=1}^8 \left(\frac{\eta(17z)}{\eta(z)} \right)^{3k} d_{17,r,k} j(17, \pi_r(\vec{n}_2), z) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{n}_1 &= (15, -3, -1, -2, -1, -2, -1, -2, -1), \\
\vec{n}_2 &= (27, -2, -2, -3, -2, -4, -4, -4, -4),
\end{aligned}$$

and the coefficients $c_{17,r,k}, d_{17,r,k}$, $1 \leq r \leq 8, 0 \leq k \leq 2$ are linear combinations of cyclotomic integers like the identities found previously. We do not include them here. We however note that $c_{17,r,-1} = 0$ for $1 \leq r \leq 8$ and $r \neq 7$.

7.3.3. A quadratic non-residue case. The following is an identity for $\mathcal{K}_{17,1}(\zeta_{17}, z)$ in terms of generalized eta-functions :

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.15) \quad \mathcal{K}_{17,1}(\zeta_{17}, z) &= q^{\frac{1}{17}}(q^{17}; q^{17})_{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{16} N(k, 17, 17n - 11) \zeta_{17}^k \right) q^n \\
&= \frac{f_{17,7}(z)}{f_{17,8}(z)} \left(\sum_{k=0}^2 \sum_{r=1}^8 \left(\frac{\eta(17z)}{\eta(z)} \right)^{3k} c_{17,r,k} j(17, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k=-1}^1 \sum_{r=1}^8 \left(\frac{\eta(17z)}{\eta(z)} \right)^{3k} d_{17,r,k} j(17, \pi_r(\vec{n}_2), z) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{n}_1 &= (15, -3, -1, -2, -1, -2, -1, -2, -1), \\
\vec{n}_2 &= (27, -2, -2, -3, -2, -4, -4, -4, -4),
\end{aligned}$$

and the coefficients $c_{17,r,k}, d_{17,r,k}, 1 \leq r \leq 8, 0 \leq k \leq 2$ are linear combinations cyclotomic integers like the identities found previously. We do not include them here. We however note that $d_{17,r,-1} = 0$ for $r = 3, 5, 6$ and 7 .

7.4. Rank mod 19 identities.

7.4.1. Identity for $\mathcal{K}_{19,0}$. Let the permutation π_r and the generalized eta function $j(z) = j(p, \vec{n}, z)$ be defined as in Definition 3.4 and 1.7. The following is an identity for $\mathcal{K}_{19,0}(\zeta_{19}, z)$ in terms of generalized eta-functions :

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.16) \quad \mathcal{K}_{19,0}(\zeta_{19}, z) &= (q^{19}; q^{19})_{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{18} N(k, 19, 19n - 15) \zeta_{19}^k \right) q^n \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^2 \sum_{r=1}^9 \left(\frac{\eta(19z)}{\eta(z)} \right)^{4k} \left(c_{19,r,k} j(19, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z) + d_{19,r,k} j(19, \pi_r(\vec{n}_2), z) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. e_{19,r,k} j(19, \pi_r(\vec{n}_3), z) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\vec{n}_1 &= (27, -3, -2, -4, -4, -3, -3, -2, -3, -1), \\
\vec{n}_2 &= (39, -5, -2, -5, -5, -3, -5, -2, -5, -5), \\
\vec{n}_3 &= (39, -5, -4, -3, -4, -5, -4, -4, -5, -3),
\end{aligned}$$

and the coefficients $c_{19,r,k}, d_{19,r,k}, e_{19,r,k}$, $1 \leq r \leq 9, 0 \leq k \leq 2$ are linear combinations of cyclotomic integers like the mod 11, 13 and 17 identities found previously. We do not include them here.

7.4.2. A quadratic residue case. The following is an identity for $\mathcal{K}_{19,15}(\zeta_{19}, z)$ in terms of generalized eta-functions :

(7.17)

$$\mathcal{K}_{19,15}(\zeta_{19}, z) = q^{\frac{15}{19}}(q^{19}; q^{19})_{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{18} N(k, 19, 19n) \zeta_{19}^k \right) q^n + (\zeta_{19} + \zeta_{19}^{18} - 2) q^0 \Phi_{19,3}(q) \right)$$

(7.18)

$$= \frac{f_{19,6}(z)}{f_{19,5}(z)} \left(\sum_{k=-1}^2 \sum_{r=1}^9 \left(\frac{\eta(19z)}{\eta(z)} \right)^{4k} \left(c_{19,r,k} j(19, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z) + d_{19,r,k} j(19, \pi_r(\vec{n}_2), z) + e_{19,r,k} j(19, \pi_r(\vec{n}_3), z) \right) \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{n}_1 &= (39, -5, -5, -4, -5, -4, -5, -3, -5, -1), \\ \vec{n}_2 &= (39, -3, -5, -5, -5, -3, -2, -4, -5, -5), \\ \vec{n}_3 &= (39, -4, -5, -4, -3, -3, -4, -5, -5, -4), \end{aligned}$$

and the coefficients $c_{19,r,k}, d_{19,r,k}, e_{19,r,k}$, $1 \leq r \leq 9, -1 \leq k \leq 2$ are linear combinations of cyclotomic integers like the identities found previously. We do not include them here. We however note that $c_{19,r,2} = 0$ for $1 \leq r \leq 9$, $d_{19,r,-1} = 0$ for $r = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$, and $d_{19,r,0} = 0$ for $r = 1, 2, 5, 8, 9$.

7.4.3. A quadratic non-residue case. The following is an identity for $\mathcal{K}_{19,1}(\zeta_{19}, z)$ in terms of generalized eta-functions :

(7.19)

$$\mathcal{K}_{19,1}(\zeta_{19}, z) = q^{\frac{1}{19}}(q^{19}; q^{19})_{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{18} N(k, 19, 19n - 14) \zeta_{19}^k \right) q^n$$

(7.20)

$$= \frac{f_{19,8}(z)}{f_{19,9}(z)} \left(\sum_{k=-1}^2 \sum_{r=1}^9 \left(\frac{\eta(19z)}{\eta(z)} \right)^{4k} \left(c_{19,r,k} j(19, \pi_r(\vec{n}_1), z) + d_{19,r,k} j(19, \pi_r(\vec{n}_2), z) + e_{19,r,k} j(19, \pi_r(\vec{n}_3), z) \right) \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{n}_1 &= (39, -5, -5, -4, -5, -4, -5, -3, -5, -1), \\ \vec{n}_2 &= (39, -3, -5, -5, -5, -3, -2, -4, -5, -5), \\ \vec{n}_3 &= (39, -4, -5, -4, -3, -3, -4, -5, -5, -4), \end{aligned}$$

and the coefficients $c_{19,r,k}, d_{19,r,k}, e_{19,r,k}, 1 \leq r \leq 9, -1 \leq k \leq 2$ are linear combinations of cyclotomic integers like the identities found previously. We do not include them here. We however note that $c_{19,r,2} = 0$ for $1 \leq r \leq 9$, $d_{19,r,-1} = 0$ for $1 \leq r \leq 9$, and $d_{19,r,0} = 0$ for $r = 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9$.

8. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper we have found new symmetries for Dyson's rank function. As well we have extended the work of [13] and found explicit p -dissection identities that generalize Ramanujan's result (1.6) to the cases $p = 13, 17$ and 19 . It is a non-trivial problem to find the generalized eta-quotients that are needed in these identities. What helps is knowing lower bounds for orders at cusps. Our approach has been by a computer search. It would be interesting to find more exact conditions for the generalized eta-quotients involved and prove that identities of this type persist for all larger primes p . The next case to consider is $p = 23$. Another problem to consider is extending the results of this paper to other rank type functions. For example investigate whether there are similar symmetry type results for the M_2 -rank [5] and for the overpartition rank [15].

REFERENCES

1. Scott Ahlgren and Stephanie Treneer, *Rank generating functions as weakly holomorphic modular forms*, Acta Arith. **133** (2008), no. 3, 267–279. MR2434604
2. George E. Andrews and Bruce C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's lost notebook. Part III*, Springer, New York, 2012. MR2952081
3. A. O. L. Atkin and S. M. Hussain, *Some properties of partitions. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1958), 184–200. MR103872
4. A. O. L. Atkin and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Some properties of partitions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **4** (1954), 84–106. MR60535
5. Alexander Berkovich and Frank G. Garvan, *Some observations on Dyson's new symmetries of partitions*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **100** (2002), no. 1, 61–93. MR1932070
6. Anthony J. F. Biagioli, *A proof of some identities of Ramanujan using modular forms*, Glasgow Math. J. **31** (1989), no. 3, 271–295. MR1021804
7. Kathrin Bringmann and Ken Ono, *Dyson's ranks and Maass forms*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (2010), no. 1, 419–449. MR2630043
8. Bumkyu Cho, Ja Kyung Koo, and Yoon Kyung Park, *Arithmetic of the Ramanujan-Göllnitz-Gordon continued fraction*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), no. 4, 922–947. MR2499414
9. F. J. Dyson, *Some guesses in the theory of partitions*, Eureka (1944), no. 8, 10–15. MR3077150
10. Freeman J. Dyson, *A walk through Ramanujan's garden*, Ramanujan revisited (Urbana-Champaign, Ill., 1987), Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988, pp. 7–28. MR938957
11. F. G. Garvan, *New combinatorial interpretations of Ramanujan's partition congruences mod 5, 7 and 11*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **305** (1988), no. 1, 47–77. MR920146
12. F. G. Garvan, *Combinatorial interpretations of Ramanujan's partition congruences*, Ramanujan revisited (Urbana-Champaign, Ill., 1987), Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988, pp. 29–45. MR938958
13. F. G. Garvan, *Transformation properties for Dyson's rank function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **371** (2019), no. 1, 199–248. MR3885143
14. G. Köhler, *Eta Products and Theta Series Identities*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Heidelberg, 2011.
15. Jeremy Lovejoy, *Rank and conjugation for the Frobenius representation of an overpartition*, Ann. Comb. **9** (2005), no. 3, 321–334. MR2176595
16. Toshitsune Miyake, *Modular forms*, english ed., Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006, Translated from the 1976 Japanese original by Yoshitaka Maeda. MR2194815
17. John Noel O'Brien, *Some properties of partitions with special reference to primes greater than 5, 7 and 11*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Durham, England, 1965, 107pp.
18. Robert A. Rankin, *Modular forms and functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1977. MR0498390
19. Srinivasa Ramanujan, *The lost notebook and other unpublished papers*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin; Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, 1988, With an introduction by George E. Andrews. MR947735
20. Sinai Robins, *Generalized Dedekind η -products*, The Rademacher legacy to mathematics (University Park, PA, 1992), Contemp. Math., vol. 166, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 119–128. MR1284055
21. Goro Shimura, *On modular forms of half integral weight*, Ann. of Math. (2) **97** (1973), 440–481. MR332663

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FL 32611-8105
 Email address: fgarvan@ufl.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE, FL 32611-8105
 Email address: rishabh.sarma@ufl.edu